

Species Relationships of Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado, with Monteverde, Costa Rica 2011 Bobowski B. (NPS RMNP), Jeff Connor (NPS RMNP), Ryan Monello (NPS BRMD), Bill Monahan (NPS I&M), Jim Thompson (EP Sister Cities) along with Summer Olsen (USU) and intern Chelsea Frost

(Planned peer review in January)



## Sister Cities International




"Promoting peace through mutual respect, understanding a cooperation—one individual, on community at a time."

- 1956: Pres. Eisenhower, People-to-People citizen diplomacy initiative.
- Long-term partnership between two communities in two countries approved by top-elected officials
- Diversity of activities can be carried out: municipal, business, professional, educational, cultural

Sister Cities Relationship






- Estes Park, Colorado (pop. 6,555) and Monteverde, Costa Rica (pop. 6,750)
  - Rocky Mt. NP has a representative on the Estes
     Park Sister Cities board.
  - 2010: developed three priorities for relationship development
    - One priority was species conservation



# **Objectives of this project**


- To encourage positive relationships between gateway communities of protected areas
  - e.g., participation with Sister Cities organization of Estes Park
- To enhance species conservation through global partnerships
  - Education
  - Public relations
  - Collaboration

## Location: Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado




#### Location: Monteverde, Costa Rica







- Protected as part of the National Park
   Service and as a
   Biosphere Reserve
- Audubon-designated Rocky Mountain Global Important Bird Area (IBA)
- PACE: Protected Area Centered Ecosystem







- What is a Protected Area Centered Ecosystem (PACE)?
  - National Parks represent protected subsets of larger ecosystems.
  - PACE identifies the larger ecosystem, which may be less-protected or unprotected.
  - Identifying the PACE can help focus research, monitoring, and collaborative conservation attention.






## Protected Areas: Costa Rica





## Protected Areas: Costa Rica





Audubon-designated Arenal-Monteverde Important Bird Area (IBA) overlaps protected areas.

# Both Areas Are Located Along the Continental Divide



There are more than 2100 miles (3380 km) of continental divide between Rocky Mountain National Park and Monteverde, Costa Rica.

# Ecotourism



- Rocky Mountain NP receives about 3 million visitors per year, primarily during the summer months.
  - Bird watching is a recreational activity for ~500,000
- The Monteverde area receives about 135,000–200,000\* visitors per year.

Almost all do some bird watching.





## **Mountain Ranges**

• Rocky Mountain National Park

- Rocky Mountains, 7,840-14,259ft (2,390-4,346m)

• Monteverde

- Cordillera de Tilarán, 1,970-6,043ft (600-1,842m)



## Climate: Temperate vs. Tropical

Location	Avg. Max. Temp.	Avg. Min. Temp.	Annual Precip.
Estes Park,	39–79 <sup>o</sup> F	18–47°F	14 in. (36cm)
Colorado	(4–26 <sup>o</sup> C)	(-8–8°C)	
Rocky Mt. NP,	22–61 <sup>o</sup> F	2–39 <sup>o</sup> F	59 in. (150cm)
Colorado	(-6–16 <sup>o</sup> C)	(-17–4 <sup>o</sup> C)	
Loveland,	42–86 <sup>o</sup> F	14–57°F	14 in. (36cm)
Colorado (PACE)	(6–30 <sup>o</sup> C)	(-10–14°C)	
Monteverde,	68–75°F	54–61°F	118in. (300cm)
Costa Rica	(20–24°C)	(12–16°C)	
Arenal,	82–88 <sup>0</sup> F	67–72 <sup>0</sup> F	138in. (351cm)
Costa Rica	(28–31 <sup>0</sup> C)	(20–22 <sup>0</sup> C)	


## Protected Areas are not Islands

- Wildlife depend on a variety of habitats in different locations
  - Scale of variation depends on species
- An example in Colorado: Puma
  - Rocky Mt NP monitors and researches puma populations in collaboration with multiple state and federal organizations
- A larger-scale example: Migratory birds
  - Many species that breed in North America winter in Mexico, Central and South America.
  - Countless organizations are involved in management of species and their habitats.





## **Neotropical Bird Migration Patterns**



**Rocky Mountain National Park** 

Migratory bottleneck over narrowing land mass of southern Mexico and Central America

- Concentrated species diversity
- Habitat conservation important in these areas


Monteverde, Costa Rica

## Why is this an important issue?

- Birds without boundaries
  - Migration routes cross many states and countries
  - Migratory birds use a variety of habitats in numerous locations throughout their yearly cycle.
- We can't leave the protection of these species to chance.
  - It take more than one protected area to protect a species.
  - Collaboration across boundaries is important for species conservation.


