

### A. Introduction



- N deposition is enhanced at high elevations due to higher precipitation
- Ambient levels of N deposition in some areas of the Rocky Mountains have been shown surpass thresholds<sup>1</sup>
- Levels of N deposition from anthropogenic sources increases with proximity to sources (industry, cars, agriculture)<sup>2</sup>
- Due to differences in proximity to sources of N the Rocky Mountains have a number of ambient deposition spatial gradients<sup>3</sup>

Main question: how does the alpine moist meadow community vary in plant-soil feedbacks along gradients of N deposition in the Rocky Mountains?

Adapted from Nanus et al., 200

### B. Methods

### Measurements 2012

- Sites were located based upon access to alpine moist meadow communities following N deposition gradients in Colorado and WY (Figure 1; Table 1)
- Species composition measurements were determined using the point intercept method with 100 points in a 1 m by 1 m sampling area
- Aboveground biomass was clipped in 0.01m2 quadrats adjacent to composition surveys
- N deposition was estimated using passive ionexchange resin columns (Figure 2)

### Soil and plant species C:N ratios using EA **Proposed additional measurements 2013**

- Soil water NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations using vacutainers attached to microlysimeters
- Available N using resin bags installed for the duration of the growing season



sites for adding in 2013 are in blue



Figure 2. Passive ionexchange resin column sampler measuring N deposition at Niwot Ridge

Table 1. Site locations and estimated levels of N deposition

Site	N Deposition (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Year Established
Niwot Ridge LTER	8	2012
ROMO NP	6	2012
GRTE NP	3.0-4.0	2013 goal
Isabel NF	2.0-3.0	2013 goal
Fraser Experimental NF	1.0-2.0	2013 goal
Shoshone NF	1.0-2.0	2012

# Ambient nitrogen deposition gradients in the Rocky Mountains and the effect on alpine moist meadow ecosystems

Amber C. Churchill<sup>12\*</sup>, William D. Bowman<sup>12</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Boulder, CO<sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Bo

Shoshone NF Wyoming Rocky Mountain NPo Niwot Ridge LTER Fraser Experimental Forest San Isabel NF

Colorado

Figure 1. Spatial distribution of sites included in the ambient N deposition gradient. Sites established in 2012 are in red, while proposed

## C. Results

Table 2. Moist meadow community structure along a gradient of ambient N deposition where Niwot> ROMO> Shoshone. Different letters indicate significant difference, n = 60 for richness and Shannon index.

Site	Richness	Shannon Index	B <sub>w</sub>
Niwot Ridge LTER	$12.23 \pm 0.38^{b}$	$0.70 \pm 0.02^{b}$	2.76
ROMO NP	$10.87 \pm 0.37^{\circ}$	$0.56 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	1.76
Shoshone NF	$14.03 \pm 0.31^{a}$	<b>0.80 ± 0.01</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.64

• No species composition trend in richness or diversity with decreasing ambient N deposition

Shoshone NF



Figure 3. Mean aboveground biomass (living and dead) for each site along the ambient N deposition gradient. Samples were collected after plant senescence, and may therefore underestimate total annual growth. Includes plants that are biennials. Columns are means ± SE.

## D. Discussion and future directions

- C:N, porewater NO3-, and available extractable N
- areas further away from long-term monitoring stations

### E. Acknowledgements

Matt Ribarich, Matt Bitters, Aristo Cauwels, Drew Meyers, Adam Hallin, Teresa Herera for field and lab assistance. Niwot Ridge LTER for logistic support; Rocky Mountain National Park, Grand Teton National Park, and Shoshone National Forest for site selection and permits. Funding was provided through a NSF GK-12 fellowship to ACC, the EBIO department at CU, the Graduate School at CU, the John Marr Fund, and a CESU grant through the National Park Service.



Significant differences in species richness and diversity between Niwot Ridge LTER, ROMO NP and

Highest species turnover or difference in species between sampling units within a plot at Niwot Ridge

- Aboveground biomass was collected along with soil samples, and shows the highest biomass at the ROMO site
- Similar biomass between Niwot Ridge LTER and Shoshone is interesting considering the differences in species richness, but high species turnover at Niwot Ridge may explain this trend





• High species turnover at Niwot Ridge LTER may help explain overall higher species richness and diversity relative to ROMO NP • Other environmental factors may be contributing to observed vegetation community responses • Aboveground biomass differences between sites requires closer examination of possible causes • Future work will include species tissue C:N and composition comparisons as well as ecosystem estimates of N saturation including soil

• Results from passive ion-exchange resin columns will also verify local variations in ambient N depositions relative to model predictions for





F. Works Cited there yet? Ecological applications 16:1183–93. Environmental science & technology 42:6487–93.

Figure 4. Pictures of A. Niwot Ridge LTER moist meadow, B. Rocky Mountain National Park moist meadow, and C. Shoshone National Forest.

<sup>1</sup> Baron JS (2006) Hindcasting nitrogen deposition to determine an ecological critical load. Ecological Applications 16:433–9; Bowman WD, Gartner JR, Holland K, Wiedermann MM (2006) Nitrogen critical loads for alpine vegetation and terrestrial ecosystem response: are we

<sup>2</sup>Nanus L, Williams MW, Campbell DH, et al. (2008) Evaluating regional patterns in nitrate sources to watersheds in National Parks of the Rocky Mountains using nitrate isotopes.

<sup>3</sup>Nanus L, Campbell DH, Ingersoll GP, et al. (2003) Atmospheric deposition maps for the Rocky Mountains. Atmospheric Environment 37:4881–4892. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.08.024