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Analysis to Assist with Pricing the New Recreation Pass

Task #5: The Survey

1. Introduction

This report is part of a larger project undertaken by the Wyoming Survey & Analysis Center (WYSAC) at the University of Wyoming (UW), along with the UW Departments of Economics, Sociology, and Statistics. The goal of the project is to assist the agencies that administer federal lands in pricing the new annual pass for recreation on those lands that is scheduled to go on sale in 2007.

The information summarized here is based on a national telephone survey conducted by WYSAC in the spring of 2006. A narrative summarizes the research methods and highlights some descriptive findings, followed by several appendices that provide detailed results. Appendix A includes percentage tabulations on all of the closed-ended items in the survey questionnaire, verbatim in the order they were asked, while Appendices B through G report on the open-ended responses. Appendix H provides demographic data on households that were ineligible for the full survey.

1.1. Background

When Public Law 108-447 was signed on December 8, 2004, the U.S. Departments of Agriculture and the Interior (USDA and DOI) were tasked with setting the price on a new pass for federal recreational lands, including but not limited to our national forests, parks, monuments, and wildlife refuges. This New Recreation Pass (NRP) will offer entrance to recreational users on federal lands that charge an access fee. To obtain information about the opinions of the American public regarding the pricing of such a pass, WYSAC conducted a national telephone survey. The survey elicited from respondents their household’s past and projected future use of federal lands, the likelihood of purchasing the NRP at different prices, opinions about some possible attributes of the pass, and demographic characteristics of the household (see Appendix A).

To prepare the questionnaire for the survey, discussions with different groups were undertaken. A kick-off meeting involving federal agency representatives and the Wyoming research team was held in Washington, D.C. in August, 2005. Based on those discussions, the UW team produced a “roadmap” document, detailing the steps to be taken for completing the remaining tasks in the full project. The draft roadmap was reviewed by two external consultants internationally known in the field of environmental economics. The final roadmap, in turn, provided the basis for a submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) laying out the plan for the survey. Authorization to proceed with the survey was granted in February, 2006 (OMB Approval Number 1024-0248).

Following the kickoff meeting in August, WYSAC researchers attended a stakeholders’ meeting in September, also at DOI in Washington, D.C., with representatives of affected groups – gateway cities, camping associations, and other organizations interested in the new pass. Points of view expressed by stakeholders were taken into consideration in drafting the questionnaire. Once drafted, the survey instrument was thoroughly reviewed by the agencies and by the two external consultants.
Advice on sampling issues was also obtained from a third consultant. Just before the full-scale survey interviewing began, another meeting with agency representatives was held at a National Park Service office in Fort Collins, Colorado, in February of 2006. Results from a few days of pre-test interviewing were discussed, and the survey instrument was finalized. This slight fine-tuning of the questionnaire was then approved by OMB by email.

1.2. The other project tasks
As envisioned in the roadmap document (Task 1), construction of the questionnaire also benefited from insights gained through Tasks 2 through 4 of the full project. For Task 2, WYSAC prepared a “benchmarking” report that compared the existing federal passes to passes for various state park systems and for Parks Canada. In Task 3, faculty members from the University of Wyoming’s Department of Economics & Finance studied the relevant theoretical and methodological issues in the economics of environmental valuation; their conclusions are incorporated in the econometric report from this project. Task 4 involved focus group meetings held around the country between September 11 and September 29, 2005 (under OMB Approval Number 1024-0224). WYSAC held these focus groups in Boston, Richmond, Portland (OR), Fresno, Madison, and Salt Lake City. A preliminary discussion group with nine local residents had previously been held in Laramie (WY). As described in the focus group report, information from all seven of these meetings helped to clarify issues, identify specific questions, and reword or reorder certain questions in the survey instrument. The survey itself was Task 5.

2. Methods
2.1. Mode of data collection
The survey was conducted from late February through late April, 2006, using WYSAC’s facilities for Computer-Aided Telephone Interviewing (CATI). Most telephone numbers were automatically dialed by the CATI software, but with a live interviewer on the line at all times so that respondents were unaware of the automatic dialing. Numbers identified (by the sample vendor, or in WYSAC’s initial calling) as likely cell phones were dialed manually, as required by federal law.

The interview script was programmed into the software, so that as respondents were asked and answered the questions, the computer screens automatically moved through the questions following the appropriate skip logic (as indicated in Appendix A). Therefore, respondents were not burdened with written data entry, or with being asked questions irrelevant to their particular circumstances. The CATI software was also programmed to randomize the presentation of various possible prices for the new pass (see below).

The telephone mode of data collection was particularly appropriate because of the tight time constraints faced by the sponsoring federal agencies to obtain information for pricing the pass. Also, the restrictive definition of the target population stipulated by the agencies meant that many ineligible households could only be screened out based on answers to preliminary questions during the survey itself. These two considerations made other modes of data collection (mail-out survey, web survey, face to face interviewing) impracticable. Discussions were held with representatives of the federal agencies and with our external consultants about the possibility of using an Internet-based survey of recreationists, with a compensated panel of respondents. However, an Internet panel would be highly
self-selected and not representative of the general population, precluding a sound statistical estimate of key parameters of interest in this study.

2.2. Sampling

The potential universe of contacts consisted initially of all landline telephone numbers in the United States with an area code, three-digit prefix, and working 100-bank (next two digits) that included at least one listed residential telephone. The latest available estimates indicate that this conventional sampling frame for Random Digit Dialing (RDD) covers about 90 percent of all U.S. households.

The sponsoring agencies specified that the survey should include current pass holders as well as non-pass holders. However, the benchmarking part of the larger project revealed a low rate of pass sales nationally, suggesting that the RDD sample would yield relatively few pass-holding households. That sample was therefore augmented by a sample drawn randomly by WYSAC from a list of U.S. telephone numbers (landline or cell) recorded by the National Parks Foundation (NPF) as having purchased a National Parks Pass between April of 2004 and March of 2005 (i.e., one to two years prior to the survey). Since most pass sales occur at a park site rather than through NPF, the NPF population list covers only about 6% of the National Parks Passes that were sold in that 12 month period. However, a substantial majority of the NPF households were still pass holders one to two years later, when the survey was conducted, whereas very few in the RDD sample were current pass holders. Use of the NPF sample to augment the RDD sample therefore ensured a sufficient number of pass holders to permit examination of such households.

In this report, as in our other analyses of the survey findings, the RDD and NPF results are presented separately. By design, the RDD results are more representative of the general U.S. population.

Existing annual passes to federal recreational lands provide access for all occupants of one private vehicle. Similarly, it is anticipated that the NRP will be valid for up to four adults traveling together (plus children under 16). Therefore, the relevant unit of analysis for this study was the household, and no within-household sampling or respondent selection was necessary. The particular individual who was interviewed was asked to provide information on behalf of the responding household, rather than as an individual-level respondent. In the case of a one-person household, there was no distinction between informant and respondent.

The RDD sample initially consisted of 20,000 telephone numbers purchased from a national vendor (Marketing Systems Group). It was proportionally stratified by the nine major geographic divisions defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census (as was the NPF sample). The initial RDD sample was pre-screened by the vendor to eliminate, insofar as possible, disconnects, businesses, and other known ineligibles in the RDD numbers. More than half of the initial RDD numbers were eliminated through this pre-screening.

The remaining sample was randomly subdivided into waves before calling began, and each wave was thoroughly worked in the survey calling (15 or more callbacks per un-reached number) before deciding whether the next wave was needed. This step reduced the potential over-representation of households easiest to reach by phone. In some cases, there were more than 40 callback attempts. At least one refusal conversion attempt was made in the case of terminated calls or other soft refusals,
but not for irate refusals. Households where WYSAC’s interviewers encountered a language barrier were called back by a bilingual interviewer and, if possible, interviewed in Spanish.

Response rates can be defined in various ways. OMB prefers what the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) calls RR3. This formula assumes that the proportion of eligible households where eligibility could not be determined was the same as the proportion eligible among households that were definitively identified as either eligible or ineligible. This is generally a conservative assumption in RDD, since with 15 or more callbacks spread over several weeks, most of the repeated no-answer numbers and other non-contacts were probably not working phones.

Here, we had two distinct standards of eligibility to consider. First, the phone number must have reached a private U.S. household, not a business or government office and not a disconnected number. Those numbers were eligible for the “screener” or filter questions, as discussed in the next section. Second, households that were screened in, based on those filter questions, were eligible for the “full” survey. As expected, at both stages dialing of random-digit phone numbers yielded lower proportions eligible and higher proportions of unknown eligibility than did sampling from the NPF population list.

Based on these definitions, in the RDD screener, RR3 = 26.6% while in the NPF screener, RR3 = 47.1%. For the RDD full survey, RR3 = 65.1%, and for the NPF full survey, RR3 = 54.6%. Although the RDD screener response was lower than had been hoped, overall the response rates are consistent with current standards for telephone surveys. Comparing the RDD respondents to U.S. Census data revealed modest differences in non-response by geographic area and somewhat lower response among low-income and minority households. As discussed in section 2.4, below, weighting was used to adjust, insofar as possible, for any non-response bias that might be associated with such demographic differences.