## Shared Bird Species: Connecting Rocky Mountain NP to Monteverde

- Rocky Mountain National Park shares more than 150 species of birds with Costa Rica.
- Of those 150+ species, Rocky Mountain shares
   55 species with the Monteverde area.
- This project focuses on four representative species to tell a story.




# Shared Birds: Four Focal Species

- Focus on four species
  - Chosen based on factors such as commonality in both locations, migratory status, visibility to visitors (even inexperienced birdwatchers), and potential susceptibility to climate change.



## Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*)







# Swainson's Thrush: Breeding Habitat in Rocky Mountain NP



- Coniferous forests (spruce-fir: *Picea* spp., *Abies* sp.)
- Deciduous riparian or aspen (*populus* spp.) forests
- Mountain riparian thickets, wet montane meadows






## Swainson's Thrush: Breeding Habitat in the PACE



- Found from 7,000–11,033 ft (2,134–3,363 m)
- Coniferous and aspen forests, willow and alder riparian thickets





## Swainson's Thrush: Winter Habitat in Costa Rica




- Associated with herbaceous shrubs, small trees, and fruiting plants in secondary forest, and with these features and large trees in primary forest.
- In Costa Rica, more common in secondary forest than primary forest.
- During migration apt to occur almost anywhere, including urban areas and open country.




## Swainson's Thrush: Migration Information from Bird Banding Data





## **Bottleneck Migration Pattern**







#### Rocky Mountain National Park


#### Monteverde, Costa Rica

## Swainson's Thrush: Migration










## Swainson's Thrush: Conservation Implications Within Breeding Range



- Common, but declining even where abundant, including Colorado
- Decline in willow habitat in Rocky Mt NP due to willow dieback and ungulate browsing
  - Park's 2007 Elk and Veg Mgmt Plan is reversing this trend
- Overall reasons for decline are unclear but could include:
  - Loss of breeding habitat
  - Loss of riparian habitat due to development and grazing
  - High predation rates
  - Low nest-success rates
  - Brown-headed Cowbird parasitism can be a problem in grazed areas.

## Wilson's Warbler (*Wilsonia pusilla*)






# Wilson's Warbler: Breeding Habitat in Rocky Mountain NP



- Montane willow (*Salix* spp.) riparian habitat and alpine zone
- Bog birch (*Betula glandulosa*) and shrubby cinquefoil (*Potentilla fruticosa*) with understory of forbs, mosses, and sedges (*Carex* spp.).
- May include edge of coniferous or aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) forests.






# Wilson's Warbler: Breeding Habitat in the PACE



- Found from 6,000–12,000 ft (1,829–3,658 m)
- Riparian willow (Salix spp.) or alder (Alnus incana) thickets






# Wilson's Warbler: Winter Habitat in Costa Rica



- Habitat generalist ranging from coastal lowlands into high-altitude cloud forest.
- Most abundant in tropical evergreen and deciduous forest, cloud forest, pine-oak forest, and forest edge habitat.
- Also found in mangrove undergrowth, secondary growth, thorn-scrub, dry washes, riparian gallery forest, mixed forests, brushy fields and plantations.
- Winters mainly above 3,000ft (914m).
- Sexes may segregate by habitat in some areas
  - females predominant in seral stages of secondary forest
  - males predominant in primary evergreen forest


## Wilson's Warbler: Winter Habitat in Costa Rica






## Wilson's Warbler: Migration Information from Bird Banding Data





## **Bottleneck Migration Pattern**







#### Rocky Mountain National Park


#### Monteverde, Costa Rica

## Wilson's Warbler: Migration










## Wilson's Warbler: Conservation Implications Within Breeding Range



- Declined 2%/yr from 1980–1999 for unknown reasons
- Riparian habitat provides critical stopover sites in the SW U.S., but comprises less than 1% of the landscape. Habitat loss at any one stopover point will differentially affect specific breeding populations.
- Breeds primarily in willow
  - Declining condition of willow habitat in Rocky Mt NP has decreased habitat for Wilson's warblers.
  - Shifts in leaf area index (LAI) impact insects, which consequently impact bird fat.

