Project Summary Rocky Mountains Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Unit

Project Title: Last Canyon Cave Salvage Excav	ation in MT
Type of Project: Research	
Project Discipline: Cultural	
Funding Agency: Bureau of Land Management	
Other Partners/Cooperators: University of Wyo	ming
Effective Dates: 10/1/2007 - 8/1/2009	
Funding Amount: \$34,000	
Investigators and Agency Representative:	
Investigator: Marcel Kornfeld, Department of Laramie, WY, (307) 766-3548, anprol@uwyo.edu	Anthropology, University of Wyoming,
Project Abstract:	
The past rockshelter research leans many unan their human occupants. Most of the rockshelt were conducted 30-40-years ago, with some stu Archaeological theory and methods have substa quality of the data in interpretation potenti previously investigated shelters as well as i shelters has potential to enhance our underst and the region's pre-history.	er investigations in the Bighorn region dies conducted over 50 years ago, ntially increased in quantity and al over this period of time. Revisiting nitiating studies of newly discovered
There are many simple questions about closed investigations. Such questions address: shel cultural occupation relative to geologic depo paleoenvironmental conditions, paleoenvironme components, as well as why some shelters were components represent single or multiple occup occupation, periodicity, re-occupation tempo, questions, important for understanding shelte sites as well as the cultural systems, can be (previously excavated shelters).	ter formation and sedimentation, sition, deposit architecture, ntal associations of cultural occupied while others were not. Do the ations? What is the nature of these and so on? It is unlikely that these r use and their relationship to other
Another relevant question is whether bedrock and whether deeper archaeological deposits ar are present and not known, then our interpret and the region is skewed by the false negativ For instance, we may think that the shelters sporadically prior to a certain time, while i completely excavated. A corollary of this is North America, has been a matter of debate fo whether the lack of prehistoric cultural mate of American prehistory (greater than 10,000 B shelters were not used; 2) shelter deposits h no longer lie within the shelters themselves the shelters have collapsed. To evaluate the shelter chronostratigraphy must be dated and aprons must be tested.	e present. If older human occupations ation about human use of the shelters e (i.e., shelters were not occupied). wither were not used, or were used n fact the shelters are simply not sue, fluted point use of shelters in r some time. The debate revolves around rials in rockshelters at this early time P) is a function of the fact that: 1) ave eroded away; or 3) shelter deposits but in aprons further outside because se and other possibilities, the entire
To deal with some of the unanswered questions region, were are revisiting many previously e additional studies in them, including re-reco collecting granuloumetric, paleoenvironmental surveying, recording and testing shelters thr Outcomes with completion dates (reports, publ Final report due: 8/1/2009	xcavated shelters and conducting rding the profiles in greater detail, , chronological, and other samples, and oughout the region.

Management, University of Wyoming