Another relevant figure is the completion rate, which may be defined as the proportion of known eligibles who finished the full survey (at least through the key question about willingness to pay for the NRP). By this measure, the RDD completion rate was 96.0% and the NPF completion rate was 98.5%. Item non-response was generally very low, except for a very few questions (e.g., income). The analyses for this report exclude missing data, except as noted, but Appendix A includes full details on item non-response for each question in the survey.

2.3. Filter questions

Screening within the survey reduced both sample pools substantially, especially the RDD. (See Appendix A for the full survey script, including introductory and filter items.) The survey design called first for information regarding an individual’s availability to answer questions and, so as to determine initial eligibility for the screeners, whether the call had reached a private household. The respondent was then given information about the voluntary nature of the study. Upon the advice of OMB strict confidentiality was not promised. However, the survey was, for all practical purposes, anonymous.

The survey design also required information about age and disability. A household with anyone age 61 or over in 2006, or with a disabled household member, would (by 2007) qualify for a $10 Golden Age Passport or a free Golden Access Passport. Such households were therefore screened out as unlikely to be part of the potential market for the new pass. As a double check, additional questions
late in the interview asked about ownership of these two lifetime passes. Households reporting that they currently had either pass or that they expected to obtain one of them in the next 12 months were treated as ineligible for the full survey, regardless of their responses on the earlier filter questions.

Finally, the sponsoring federal agencies specified that the target population for the survey consisted of households that had visited federal recreational lands in the past two years. Another filter was therefore used to screen out long-term non-visitors as unlikely to be part of the market for the new pass. The two-year time frame was longer than in subsequent questions, which focused on a 12-month period. Also, this target population was not limited to those who had visited lands that charge an entry fee. Thus, the target population includes potential pass users, some of whom had paid a fee to visit federal lands in the past few months, and some of whom may have visited as long ago as two years earlier and paid no fee.

Households identified as ineligible by any of these screening criteria were not asked the core survey questions about the NRP. However, they were asked to report their household’s demographic characteristics, to allow for appropriate weighting of the data (see Appendix H). Based on these criteria, screening reduced the RDD sample from 1799 to 556 households. Similarly, the NPF sample dropped from 1974 to 1524 households due to screening.

Simple random samples (SRS) of 556 and 1524 would yield statistical margins of error of roughly 4.5 and 2.5 percentage points, respectively, with 95% confidence. Neither sample is strictly SRS, however. Both were proportionally stratified geographically, which would tend to decrease the margin of error, and both were also weighted as described in the next section, which would tend to increase it. The margin of error also varies with the parameter being estimated. For example, estimating a percentage when the true population value is 10% yields a smaller margin of error than when the population value is 50%. Thus the margins of error just mentioned are offered only as general guidelines. This report is mainly descriptive and, as such, presents no formal significance tests or confidence intervals. The econometric report from this project includes tests for statistical significance that treat the RDD and NPF samples as SRS.

2.4. Weighting

Before applying the within-survey screens to eliminate households that were not part of the target population, both samples of households with completed screener interviews were weighted (separately) to improve the representativeness of the data. No additional weighting could be done after eliminating screened-out households. Because of the restrictive definition of the target population, there is no known population distribution toward which to further adjust the screened-in sample distributions.

The probability of selection in an RDD sample is proportional to the number of answerable landline telephone numbers that ring into a household. Therefore, the number of landlines was ascertained in the survey, and its reciprocal was applied to weight the complete, unscreened RDD sample. This first step in the weighting was not necessary for the NPF sample, because there the sampling frame consisted of purchasers of the National Parks Pass with phone numbers known to NPF, not randomly generated landline phone numbers. Duplicate phone numbers within either sample had been eliminated before calling began, and the one phone number that appeared in both samples was eliminated from the RDD pool to ensure the independence of the two samples.
Both the unscreened RDD and NPF samples were weighted to adjust the geographic distribution of responding households to the known geographic distributions of the corresponding populations. Household data from the U.S. Census Bureau provided the external reference for the RDD sample, and the NPF population list served that purpose for the NPF sample. No further weighting of the NPF sample was undertaken, because of a lack of external reference information about other characteristics of this population.

The unscreened RDD responses were next weighted to approximate the Census estimates of the distribution of the U.S. population by Hispanic origin, race, and household income. Then, using DOI figures on annual sales of the Golden Eagle and National Parks Passes, a further weight was applied to reduce an apparent over-representation of pass-holding households in the RDD sample.

As usual, successive weighting altered the geographic distribution somewhat. Therefore, the final step was to adjust the geographic weights to compensate. Rather than iterating this “raking” process further, the final weights retained a slight under-representation of minorities and low-income households. Weight trimming (truncation) was unnecessary, since the range of the final weights fell within conventional guidelines.

Comparison of the weighted and unweighted results in Appendix A shows that the weights generally have very little impact on the findings, with the partial exception of demographic variables used explicitly in the weighting. This report, like our other analyses of the survey, relies on the weighted data unless otherwise indicated. The weights used are appropriate for analyses at the household level; somewhat different results would be obtained by adjusting toward the population distribution of individual adults, rather than households.

2.5. Measuring willingness to pay

To gather information for pricing the new federal recreational pass, households were offered a random set of pass prices. The initial bid ranged from $25 to $165 in $20 increments. A second bid question was also asked, higher than the initial bid value if the respondent said yes to the first price, or lower than the initial value if the respondent said no. This approach allowed a wide range of possible bids to be used, reduced the potential of starting-point bias, and at the same time reduced respondent burden since each household was generally asked only two bid questions.

The values in the bid vector were determined based on results obtained by pre-testing the questionnaire in the focus group part of the full project. Considering only the first bid, one of the following eight different bid prices was posed initially:

\[ \$25 \quad \$45 \quad \$65 \quad \$85 \quad \$105 \quad \$125 \quad \$145 \quad \$165. \]

By design, the bid vector included $65, which is the current price for the Golden Eagle Passport. Because the Golden Eagle pass is very similar to the NRP, and because information was also obtained in the survey as to whether the household purchased the Golden Eagle pass, we were able to contrast hypothetical purchasing decisions for the NRP at $65 with actual purchasing decisions for the Golden Eagle pass.

For respondents who said yes initially, the second bid value was randomly selected from two higher possibilities: $20 more than the initial bid, or $5 less than double the initial bid. For those who said
no initially, the second bid value was randomly selected from two lower possibilities: $20 less than the initial bid, or half of an amount equal to the initial bid plus $5. The $5 adjustments ensured that respondents would not perceive a trick in getting a second bid exactly double, or exactly half, the initial value. The $5 adjustments also aligned the bid possibilities on the second bid with those on the first bid, while providing more detail at both the high end and the low end.

Considering both the first and the second bids, there were 19 different bid prices posed, as follows:

$5 $15 $25 $35 $45 $55 $65 $75 $85 $95 $105 $125 $145 $165 $185 $205 $245 $285 $325.

Respondents who said no to both the initial bid price and the second, lower bid amount were then asked whether they would be interested in the pass for free. In effect, this added zero as the twentieth point on the bid vector. Doing so identified potential “protest” bidders who may have an objection in principle to the pass, to the current management practices on federal lands, or the like. Those who would reject the pass even if it were free were asked why, to determine the nature of their objection. Protest bidders defined themselves out of the potential market for the pass, and needed to be identified so that their refusal to take the pass at any bid price, even zero, did not distort the estimated demand curve.

3. Selected comparisons of the two samples

We will not attempt here a thorough discussion, much less a complete analysis, of the survey data. Appendix A provides descriptive results on all variables in the questionnaire, and a separate econometric report analyzes the willingness-to-pay data in detail. The results we highlight below are limited to selected comparisons of the screened RDD and NPF samples.

With total National Parks Pass (NPP) sales of approximately half a million passes sold per year, the NPF population list of just 30,629 households covers only about 6% of the households that purchased an NPP between one and two years prior to the survey. The NPF population, and therefore the NPF sample drawn from that population, mainly represents households that purchased the pass on-line during that time. The NPF sampling frame omits the much larger number of households that purchased the NPP in-person at a park, except for those that chose to provide their phone numbers by mailing a reply card to NPF, requesting a park “owner’s manual,” or sending the NPF a donation. It also omits the roughly 50,000 annual purchasers of the Golden Eagle Pass.

Thus, results from the NPF sample are of interest in that they reflect a small but noteworthy group of supporters of the national parks, while the RDD sample comes as close as our methodological and budget constraints allow to being representative of the full spectrum of the roughly 110 million U.S. households. Recall that both samples were then screened to eliminate households not part of the target population as defined by the sponsoring federal lands agencies, based on age, disability, and non-visitation to federal lands.

3.1. Demographics

As might be expected, the NPF respondents were on the average older and better educated, were more likely to be white, and had higher incomes than the respondents in the RDD sample. In almost 30% of the households in the screened NPF sample, the respondent was over 50 years of age, but
this was true in less than 24% of the RDD households. More than a third of the respondents in the NPF sample (36%) held a graduate degree, compared to less than a quarter of the RDD sample (24%).