# Yellow Warbler (*Dendroica petechia*)






Yellow Warbler: Breeding Habitat in Rocky Mountain NP



 Wet, deciduous thickets dominated by willows (*salix* spp.)






# Yellow Warbler: Breeding Habitat in the PACE

- Commonly found between 6,000– 8,500 ft (1,829–2,591 m)
- Habitat generalist, but requires deciduous vegetation such as cotton wood, willow, alder and aspen.








# Yellow Warbler: Winter Habitat in Costa Rica




- Variety of wooded and scrubby habitats, including gardens, town plazas, secondary growth, brushy pastures and hedgerows, forest edge, riparian woodlands, wooded marshes, agricultural lands, and other semi-open areas
- Common from lowlands to 5,000 ft (1,524 m)




### Yellow Warbler: Migration Information from Bird Banding Data





# **Bottleneck Migration Pattern**







### Rocky Mountain National Park


#### Monteverde, Costa Rica

# Yellow Warbler: Migration










## Yellow Warbler: Conservation Implications Within Breeding Range



- Yellow warblers increased from 1980-1999.
- Cowbird parasitism is a problem in some areas, especially where grazing occurs in riparian willow habitat.
- Is generally increasing around Rocky Mt
- Of four focal species, most limited by the availability of suitable breeding habitat (see Breeding Habitat slides)

# Western Tanager (*Piranga lucoviciana*)






# Western Tanager: Breeding Habitat in Rocky Mountain NP



 Common in ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), and aspen (*populus* spp.)






# Western Tanager: Breeding Habitat in the PACE



- Found primarily from 5,500– 9,000 ft (1,676–2,743 m)
- At lower elevations found in small numbers in pinyonjuniper woodlands and oak shrublands.





# Western Tanager: Winter Habitat in Costa Rica




 Deciduous forest canopy, scrub and secondgrowth, semiopen habitats, and hedgerows.





# **Bottleneck Migration Pattern**







### Rocky Mountain National Park


#### Monteverde, Costa Rica

### Western Tanager: Migration









Western Tanager: Conservation Implications Within Breeding Range




- Riparian and montane stopover sites in the southwestern U.S. are important to western tanagers.
- Cowbird parasitism
- Declining throughout the Rocky Mt area

# **Climate Change**

- Climate change science is a relatively new frontier.
- Information is available on potential broad-scale impacts of climate change and species' general responses.
- It is difficult to narrow down impacts to particular species.
  - We can't always apply generalizations with confidence.
- Then, it's difficult to link findings for particular species to management actions.

- Effects on breeding ranges differ between regions
- Increases in the severity and frequency of droughts in the American Southwest are likely to have negative impacts on the ability of species to migrate through this area.




Migrating birds may have to travel over thousands of miles of impacted habitat in the southern U.S. and northern Mexico.

- Elevational vs. latitudinal climate change impacts
  - Elevational:
  - Latitudinal:
- Most vegetative communities will move northward, but it is unclear if forests or other habitats will be able to keep pace with the rate of climate change. It is even more unclear if the fauna (i.e., birds) associated with these habitats will be able to adjust to such changes.
  - In the Rocky Mts. new veg communities could move into higher elevation areas displacing communities such as alpine tundra.

# What does the future hold as species relate to climate change?

One way to assess the vulnerability of species:







Figure 2.1. Key components of vulnerability, illustrating the relationship among exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity.


### Some Examples of Climate Change Impacts on Neotropical Migrants

Examples of the direct and indirect effects of climate change on the breeding ecology of neotropical birds in Rocky Mountain NP.



### Some Examples of Climate Change Impacts on Neotropical Migrants

Examples of the direct and indirect effects of climate change on the breeding ecology of neotropical birds in Rocky Mountain NP.




 Despite big-picture explanations, species have individualized responses to climate change that don't always follow general patterns.

– This increases the challenge for managers.

- Using current knowledge of climate change and bird responses to climate change, we can create working hypotheses of particular species' response.
- These hypotheses can help prioritize areas for future research.

# Potential Impacts of Climate Change on our Focal Species


 Following are working hypotheses of potential impacts of climate change on our four focal species.



# Swainson's Thrush: Elevational Impacts of Climate Change




 Doesn't breed at elevations much below Rocky Mt NP (graph below: S = summer, M = migration)



Swainson's Thrush: Latitudinal Impacts of Climate Change


- Rocky Mt NP is near the southern limit of breeding range.
- Climate change could push breeding range further north causing the extirpation of the species from Rocky Mt. NP





# Wilson's Warbler: Elevational Impacts of Climate Change


- Breeds at elevations above the lower limit of ROMO (graph at right: S = summer, M = migration)
- Breeds primarily in willow, which is showing a decline in stature due to climate change and other factors.