In accord with OMB guidelines, the survey asked a stand-alone question about Hispanic origin, followed by a “select all that apply” question on racial identification. Based on the first of these items, 9% of the screened RDD households were classified as Hispanic, compared to less than 3% of the NPF households. On the race question, in 91% of the RDD households the respondent self-identified as white, versus 96% of the NPF households. Almost 6% of the RDD respondents identified themselves as black or African American compared to only 0.6% in the NPF sample. Asians accounted for less than 3% of both samples, and so did American Indians.

Almost half of the screened NPF households that answered the question had incomes of $100,000 or more (46%), while less than a third of the reporting RDD households had incomes that high (33%). At the opposite end of the income scale, the RDD households were more than twice as likely, compared to the NPF sample, to have incomes less than $25,000 (7.6% versus 3.0%).

Both samples had somewhat more female than male respondents, though the split was nearly even in the screened NPF data (52% female, versus 54% in the RDD). This result could reflect a greater number of male/female couples in the NPF sample and more female-headed households in the RDD sample.

3.2. Activities on federal lands

Respondents were asked to indicate the activities in which their households engaged while on federal recreational lands. Appendix A provides the full wordings of the eleven broad categories of activities as they were posed in the interview. In brief they included: fishing/hunting; water activities; hiking/biking; horseback riding; camping; observing nature; seeking tranquility; touring historical sites; snowmobiling/off-roading; skiing/sledding; and participating in outdoor programs like Scouts. Respondents were asked to select as many of these activities as applied to their household.

Table 1, on the next page, shows the percentage who selected each activity, along with a ranking of these percentages for the two screened samples. There is a striking similarity in the two rankings, as well as some notable differences in the details. More than 97% of both samples said that seeking the peace and tranquility of the outdoors was an activity pursued by the household on federal lands. And for both samples, there appear to be the same three natural breaks in the percentages. The top four activities are ranked identically for both groups, and run from 84% to 97%. The next two activities, though reversed in rankings in the two groups, range only from 65% to 70%. The bottom five activities are also generally similar for the two samples, although they do show the greatest differences in rankings and in the percentages, with a range of 17% to 42%.

Despite these similarities, NPF households were notably more active in three of the top four categories. More than 93% of the screened NPF sample chose observing nature, touring historical sites, and hiking, whereas in the RDD sample these activities were all from 8 to 10 percentage points lower. RDD households were much more likely to fish or hunt on federal lands than NPF households (43% versus 29%), and also more likely to snowmobile or ride an off-road vehicle (25% versus 17%). These differences in activities may be related to the types of federal land on which households in the two samples most commonly recreate. For example, since hunting is generally not
allowed in national parks (except those in Alaska), the RDD sample’s high rate of fishing and hunting may suggest that they make greater use of national forests, BLM lands, etc. We would expect the NPF sample to be heavy users of the national parks, monuments, and historic sites, and the activities that they report the most are consistent with our expectation.

Table 1. Activities on Federal Recreational Lands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>RDD</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NPF</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Difference in %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seek tranquility</td>
<td>97.4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observe nature</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour historical sites</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hike/bike</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water activities</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>- 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish/hunt</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>- 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ski/sled</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowmobile/off-road</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>- 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride horses</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor programs</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>- 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Visitation patterns

Nearly half of the screened RDD households (49%) travel less than 100 miles to visit federal lands, while less than a third of NPF households (31%) said their usual trip is that short. Conversely, only 9% of RDD households typically travel more than 1000 miles, whereas 24% of NPF households travel that far. (The percentages exclude respondents who indicated there is no “usual” distance.) These results are consistent with the earlier finding that NPF households tend to have higher incomes; traveling long distances for recreation can be expensive.

Four questions on the survey asked about visits to federal fee areas. Respondents were first asked, “In just the past year, since [current month] of 2005, about how many times has a member of your household visited any federal lands that charge an entrance fee?” A second question asked, “About how many times per year does your household usually visit any federal lands that charge an entrance fee?” Then, “During the next 12 months, about how many visits do you expect any member of your household will make to federal lands that charge for entrance?” Later, after a series of questions covering the current annual passes and addressing willingness to pay for the NRP, respondents were
told, “Imagine that someone in your household does buy the new Pass in 2007, or receives it as a gift. For a year, you would not have to pay the regular entrance fees when you visit federal lands. During those 12 months, about how many times would you expect that anyone in your household would use the Pass?” The results of these four questions are summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visits</th>
<th>In the past year</th>
<th>Usually</th>
<th>Next 12 months</th>
<th>With NRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RDD NPF</td>
<td>RDD NPF</td>
<td>RDD NPF</td>
<td>RDD NPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.0 4.0</td>
<td>1.0 3.0</td>
<td>1.7 3.0</td>
<td>3.0 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.7 7.4</td>
<td>3.0 6.0</td>
<td>3.2 6.1</td>
<td>4.5 9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As anticipated, households in the screened NPF sample had higher rates of visiting federal fee areas than did the RDD households. The median number of reported visits last year for the RDD sample was only 1 visit, whereas for the NPF households the median was 4 visits. The means are substantially higher for both groups, due to a few households with very high reported visitation. The RDD mean number of reported visits was almost 3, while the NPF mean was more than 7 visits.

As the table indicates, the screened RDD households reported somewhat higher usual and expected visitation, on average, than their actual visitation in the preceding year. The survey call itself may have focused attention on federal lands, and thereby artificially raised the reported number of visits the household would make. Almost 33% of the RDD households reported no visits to federal fee areas in the previous year, but only about 20 percent answered zero as their usual or expected number of visits.

For NPF households, the averages for usual and expected visitation were about equal; indeed, both were somewhat less than last year's actual visitation. As supporters of the national parks, NPF households do not need a survey to be reminded of federal lands. Hence their reports of usual and expected visitation are less likely to be inflated by the very fact of being asked the questions.

Looking farther into the future, both samples got notably more optimistic about their visitation when asked to imagine having the NRP in 2007. Excluding those few who said they would not be interested in the pass even if it were free, the RDD households predicted a median of 3 visits with the NRP, and the NPF households projected a median of 4 visits. That NPF figure is the same as their median actual visits in the past year, whereas the RDD median is triple their actual visits. The premise of this last visitation question was that the household would purchase the NRP or receive it as a gift. Therefore, the results offer an indication of how much visitation might increase if the NRP were priced so low that almost all households would obtain it.

3.4. Fees, passes, and willingness to pay
Among respondents who reported at least one visit by the household in the past year to a federal fee area, almost two-thirds (64%) in the screened RDD sample said they usually paid between $3 and $10 for entrance. Fees at that level suggest their visits were mainly to national forests and other federal lands that are not part of the national park system, since entrance fees for national parks are generally higher than for national forests.
Not surprisingly, most of those in the NPF sample (72%) said they typically paid nothing; they probably used a pass for entrance instead. More than 96% of all screened NPF respondents said they were aware of the annual passes (Golden Eagle and/or National Parks Pass), and about 74% were current pass-holders.

By contrast, only 44% of the RDD respondents were even aware of either pass, and less than 3% had purchased one in the past year. Thus, even in a sample of U.S. households restrictively screened to include only the target market for an annual pass, just a small proportion had actually purchased such a pass recently. And here again, the survey may have raised some artificial expectations in the RDD sample. RDD households were more than three times as likely (9%) to say they expect to purchase an annual pass during the next twelve months as they were to be current pass-holders (2.6%). For NPF households, on the other hand, the two percentages were quite similar to each other (68% expected to purchase a Golden Eagle or National Park Pass, compared to the 74% who currently owned one).

A related pattern can be observed from the survey questions about willingness to pay for the new annual pass. As described above, different prices were randomly asked of both the RDD and NPF samples. The interviewer then asked about a second price, higher than the first if the initial response was yes, and lower if the initial response was no. Table 3 compares the proportion of the screened RDD and NPF samples who said yes to various prices on either the first or the second bid. The table considers only the six prices between $45 and $145. Each of these six prices was asked of a random subset on the first bid and was also asked of some respondents on the second bid, whether the initial response was yes or no. (By contrast, prices below $45 could only be asked on the second bid if the initial response was no, and prices above $145 could only be asked second if the initial response was yes.) For Table 3, responses of don’t know or no answer are included in the base of the percentages, thereby counting them the same as a response of no.

Table 3. Willingness to Pay for the New Recreation Pass at Six Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid amount</th>
<th>RDD, % Yes</th>
<th>NPF, % Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First bid</td>
<td>Second bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All bids*</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The “all bids” row includes the complete bid vector ($25-165 on the first bid, and $5-325 on the second).
As expected, at every price the screened NPF households were more likely than the RDD sample to say yes, they would purchase the pass at that price. Across the full range of the bid vector, nearly half of the NPF sample but only a quarter or so of the RDD sample said they would buy the pass. Also as expected, the proportion of both samples willing to purchase the pass decreased as the bid price increased. When the pass was offered at $45, almost 8 out of 10 households in the NPF sample and about 4 out of 10 in the RDD sample said yes, while at $145 the numbers saying yes fell to about 2 in 10 and 1 in 10, respectively.

Of note in these results is the fact that the proportion of the NPF sample saying they would be willing to purchase the NRP at a given price was about the same, whether that price was the first or the second bid they heard. By contrast the RDD sample was, in general, more apt to say yes to the second bid than to the exact same amount if presented on the first bid. Just as the RDD households seemed to inflate their expected future visits to federal fee areas (see above), they also seemed to inflate their willingness to pay when the interviewer pressed them with a second bid. A plausible explanation is that NPF respondents are more familiar with, and hence have more settled opinions about, passes to federal lands. In consequence, they may be less influenced by social desirability, acquiescence, anchoring, or other potential sources of bias in their survey responses than are the RDD respondents.

It is also instructive to contrast the results in Table 3 with answers to the survey questions about the current Golden Eagle Passport (GEP). The $50 National Parks Pass covers far fewer federal sites than the GEP or NRP, and so we restrict our attention for the moment to the GEP. The GEP is very similar in its features and benefits to the proposed NRP, and costs $65. In the screened RDD sample, only 2% of households had purchased a GEP in the past year, but 7.5% said they expect to purchase one in the next year. Continuing this steep increase, 21% of those offered the NRP for $65 on the first bid said they would purchase it, and a full 28% said yes at $65 on the second bid. These results strongly suggest that the RDD households are over-stating their true willingness to pay when asked in the hypothetical about their future purchasing behavior.

In the NPF sample, however, about 56% had purchased a GEP in the past year, and nearly the same proportion (57%) expected to purchase one in the coming year. Continuing this almost flat profile, less than 59% of those offered the NRP for $65 on the first bid said they would purchase it, and not quite 62% said yes to that price on the second bid. Thus for the NPF households, responses to hypothetical questions about their future pass purchases are much more similar to what they report about their actual past behavior than for the RDD households. The econometric report for this project estimates the magnitude of this “hypothetical bias” separately for the two samples, and adjusts the econometric results accordingly.

3.5. Preferred pass attributes
Five questions were asked of both the RDD and NPF samples regarding attributes that could make an annual pass for federal lands more attractive. Three of the questions were asked, in random order, in reference to the existing Golden Eagle and National Parks Pass. These items called for the respondent to indicate how important each of the three attributes was in deciding whether or not to buy an annual pass. The attributes were: the convenience of one annual pass for many different federal lands; the number of times the household expects to visit federal lands; and the price of the pass compared to the cost of entrance fees. The three-category response ranged from very
important to not important, with somewhat important in the middle. Two additional questions were posed in reference to the new pass, and required only yes or no responses. These items asked whether the household would be more likely to purchase the new pass if it covered two years for less than twice the cost, and if all of the money went for service and facilities on federal recreational land. Table 4 summarizes the results.

Table 4. Desirable Attributes of an Annual Pass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute*</th>
<th>RDD</th>
<th>NPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected visits</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenience</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two years</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All money for federal lands</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For the first three attributes listed, the table reports the % responding somewhat or very important. For the last two attributes, the table reports the % responding yes, purchase would be more likely.

In both the RDD and the NPF samples, Table 4 suggests that economic rationality is a major factor in the purchasing decision. For the screened RDD households, the number of visits and the price received the highest importance ratings. NPF households viewed these economic considerations as important too, but gave top priority to convenience. The NPF sample also responded more positively on another item with both economic and convenience elements, preferring a discounted two-year pass over a one-year pass.

About two-thirds of both groups endorsed using all of the revenues from pass sales for services and facilities on federal lands. Similar sentiments were expressed in the focus group portion of the larger project, and on several of the open-ended survey questions. Since the closed-ended survey questions were posed in two different ways and used different response categories, it is unclear where such “stewardship” motives would rank quantitatively in comparison to economic and convenience factors. Nevertheless, an appeal to stewardship could be a useful theme for marketing the new pass. Appendix G sheds some additional light on such issues, by categorizing the qualitative responses to three of the open-ended questions.

4. Conclusion

Following a discussion of the research methods, the body of this report compared selected survey findings from a Random Digit Dialing sample and a sample drawn from a list of recent pass purchasers known to the National Parks Foundation. The RDD sample is designed to represent the population of U.S. households in general, after screening to eliminate households least likely to be part of the market for the New Recreation Pass. The NPF sample, on the other hand, represents a small but important population of national park supporters.
The differences found between the two samples are consistent with that distinction. NPF households tend to have higher socioeconomic status, visit federal lands more often, know more about existing passes, and express a higher willingness to pay for the New Recreation Pass. Their reports of expected visitation and future purchasing are more in line with their actual previous behaviors than is the case for the RDD households. RDD and NPF households engage in broadly similar activities on federal lands, and both groups report being influenced by a combination of factors in their decisions about purchasing an annual pass, including economics, convenience, and stewardship.

Appendix A, which follows, provides percentage tabulations on all of the closed-ended items in the survey questionnaire, verbatim in the order they were asked. Appendices B through F give complete text listings for all of the open-ended responses. Appendix G categorizes the open-ended responses to three questions about demand for an annual pass to federal recreation sites. Appendix H provides demographic information about the cases that were screened out as ineligible for the full survey.
Appendix A. New Recreation Pass Survey, 2006

Respondents = 556 Screened-in from the Random Digit Dialing sample (RDD)
1524 Screened-in from the National Parks Foundation sample (NPF)

Note: Screening questions within the survey, as indicated below, were used to eliminate households assumed not to be relevant to the market for the New Recreation Pass; only screened-in households are analyzed here. The RDD sample (representing U.S. households with landline telephones) was pre-stratified by the 9 major geographic divisions defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Before screening, the 1799 responding RDD households were weighted to reflect Census distributions by geographic division, Hispanic origin, racial identification, and household income, as well as federal data on pass-holding. The NPF sample (drawn from an NPF list covering about 6 percent of households that purchased a National Parks Pass between April 2004 and March 2005) was also pre-stratified by geographic division. The 1974 responding NPF households were weighted to reflect the geographic distribution of the NPF population list.

The survey questions are presented here verbatim and in order, as they were asked. Raw (unweighted) frequency counts are provided in the tables below, along with percentages that reflect the weighting. Percentage calculations exclude screened-out cases plus a few screened-in cases (labeled as System missing) that terminated the interview before the last question. Except as shown, cases coded as Don’t know, No answer, etc. are also excluded from the percentage calculations. Percentages on mark-all-that-apply items may total to more than 100%.

Hello, I'm calling from the University of Wyoming, and I'm NOT selling anything. Your phone number was randomly chosen for a nation-wide opinion poll. My name is [first name]. I only need about 10 minutes to ask you some important questions about our national parks, national forests, and other public lands and waters.

S1. Would you be able to help me out with this?

[If No or call terminated, coded as refusal and called back for one or more refusal conversion attempts, unless irate]

S2. [If Yes]: Have I reached you at a private household in one of the 50 United States or the District of Columbia?

[If No, coded as ineligible, unless U.S. cell phone granting permission to proceed or to call back]

    [If needed]: Private household means a residence where one or more individuals or families live. It could be a house, an apartment, or a mobile home, but not a business or government office where nobody lives.
S3. [If Yes]: I need to ask, what is your age?

[If Age < 18 or Don’t know or No answer, asked for an adult; if Age > 60, coded as screened out]

Categorized below, from years of age reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of your answers are voluntary. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget has approved this research under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The reports prepared for this study will summarize findings across the sample and will not associate responses with a specific individual. The U.S. Departments of Agriculture and Interior will use these results to better serve the public.

[If needed]: No action may be taken against you for refusing to supply the information requested. No personal data will be recorded that will identify you. Your phone number will be separated from your answers, so the final data will be anonymous. We will not provide information that identifies you to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law. Participation in this survey is expected to average 10 minutes per household. U.S. Code 16-1a-7 authorizes collection of this information. The OMB approval number is 1024-0248, with an expiration date of February 28, 2009. You may direct comments on any aspect of this survey toll-free to the University of Wyoming at 1-800-956-0105.

S4. Is any member of your immediate household age 61 or older?

[If Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed]: We have to ask this question because the answer tells us which of the other questions apply to your household and which ones don't. Your household includes everyone who lives with you, whether you are related to them or not.
S5. [If other than Yes]: **Does any member of your immediate household have a permanent physical disability?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed]: Please include blindness as a physical disability. We have to ask this question because the answer tells us which of the other questions apply to your household and which ones don't. Your household includes everyone who lives with you, whether you are related to them or not.

Next I need to list the different federal lands that I'll be asking about. There are hundreds of NATIONAL PARKS, including big ones like Grand Canyon, plus a lot of smaller ones. There are also thousands of NATIONAL FOREST AREAS run by the U.S Forest Service that are used for camping, skiing, and outdoor activities. And there are OTHER FEDERAL LANDS AND WATERS like National Seashores, Monuments, Historic Sites, National Wildlife Refuges, and Federal Dams.