# Wilson's Warbler: Latitudinal **Impacts of Climate Change**

- Rocky Mt NP is near southern limits of breeding range.
- High philopatry and reliance on stopover sites in SW U.S. indicates that any negative impacts to riparian habitat caused by climate change (declining rainfall or stream flow, higher temperatures that affect riparian vegetation and insects in those areas) will negatively affect this species.






# Yellow Warbler: Elevational Impacts of Climate Change




 Breeds at elevations well below Rocky Mt (graph below: S = summer, M = migration)



Yellow Warbler: Latitudinal Impacts of Climate Change


 Not near southern end of breeding range in Rocky Mt



# Western Tanager: Elevational Impacts of Climate Change




 Breeds at elevations well below Rocky Mt (graph below: S = summer, M = migration)



# Western Tanager: Latitudinal Impacts of Climate Change

- ROMO is on the edge of its habitat range
- Declining throughout Rocky Mt Area
- Western tanager habitat loss in the park: Climate change could increase fire frequency in ponderosa pine, which is already dying off rapidly due to the mountain pine beetle



### Management Actions: Emerging Programs and Processes

## **Management Actions**

- ROMO-specific example
- Implementation of Elk and Veg Mgmt Plan

## **Management Actions**

- Protecting migration is a process

   LCC's
- May add to new relationships/coalitions

# **Connections: Migration**

- Migratory birds use a variety of habitats in different locations over the course of their yearly cycle.
- Many of these areas are not protected or are not managed for migratory species.
- Climate change can affect the spatial and temporal patterns of migratory species.
- Much is unknown about the migratory routes, habitats, and time of use for these species.

We need to learn more to help connect the dots.

## **Connections: Protected Areas**

- Important Bird Areas (IBAs)
  - Audubon Society program used to identify conservation priorities
  - There are IBAs along migration routes of selected species.

# Conclusion

- We can't leave the protection of these species to chance.
- These four species show examples of the connectedness of different protected areas
  - Complexity of migration patterns
  - Likely sensitivity to climate change
  - A compelling need for collaboration to promote species conservation

## References

Albright, T.P., A.M. Pidgeon, C.D. Rittenhouse, M.K. Clayton, C.H. Flather, P.D. Culbert, B.D. Wardlow, and V.C. Radeloff. 2010. Effects of drought on avian community structure. Global Change Biology 16:2158-2170.

Allen, B., L. Lines and D. Hamilton. 2008. The Economic Importance of Extending Habitat Protection Beyond Park Boundaries: A Case Study from Costa Rica. The George Wright Forum 25(1):30–35.

Ammon, E.M. and W.M. Gilbert. 1999. Wilson's Warbler (*Wilsonia pusilla*). In The Birds of North America, No. 478 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.

Andrew, R. & Righter, R. 2001. Colorado Birds: A reference to their distribution and habitat. Published by the Denver Museum of Natural History. Denver, CO.

BirdLife International (2011) Important Bird Areas factsheet: Arenal-Monteverde. Downloaded from <a href="http://www.birdlife.org">http://www.birdlife.org</a> on 14/06/2011.

Both, C., and M.E. Visser. 2001. Adjustment to climate change is constrained by arrival date in a long-distance migrant bird. Nature 411:296-298.

Boulet, M., H.L. Gibbs and K.A. Hobson. 2006. Integrated analysis of genetic, stable isotope, and banding data reveal migratory connectivity and flyways in the northern yellow warbler (*Dendroica petechial*; aestiva group). Ornithological Monographs 61:29–78.

Butler, L.K., M.G. Donahue, and S. Rohwer. 2002. Molt-migration in western tanagers (*Prianga lucoviciana*): age effects, aerodynamics, and conservation implications. The Auk 119:1010–1023.

Diffenbaugh N.S., F. Giorgi, J.S. Pal. 2008. Climate change hotspots in the United States. Geophysical Research Letters 35:L16709.