S6. **In the past two years, has anyone in your household visited any of the different kinds of federal lands I've just listed?**

[If other than Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed:] Many people have a hard time knowing whether they visited federal lands or a state forest, state park, or county park. If you're not sure, just give me your best guess of visits to federal lands and waters only. These include national parks, national forests, national monuments, national historic sites, national wildlife refuges, and other federal recreation areas.
1. [If Yes]: **Here are some things people might do at national parks, national forests, or other recreation lands. When visiting federal lands, does your household ...**

[Read choices, one at a time. Mark all that ANY member of the household EVER does.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish or hunt</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swim, boat, kayak or do other water activities</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hike, run, backpack, bicycle, or rock-climb</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride horses</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp out, tent, or tour in a Recreational Vehicle</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observe or photograph nature (or watch animals or birds)</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek the peace and tranquility of the outdoors</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour historical sites</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowmobile or ride an off-road vehicle (or ATV)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ski, snowshoe, sled or snowboard</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor programs, like Scouts or Outward Bound</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ASK ONLY IF NONE OF THE ABOVE) Or maybe just passing through</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Any other activity that cannot be coded above)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NPF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish or hunt</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swim, boat, kayak or do other water activities</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hike, run, backpack, bicycle, or rock-climb</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride horses</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp out, tent, or tour in a Recreational Vehicle</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observe or photograph nature (or watch animals or birds)</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek the peace and tranquility of the outdoors</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour historical sites</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowmobile or ride an off-road vehicle (or ATV)</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ski, snowshoe, sled or snowboard</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor programs, like Scouts or Outward Bound</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ASK ONLY IF NONE OF THE ABOVE) Or maybe just passing through</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Any other activity that cannot be coded above)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. When anyone in your household visits federal lands, how far is the travel to get there? Is it usually …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 50 miles</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 100 miles</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 to 500 miles</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 to 1000 miles</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 1000 miles</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Depends/Varies/No usual length)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **NPF**  |           |                    |                  |
| Less than 50 miles | 165      | 14.7               | 15.5             |
| 50 to 100 miles    | 168      | 15.0               | 15.9             |
| 101 to 500 miles  | 282      | 25.2               | 25.4             |
| 501 to 1000 miles | 223      | 19.9               | 18.9             |
| More than 1000 miles | 281  | 25.1               | 24.3             |
| Total Valid       | 1119     | 100.0              | 100.0            |
| (Depends/Varies/No usual length) | 381  |                    |                  |
| (Don't know/Not sure) | 16   |                    |                  |
| System Missing    | 8        |                    |                  |
| Total Missing     | 405      |                    |                  |
| **Total**         | 1524     |                    |                  |

Some federal lands charge entrance fees to support their facilities and services. It's usually about $5 to $20 per week to get into a fee area, for everybody in one private vehicle. I'm only talking about entrance fees, not charges for campsites, boat ramps, and so on.
3. **In just the past year, since [current month] of 2005, about how many times has a member of your household visited any federal lands that charge an entrance fee?**

[If needed]: I need a count of your visits to federal lands where a fee is charged, even if you didn't have to pay it for some reason. If you don't know the exact number of visits for your household, or you're not sure how many visits were to federal lands rather than state or county land, please give me your best guess. If several members of your household went together in one vehicle, that counts as one visit. If one member of your household went twice, with more than a week between trips, that would count as two visits.

Categorized below, from number of visits reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **NPF**   |                    |                 |
| 0         | 155                | 10.4            | 10.1            |
| 1         | 134                | 9.0             | 8.9             |
| 2         | 192                | 12.9            | 13.0            |
| 3         | 191                | 12.8            | 12.8            |
| 4         | 138                | 9.2             | 9.2             |
| 5         | 165                | 11.1            | 11.0            |
| 6-10      | 331                | 22.2            | 22.3            |
| 11-20     | 142                | 9.5             | 9.6             |
| 21-50     | 38                 | 2.5             | 2.6             |
| 51-100    | 3                  | .2              | .2              |
| 101 or more| 4              | .3              | .3              |
| Total Valid| 1493             | 100.0           | 100.0           |
| (Don’t know/Not sure) | 21 | | |
| (No answer/Refused) | 2 | | |
| System Missing | 8 | | |
| Total Missing | 31 | | |
| Total | 1524 | | |
4. [If #3 > 0]: Could you name one or two of the federal fee areas that any member of your household has visited recently?

→ Please see Appendix B for complete text listing.

5. [If #3 > 0]: We'd like to know how much your household usually paid for entrance on those visits. ON THE AVERAGE, would you say it was ...

[If needed]: I'm asking about the daily or weekly entrance fee on federal lands that charge a fee. If you don't know the exact amount of the fee you usually pay, or you're not sure which visits were to federal lands rather than state or county sites, please give me your best guess.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or two dollars per visit</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three to five dollars</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six to ten dollars</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleven to fifteen dollars</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixteen to twenty dollars</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than twenty dollars per visit</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nothing/Don't pay OR HAVE A PASS)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Depends/Varies/No usual amount; PROBE for average amount)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zero or Don’t know or No answer on #3)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or two dollars per visit</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three to five dollars</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six to ten dollars</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleven to fifteen dollars</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixteen to twenty dollars</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than twenty dollars per visit</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nothing/Don't pay OR HAVE A PASS)</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Depends/Varies/No usual amount; PROBE for average amount)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zero or Don’t know or No answer on #3)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. About how many times per year does your household USUALLY visit any federal lands that charge an entrance fee?

[If needed]: I need your average number of visits per year to federal lands where a fee is charged, even if you have a pass or don't have to pay for some other reason. If you don't know the exact number of visits for your household, or you're not sure how many visits were to federal lands rather than state or county land, please give me your best guess. If several members of your household went together in one vehicle, that counts as one visit. If one member of your household went twice, with more than a week between trips, that would count as two visits.

Categorized below, from number of visits reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Missing</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Don’t know/Not sure)</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(No answer/Refused)</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Missing</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Missing</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. During the NEXT 12 months, about how many visits do you EXPECT any member of your household will make to federal lands that charge for entrance?

[If needed]: I need a count of your expected visits to federal lands where a fee is charged, even if you have a pass or don't expect to pay for some other reason. If you don't know the exact number of visits for your household, or you're not sure how many visits will be fee areas or to federal lands rather than state or county land, please give me your best guess. If several members of your household go together in one vehicle, that counts as one visit. If one member of your household goes twice, with more than a week between trips, that would count as two visits.

Categorized below, from number of visits reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some people buy a pass that covers a whole year. The GOLDEN EAGLE PASSPORT is a yearly pass that is good at all national parks, national forests, and so on. Or you can buy an annual NATIONAL PARKS PASS just for the parks, and then buy an extra sticker that makes it good at other federal lands, too.

8. Before I said all that, were you already AWARE of those three annual passes - the Golden Eagle, the National Parks Pass, or the sticker?

[If Yes, PROBE]: Which of those passes were you aware of?

[If needed]: I don't know for sure what the passes cost, and anyway I wouldn't be allowed to tell you because later on I have some questions that ask for your opinions about prices. I'm not talking about the "Golden Age" or "Golden Access" passes right now; I'll ask about those later. The Golden Eagle and National Parks passes cover entrance fees for all the occupants in one private vehicle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDD</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of Eagle, or aware of NPP plus sticker, or aware of both)</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aware of NPP only; unaware of sticker and unaware of Eagle)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, unaware of both Eagle and NPP)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPF</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of Eagle, or aware of NPP plus sticker, or aware of both)</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Aware of NPP only; unaware of sticker and unaware of Eagle)</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, unaware of both Eagle and NPP)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1514</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. [If Aware on #8]: Since [current month] of 2005, has any member of your household PURCHASED a Golden Eagle Passport or a National Parks Pass?

[If Yes, PROBE]: Which of those passes did your household purchase?

[If needed]: The GOLDEN EAGLE PASSPORT is good for one year at all national parks, forests, and wildlife refuges. Or you can buy a NATIONAL PARKS PASS and then buy a sticker to put on it that makes it good at other federal lands, too. I don't know for sure what they cost, and anyway I wouldn't be allowed to tell you because later on I have some questions that ask for your opinions about the price of passes. I'm not talking about the "Golden Age" or "Golden Access" passes right now; I'll ask about those later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, household has purchased Eagle, or NPP plus sticker)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household has purchased NPP only; No or Not sure on sticker)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has not purchased Eagle and has not purchased NPP)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Unaware on #8)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know on #8)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer on #8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, household has purchased Eagle, or NPP plus sticker)</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household has purchased NPP only; No or Not sure on sticker)</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has not purchased Eagle and has not purchased NPP)</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1436</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Unaware on #8)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know on #8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer on #8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. **In the NEXT 12 months, do you think that any member of your household WILL purchase a Golden Eagle Passport or a National Parks Pass?**

[If Yes, PROBE]: Which of those passes do you think your household will purchase?

[If needed]: The GOLDEN EAGLE PASSPORT is good for one year at all national parks, forests, and wildlife refuges. Or you can buy a NATIONAL PARKS PASS and then buy a sticker to put on it that makes it good at other federal lands, too. I don't know for sure what they cost, and anyway I wouldn't be allowed to tell you because later on I have some questions that ask for your opinions about the price of passes. I'm not talking about the "Golden Age" or "Golden Access" passes right now; I'll ask about those later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, household is likely to purchase Eagle, or NPP plus sticker)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household is likely to purchase NPP only; No or Not sure on sticker)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to purchase either one)</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, household is likely to purchase Eagle, or NPP plus sticker)</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household is likely to purchase NPP only; No or Not sure on sticker)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to purchase either one)</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I'm going to read a few things that people might think about when deciding whether or not to buy the Golden Eagle or National Parks pass. We want to know how important each of these things would be for YOUR household's decision. The first one is ...

[Question order randomized for 11a through 11c]

11a. "The convenience of one annual pass that can be used at many different federal lands."

[If needed]: In deciding whether to buy an annual pass to federal lands, would that be ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11b. "The number of times the household expects to visit federal lands that year."

[If needed]: In deciding whether to buy an annual pass to federal lands, would that be ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11c. "The price of the pass compared to the cost of entrance fees at federal lands."

[If needed]: In deciding whether to buy an annual pass to federal lands, would that be...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>(Very important)</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Somewhat important)</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Not important)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Are there any other things that would be important to your household in deciding whether or not to buy an annual pass for recreation on federal lands?

⇒ Please see Appendix C for complete text listing.
13. [If other than Yes on #9]: Besides the Golden Eagle and National Parks passes, you can buy an annual pass for a particular national park or forest, or for the federal lands in just one region. You can also buy a Duck Stamp, a yearly pass that's only good at national wildlife refuges. As far as you know, since [current month] of 2005, has any member of your household purchased any of these OTHER annual passes to federal lands?

[If needed]: Many people have a hard time knowing whether they have a pass for federal lands or for a state forest, state park, or county park. If you're not sure, just give me your best guess as to whether your household has any of these other kinds of annual passes, for federal lands and waters only. I'm not talking about the "Golden Age" or "Golden Access" passes right now; I'll ask about those later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, one or more of these specific annual passes)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household has purchased some other pass, but not one of those listed)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has not purchased any other pass)</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Passholder on #9)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, one or more of these specific annual passes)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Household has purchased some other pass, but not one of those listed)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has not purchased any other pass)</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Passholder on #9)</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2007, a new annual pass for recreation on federal lands will be issued. For the next set of questions, I need to tell you 5 things about this new Pass.

(1) First, the new Pass will be good for entrance to all federal lands and waters that charge a fee.
(2) Second, the new Pass will be valid for 12 months, starting with the first time a purchaser uses it.
(3) Third, the new Pass will be good for up to 4 adults age 16 or older, plus an unlimited number of children under 16.
(4) Fourth, it will be sold at all of the thousands of federal recreation sites where the Pass is honored, and over the Internet.
(5) And finally, at least 80 percent of the money from the sale of each Pass will stay at the site where it was sold and used, to maintain the lands, improve facilities, and provide services at that site.

14. Do you want me to explain any of that some more? (Which part?)

[If Yes, read explanation(s) as needed]:

(1) The new Pass will be good for all fee areas managed by the National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Reclamation, or Bureau of Land Management. The Golden Eagle and National Parks passes will no longer be sold, but daily, weekly and yearly passes for individual sites will still be sold.
(2) For example, if someone purchases the new Pass in March of 2007 but doesn't use it until June of that year, it will be good until June of 2008.
(3) It will be good for the passholder and up to 3 other adults, rather than for the occupants of a vehicle. Children under 16 will continue to be admitted free to all federal lands, as they are already.
(4) Other convenient sales outlets are also being considered. The new Pass will be a plastic wallet card with an image of an outdoor recreation scene that will change each year, so it might be a collectible item.
(5) The money from sales on the Internet or through other outlets will be divided up in the same proportion as the sales at federal recreation sites, to support the facilities and services as the sites where the new Pass is used the most.

15. Do you want me to explain any of the other parts?

[Explanation(s) above repeated as needed before proceeding]
16. Before I told you all that, had you ever heard anything about this new kind of Pass for recreation on federal lands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, had heard of it)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, had not heard of it)</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, had heard of it)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, had not heard of it)</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now I'm going to ask you a couple of questions to see if the new Pass is something your household would consider buying. I can't actually sell it to you, and no sales agent will call you back. Keep in mind that anything you spend on the new Pass will reduce the money available for your household's other expenses. And of course, there are other places for recreation, such as state parks, local historic sites, and private land. The new Pass will be good for 1 year at all FEDERAL lands that charge an entrance fee.
17. **Would your household be willing to purchase this Pass for $[Bid1]?**

[Bid1 amount randomized from: 25, 45, 65, 85, 105, 125, 145, 165]

[If needed]: I'm not authorized to actually sell it to you, and no sales agent will call you back. We just need to know how many households would buy the Pass at that price. Remember, the Pass will be valid for 12 months, starting with the first time the Pass is used. It will be good for entrance to just about all federal lands and waters that charge an entrance fee. The new Pass will be good for up to 4 adults age 16 or older, and an unlimited number of children under 16. The Pass will be a plastic wallet card with an image of an outdoor recreation scene that will change every year. It will be sold at thousands of federal recreation sites, as well as over the Internet. Most of the money from sales of the Pass will be used to maintain the lands, improve facilities, and provide services at the federal recreation sites where it is sold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#17 cross-tabulated below by bid amount (unweighted bid frequencies, n, and weighted percentages, including Don’t know and No answer in the base).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(Yes)</th>
<th>(No)</th>
<th>(DK/NS)</th>
<th>(NA/Ref)</th>
<th>Row Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$85</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>67.2%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$105</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$145</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$165</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>84.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>58.7%</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$85</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$105</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$145</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>62.4%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$165</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. [If Yes on #17, asked #18 then skipped to #22]: Recall, this is an annual pass for entrance to all federal lands that charge a fee. Would your household be willing to purchase this Pass for $[Bid2H]?

[Bid2H amount randomized from: (bid1 + 20), ((2 x bid1) - 5)]

[If needed]: I'm not authorized to actually sell it to you, and no sales agent will call you back. We just need to know how many households would buy the Pass at that price. Remember, the Pass will be valid for 12 months, starting with the first time the Pass is used. It will be good for entrance to just about all federal lands and waters that charge an entrance fee. The new Pass will be good for up to 4 adults age 16 or older, and an unlimited number of children under 16. The Pass will be a plastic wallet card with an image of an outdoor recreation scene that will change every year. It will be sold at thousands of federal recreation sites, as well as over the Internet. Most of the money from sales of the Pass will be used to maintain the lands, improve facilities, and provide services at the federal recreation sites where it is sold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>49.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don't know on #17)</td>
<td>419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don't know on #17)</td>
<td>843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>946</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#18 cross-tabulated below by bid amount (unweighted bid frequencies, n, and weighted percentages, including Don’t know and No answer in the base).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(Yes)</th>
<th>(No)</th>
<th>(DK/NS)</th>
<th>Row Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$85</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$105</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$125</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$145</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$165</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$185</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$205</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$245</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78.5%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$285</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$325</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| NPF  |     |       |       |         |           |
| $45  | 168 | 84.4% | 9.8%  | 5.7%    | 100%      |
| $65  | 79  | 67.5% | 24.8% | 7.7%    | 100%      |
| $85  | 111 | 43.7% | 36.8% | 19.5%   | 100%      |
| $105 | 34  | 47.2% | 43.8% | 9.0%    | 100%      |
| $125 | 77  | 34.9% | 51.0% | 14.0%   | 100%      |
| $145 | 25  | 50.3% | 20.7% | 29.0%   | 100%      |
| $165 | 66  | 19.8% | 62.3% | 17.9%   | 100%      |
| $185 | 14  | 71.1% | 14.7% | 14.2%   | 100%      |
| $205 | 31  | 9.8%  | 83.5% | 6.7%    | 100%      |
| $245 | 19  | 5.3%  | 81.1% | 13.6%   | 100%      |
| $285 | 20  | 25.9% | 68.3% | 5.8%    | 100%      |
| $325 | 14  | 7.8%  | 78.4% | 13.9%   | 100%      |
| System Missing | 23 |
| Total | 658 | 50.5% | 37.3% | 12.2%   | 100%      |
19. [If other than Yes on #17]: **Recall, this is an annual pass for entrance to all federal lands that charge a fee. Would your household be willing to purchase this Pass for $[Bid2L]?**

[Bid2L amount randomized from: (bid1 - 20), ((bid1 + 5)/2)]

[If needed]: I'm not authorized to actually sell it to you, and no sales agent will call you back. We just need to know how many households would buy the Pass at that price. Remember, the Pass will be valid for 12 months, starting with the first time the Pass is used. It will be good for entrance to just about all federal lands and waters that charge an entrance fee. The new Pass will be good for up to 4 adults age 16 or older, and an unlimited number of children under 16. The Pass will be a plastic wallet card with an image of an outdoor recreation scene that will change every year. It will be sold at thousands of federal recreation sites, as well as over the Internet. Most of the money from sales of the Pass will be used to maintain the lands, improve facilities, and provide services at the federal recreation sites where it is sold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #17)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #17)</td>
<td>658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#19 cross-tabulated below by bid amount (unweighted bid frequencies, n, and weighted percentages, including Don’t know and No answer in the base).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bid</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(Yes)</th>
<th>(No)</th>
<th>(DK/NA)</th>
<th>(NA/Ref)</th>
<th>Row Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>53.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>53.1%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>83.2%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$145</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>419</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>65.7%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$145</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>843</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20. [If Yes on #19, skipped to #22; if other than Yes on #19, asked #20]: **Would your household be interested in the Pass if you received it for FREE?**

[If needed]: It's not actually going to be free. I just need to know whether you think your household would even want the Pass if you could somehow get it for free. Remember, the Pass will be valid for 12 months, starting with the first time the Pass is used. It will be good for entrance to just about all federal lands and waters that charge an entrance fee. The new Pass will be good for up to 4 adults age 16 or older, and an unlimited number of children under 16. The Pass will be a plastic wallet card with an image of an outdoor recreation scene that will change every year. It will be sold at thousands of federal recreation sites, as well as over the Internet. Most of the money from sales of the Pass will be used to maintain the lands, improve facilities, and provide services at the federal recreation sites where it is sold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #17 or #19)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>253</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #17 or #19)</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. [If No on #20, asked #21 then skipped to #26; if other than No on #20, did not ask #21 but instead skipped to #22]: **Can you tell me why not?**

[If needed]: It's not actually going to be free. I just need to know why your household would not want the Pass, even if you could somehow get it for free.