Faaborg, J., R.T. Holmes, A.D. Anders, K.L. Bildstein, K.M. Dugger, S.A. Gauthreaux, P. Heglund, K.A. Hobson, A.E. Jahn, D.H. Johnson, S.C. Latta, D.J. Levey, P.P. Marra, C.L. Merkord, E. Nol, S.I. Rothstein, T.W. Sherry, T.S. Sillett, F.R. Thompson and N. Warnock. 2010. Recent advances in understanding migration systems of New World land birds. Ecological Monographs 80(1):3–48.

Hansen, A.J. and R. DeFries. 2007. Ecological mechanisms linking protected areas to surrounding lands. Ecological Applications 17:974-988. Hansen, A.J., C. Davis, N.B. Piekielek, J.E. Gross, D.M. Theobald, S.J. Goetz, F.M. Melton, R. DeFries. 2011. Delineating the ecosystems containing protected areas for monitoring and management. BioScience 61: 363-373.

Hudon, J. 1999. Western Tanager (*Piranga ludoviciana*), The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America Online: <u>http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/432</u>

Isler, M.L., and P.R. Isler. 1987. The Tanagers: Natural History, Distribution, and Identification. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.

Kelly, J.F., K.C. Ruegg and T.B. Smith. 2005. Combining isotopic and genetic markers to identify breeding origins of migrant birds. Ecological Applications 15:1487–1494.

### References cont.

Kingery, H.E., editor. 1998. Colorado Breeding Bird Atlas. Colorado Bird Atlas Partnership and Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, Colorado. 63 pp.

Knopf, F., and J. Sedgwick. 1992. An experimental study of nest-site selection by yellow warblers. Condor 94:734–742.

Koens, J.F., C. Dieperink and M. Miranda. 2009. Ecotourism as a development strategy: experiences from Costa Rica. Environment, Development and Sustainability 11:1225-1237.

Lowther, P.E., C. Celada, N.K. Klein, C.C. Rimmer and D.A. Spector. 1999. Yellow Warbler (*Dendroica petechia*). In The Birds of North America, No. 454 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, PA.

Mack, D.E. and W. Yong. 2000. Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), The Birds of North America Online (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America Online: <u>http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/540</u> (doi:10.2173/bna.540)

Paxton, K.L., C. Van Riper III, T.C. Theimer and E.H. Paxton. 2007. Spatial and temporal migration patterns of Wilson's warbler (*Wilsonia Pusilla*) in the southwest as revealed by stable isotopes. The Auk 124:162–175.

Piekielek, N.B., C. Davis and A. Hansen 2010. PALMS SOP - AnalyzingProtected-area Centered Ecosystems. Inventory and Monitoring Program,

Natural Resource Program Center, National Park Service, Fort Collins, CO. Ver 1.2 (3.3 MB)

Piekielek, N.B., C. Davis and A. Hansen 2011b. PALMS SOP – Estimating Protected-area Centered Ecosystems. Inventory and Monitoring Program, Natural Resource Program Center, National Park ervice, Fort Collins, CO. Ver 1.6 (10 MB)

Plan Estrategico de la Biosfera Agua y Paz. 2011.

Pulliam, R.H. 1988. Sources, sinks, and population regulation. The American Naturalist 132(5):652–661.

Ruth, J.M. and T.R. Stanley. 2002. Breeding habitat use by sympatric and allopatric populations of Wilson's warblers and yellow warblers. Journal of Field Ornithology 73:412–419.

Sanchez, J.E., J. Criado, C. Sanchez and L. Sandoval. 2009. Important Bird Areas Americas: Costa Rica. Pp. 149–156 in C. Devenish, D. F. Díaz Fernández, R. P. Clay, I. Davidson and I. Yépez Zabala, Eds. Important Bird Areas Americas: Priority sites for biodiversity conservation. Quito, Ecuador: BirdLife International (BirdLife Conservation Series No. 16).

Saracco J.F., D.F. Desante, P. Pyle, S. Morales, J.L. Alcantara and R.V. Alvarez. 2008. Assessing Winter Habitat Quality for Migratory Landbirds, A report on five winters (2002-03 through

2006-07) of the Monitoreo de Sobrevivencia Invernal (MoSI) Program.

Stiles, F.G. and A.F. Skutch. 1989. A Guide to the Birds of Costa Rica. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York. 656pp.

Strode, P.K. Implications of climate change for North American wood warblers (Parulidae). Global Change Biology 9:1137-1144.

VanBuskirk, J., R.S. Mulvihill, and R.C. Leberman. 2009. Variable shifts in spring and autumn migration phenology in North American songbirds associated with climate change. Global Change Biology 15:760-771.