→ Please see Appendix D for complete text listing.
Now, imagine that someone in your household does buy the new Pass in 2007, or receives it as a gift. For a year, you would not have to pay the regular entrance fees when you visit federal lands.

22. During those 12 months, about how many times would you expect that anyone in your household would use the Pass?

[If needed]: I need a count of your expected visits to federal lands where a fee is charged, even though you wouldn't have to pay it because you have the Pass. If several members of your household went together, that counts as one visit. If one member of your household went twice, with more than a week between trips, that would count as two visits. Many people have a hard time knowing what's federal land and what's a state forest, state park, or county park. If you're not sure, just give me your best guess of the expected number of visits to federal lands and waters only.

Categorized below, from number of visits reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>RDD</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SystemMissing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>NPF</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 or more</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SystemMissing</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
23. Earlier I told you 5 things about the new Pass. Now I'm going to describe some different options. First suppose it covered two years, for less than twice the cost. Do you think your household would be more likely to buy a TWO-YEAR pass than the one-year Pass?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Yes)</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (No)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Yes)</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (No)</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
24. **Would your household be more likely to buy the new Pass if ALL of the money was used for services and facilities on federal lands?**

[If needed]: That would be 100% instead of 80%. Would your household be more likely to buy it then?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No on #20)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. **Are there any other features that would make your household more likely to buy the new Pass?**

⇒ Please see Appendix E for complete text listing.
At some federal lands currently, a receipt for an entrance fee at another federal site can be used to reduce the cost of an annual National Parks Pass.

26. Before I told you that, were you already AWARE of this possibility?

[If needed]: If you have receipts for any entrance fees to federal lands that you've paid in the previous two weeks, you can show them to the ranger when you enter another national park and just pay the difference between the amounts you've already paid and the price of a National Parks Pass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, VOLUNTEERED: have purchased a pass this way)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware)</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, VOLUNTEERED: have purchased a pass this way)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware)</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For entrance to federal lands, people age 62 and over can currently buy a lifetime pass for $10. It's called the GOLDEN AGE pass. For people with a permanent disability, the same kind of pass is free. That one is called the GOLDEN ACCESS pass.

27. Before I told you about them just now, were you already aware of either the Golden Age or the Golden Access Pass?

[If needed]: These two passes will continue to be available, but their names may change. You can learn more about them by contacting the nearest office of the National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm not talking about the "Golden Eagle Passport" that we discussed earlier. Those are currently available for anyone to purchase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of one or both)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware of either)</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of one or both)</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware of either)</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28. [If Yes]: **Does anyone in your household currently have a Golden Age or Golden Access Pass, for senior citizens or the disabled?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed]: The GOLDEN AGE PASSPORT is good for life at all federal lands, for those age 62 or older. It costs $10. The GOLDEN ACCESS PASSPORT is free, but only available to those with a permanent disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has neither)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Unaware on #27)</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know on #27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer on #27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>426</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household has neither)</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Unaware on #27)</td>
<td>604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know on #27)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer on #27)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. **During the next 12 months, do you think that any member of your household will get a Golden Age or Golden Access Pass, for senior citizens or the disabled?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed]: The GOLDEN AGE PASSPORT is good for life at all national parks and national forests, for those age 62 or older. It costs $10. The GOLDEN ACCESS PASSPORT is free, but only available to those with a permanent disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to get either)</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to get either)</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30. I'll end with a few background questions about your household. Including yourself, how many people age 16 or older, currently live in your household?

[If needed]: Please count everyone age 16 or older who live in your house, apartment, mobile home, or other residence, whether you are related to them or not. People who live in the same building but in a different apartment should not be counted. Don't count anyone who lives somewhere else most of the time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. Next I need to ask about the residential phones in your household, not counting cell phones, business lines, or numbers that are only used for a computer or a fax. INCLUDING the phone number that we're talking on right now, how many different residential phone numbers ring into this household and can be answered by a person?

[If needed]: If you have extension phones that all ring on the same number, they count as just one phone. Don't count cell phones, numbers that are only used for business, or numbers that are only answered by a machine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cell only</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **NPF** | **Cell only** | 71 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| 1 | 1209 | 82.0 | 81.8 |
| 2 | 152 | 10.3 | 10.5 |
| 3 | 30 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 7 | .5 | .4 |
| 5 | 4 | .3 | .3 |
| 6 | 1 | .1 | .1 |
| > 6 | 1 | .1 | 0.0 |
| Total Valid | 1475 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| (No answer/Refused) | 13 |
| (Don’t know/Not sure) | 1 |
| System Missing | 35 |
| Total Missing | 49 |
| Total | 1524 |
32. **What is the highest level of education you have completed?**

[If needed, read choices. If "college," PROBE for how much.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Up to 8th grade)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9th to 11th grade)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High school graduate or GED certificate)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some college, no degree)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Degree from a technical school or community college)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(University degree - BA/BS)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some graduate school, no advanced degree)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Graduate degree - MA/MS/JD/MD/PhD, etc.)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Up to 8th grade)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9th to 11th grade)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High school graduate or GED certificate)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some college, no degree)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Degree from a technical school or community college)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(University degree - BA/BS)</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some graduate school, no advanced degree)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Graduate degree - MA/MS/JD/MD/PhD, etc.)</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Are you Hispanic or Latino/a?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, Not Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, Not Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
34. Which ONE OR MORE of the following races do you consider yourself to be?

[Read ALL choices, one at a time. Mark ALL that apply. PROBE if cut off: Any other group?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1361</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
35. For my last question, I'm going to read a list of income ranges. Please stop me when you hear the one that describes your HOUSEHOLD'S total income before taxes in 2005.

[If needed]: If your household's income was exactly on the boundary between two categories, it's supposed to go in the lower one. For example, exactly $25,000 would go in the 10,000 to 25,000 category, but $25,001 would go in the 25,000 to 50,000 category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10,000 dollars</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - to 25,000 dollars</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - to 50,000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - to 75,000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 - to 100,000</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 to 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10,000 dollars</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - to 25,000 dollars</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - to 50,000</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - to 75,000</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 - to 100,000</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 to 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. That's the end of the survey. Do you have any comments you'd like me to take down about recreation on federal lands?

[If needed]: No personal data will be recorded that will identify you. Your phone number will be separated from your answers, so the final data will be anonymous. U.S. Code 16-1a-7 authorizes collection of this information. The OMB approval number is 1024-0248, with an expiration date of February 28, 2009. You may direct comments on any aspect of this survey toll-free to the University of Wyoming at 1-800-956-0105.

→ Please see Appendix F for complete text listing.

Thanks very much for your help. Good-bye.

37. Respondent's gender; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Male)</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Female)</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Can't tell)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Male)</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Female)</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Can't tell)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
38. Please rate the respondent's level of cooperation; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cooperative but not much interested)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately distracted or resentful)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly distracted, resentful or confused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>1158</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cooperative but not much interested)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately distracted or resentful)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly distracted, resentful or confused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

39. Language of interview; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(English only)</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mainly English, some Spanish)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Spanish only)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(English only)</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mainly English, some Spanish)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Spanish only)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Fee Areas Visited

4. Could you name one or two of the federal fee areas that any member of your household has visited recently?

Alphabetized below, by place name; within a response mentioning several, the places have been re-ordered alphabetically by the name of the state in which the place is located. If the response unambiguously identified a specific federal area, the full name of that area has been inserted. Otherwise, the response has been provided as taken down by the interviewer.

RDD

- Acadia National Park, ME. [4]
- Acadia National Park, ME; Manassas National Battlefield Park, VA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Warren Dunes State Park, MI.
- Acadia National Park, ME; White Mountain National Forest, NH.
- Allegany State Park, NY; Beaver Island State Park, NY.
- Angeles National Forest, CA.
- Arches National Park, UT. [3]
- Arizona; New Mexico.
- Assateague Island National Seashore, VA.
- Audubon National Wildlife Refuge, ND.
- Aztec Ruins National Monument, NM.
- Azusa Mountain, CA.
- Badlands National Park, SD.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Black Hills National Forest, SD; Custer State Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Wind Cave National Park, SD; Sitting Bull.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Custer State Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Bahlia Honda State Park, FL.
- Bandelier National Monument, NM; Rio Grande should be private and managed poorly.
- Beach.
- Beaches.
- Beach in Texas.
- Beaver Lake, AK.
- Bernhein Arboretum and Research Forest, KY; Mammoth Cave National Park, KY. [2]
• Big Bend National Park, TX.
• Big Lagoon State Park, FL; Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL; Fort Pickens, FL.
• Big Trees, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Biosignet Wildlife Refuge, LA; Cherokee National Forest, TN.
• Blue Lake Regional Park, OR.
• Brooks Memorial State Park, WA.
• Brown V Board Of Education National Historic Site, KS; Battlefield in Missouri; Homestead National Monument of America, NE.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Buckhorn State Park, WI; Kickapoo.
• Buena Vista Beach, CA.
• Canyon De Chelly National Monument, AZ; Monument Valley Navajo Tribal Park, AZ.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Jockey Hollow Reserve, NJ.
• Castle Rock State Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Castlewood Canyon State Park, CO; Cherry Creek State Park, CO; Maroon Bells-Snowmass Wilderness, CO; Standing Bear Lake, NE.
• Chattahoochee National Forest, GA.
• Chattahoochee National Forest, GA; Tallulah Gorge State Park, GA.
• Chesapeake DE.
• Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park, TN. [2]
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ.
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ; Red River Ski Resort, NM.
• Colorado, Nevada, and Utah federal lands.
• Colorado; Great Lakes, MI.
• Copper Falls State Park, WI.
• Crater Lake National Park, OR. [2]
• Crater Lake National Park, OR; Mount Hood National Forest, OR.
• Custer State Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
• De Soto National Memorial, FL.
• Death Valley National Park, CA.
• Death Valley National Park, CA; National Bison Range, MT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Death Valley National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Deception Pass State Park, WA.
• Deception Pass State Park, WA; Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, WA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK.
• Dewayne Hayes Campground, MS.
• Diamond Head State Monument, HI.
• Dumont Dunes, CA; Coral Pink Sand Dunes State Park, UT.
• Eagle Creek Park, IN; Mounds State Park, IN.
• Eldorado National Forest, CA.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Beaches (Florida).
• Everglades National Park, FL.
• Everglades National Park, FL.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Fort Matanzas National Monument, FL; Okefenokee National Wildlife Refuge, FL.
• Fisherman's Wharf, CA; Muir Woods National Monument, CA; Fort Matanzas National Monument, FL.
• Florence Sand Dunes, OR.
• Florida seashore and forest.
• Florida Beaches; Blue Ridge Parkway, VA; Appalachia.
• Fort Dosto.
• Fort Frederica National Monument, GA.
• Fort Matanzas National Monument, FL; Padre Island National Seashore, TX.
• Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD; Fort Sumter National Monument, SC.
• Fort Scott National Historic Site, KS; Corps of Engineers Site, Pomme de Terre State Park, MO.
• Fred Gannon Rocky Bayou State Park, FL.
• Ft. Lauderdale, FL; Arieta Springs.
• Garden of the Gods Visitor and Nature Center, CO.
• George Washington Birthplace National Monument, VA.
• George Washington National Forest, VA.
• Gettysburg, PA.
• Glacier National Park, MT. [3]
• Golden Gate National Recreation Area, CA; Muir Woods National Monument, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ. [20]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Acadia National Park, ME; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Lake Powell, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Wolf Trap, VA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Cabrillo National Monument, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Chancake Beach.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Shark Valley, FL.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Everglades National Park, FL; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Gifford Park.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Kings Canyon National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Placid, NY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Great Basin National Park, NV; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Montezuma Castle National Monument, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Padre Island National Seashore, TX; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Perry's Victory and International Peace Memorial, OH.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Everglades National Park, FL; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sedona, AZ; Senora.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sierra National Forest, CA; Big Bear Lake, CA; Vicksburg, MS; Hoover Dam, NV.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; That waterfall.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Walnut Canyon National Monument, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Zion National Park, UT. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Zion National Park, UT; National Forests.
- Grand Mesa National Forest, CO.
- Grand Teton National Park, WY.
- Grand Teton National Park, WY; Fort Kearney, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY; Oregon National Historic Trail.
- Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [4]
- Grayson Highlands State Park, VA.
- Great Fanding Park.
- Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN. [2]
- Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; A national park in Virginia.
- Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS.
• Haleakala National Park, HI.
• Hamlin Beach State Park, NY; Stony Brook State Park, NY.
• Hanna Park, FL; Okefenokee National Wildlife Refuge, FL.
• Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, WV.
• Hawk Mountain Sanctuary, PA.
• Her husband.
• Hoover Dam, NV. [2]
• Hoover Dam, NV; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV.
• Independence Hall and Constitution Center, PA.
• Independence Hall; Philmont.
• Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, IN.
• Inyo National Forest, CA; San Bernardino National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Inyo National Forest, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Sierra National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Jamestown National Historic Site, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• John F. Kennedy National Historic Site, MA.
• John Pennekamp State Park, FL; Gateway Arch Riverfront, MO; Lake Meredith National Recreation Area, TX.
• Joshua Tree National Park, CA.
• Kentucky Lake, KY; Lake Barcoo.
• Key Biscayne, FL.
• Kilauea Lighthouse, HI.
• Lago Patagonia, AZ.
• Lake (California).
• Lake George, NY.
• Lake Isabella, CA; Pyramid Lake, NV.
• Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ, National parks.
• Lake Powell, UT; Natural Bridges National Monument, UT.
• Lake Yosemite, CA.
• Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Big Bear, MI.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Manassas National Battlefield Park, VA.
• Marconi Beach, MA.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Voyageurs National Park, MN.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA. [4]
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Olympic National Forest, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Olympic National Park, WA; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
- Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
- Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA.
- Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; National Forests in the Northwest.
- Muir Woods National Monument, CA; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, MD/WV.
- New Hampshire.
- Nicolet National Forest, WI.
- North of Minnesota.
- Ocoee National Park, FL.
- Official Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, MN. [2]
- Olympic National Park (Sol Duc), WA.
- Olympic National Park, WA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY;
- Oregon Caves National Monument, OR; Crazy Horse Monument, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Oregon; Washington.
- Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument, AZ; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Painted Desert, AZ.
- Patoka Lake, IN.
- Payette National Forest, ID.
- Pecos National Historical Park, NM. [2]
- Pinnacles National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Pisgah National Forest, SC; Sumter National Forest, SC.
- Point Reyes National Seashore, CA
- Promontory Point, UT; Fort Kearney, WY.
- Pu`uhonua O Honaunau National Historical Park, HI.
- Rainbow Springs State Park, FL.
- Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV.
- Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Rocky Mountain National Park (Pikes Peak), CO.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [6]
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Roosevelt National Forest, CO.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ [2]
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain Arsenal National Wildlife Refuge, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
- Samuel P. Taylor State Park, CA.
- Sequoia National Forest, CA.
- Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park.
- Seven Lakes State Park, MI; Bear Mountain State Park, NY.
- Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA. [2]
- Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA; West Virginia.
- Shenandoah National Park, VA. [3]
- Sherman Animal Shelter, TX.
- Shiloh, TN.
- Silver Springs, New York.
- Smokey Shandberg National Park.
- Somewhere in North Idaho.
- Sonoran Desert Museum, AZ.
- Statue of Liberty National Monument, NY.
- Superior National Forest, MN.
- Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND.
- Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Thomas Jefferson Memorial, Washington, D.C.
- Unicoi State Park and Lodge, GA; Vogel State Park, GA.
- [Unknown Name], AZ; Winter Haven, FL.
- [Unknown Name], CA.
- Valley of Fire State Park, NV; Mcmead National Park.
- Wanship, UT.
- White Mountain National Forest, NH. [2]
- White River National Forest, CO.
- White Sands National Monument, NM.
- Williamsburg, VA
- Yosemite National Park, CA. [7]
- Yosemite National Park, CA; Craters of the Moon National Monument and Preserve, ID; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV.
- Yosemite National Park, CA; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV; Virgin Islands National Park, Virgin Islands.
- Yosemite National Park, CA; Shiloh Battlefield, TN.
- Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
- Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
NPF

- Abraham Lincoln Birthplace National Historic Site, KY; Mammoth Cave National Park, KY.
- Abraham Lincoln Birthplace National Historic Site, KY; Zion National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ.
- Acadia National Park, ME. [9]
- Acadia National Park, ME; Assateague Island National Seashore, VA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Hoover Dam, NV; Adams National Historical Park, MA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Statue of Liberty National Monument, NY.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Acadia National Park, ME; Zion National Park, UT.
- Adams National Historical Park, MA; Cape Cod National Seashore, MA.
- Alaska.
- Alderman’s Ford Park, FL; Itchitah State Park, FL.
- Anasazi Heritage Center, CO.
- Angeles Crest National Forest, CA; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA.
- Angeles National Forest, CA; Joshua Tree National Park, CA.
- Angeles National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Antietam National Battlefield, MD; Manassas National Battlefield Park (Bull Run Battlefield), VA; Prince William Forest Park, VA; Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA; Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, WV.
- Apache Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Bosqadell.
- Appalachian National Scenic Trail, NC.
- Appomattox Court House National Historical Park, VA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
- Arapaho National Recreation Area, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
- Arches National Park, UT. [7]
- Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT. [2]
- Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
- Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [2]
• Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT. [4]
• Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT.
• Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, UT.
• Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Arches National Park, UT; Canyons National Park.
• Arches National Park, UT; Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, UT.
• Arches National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Arches National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Arches National Park, UT; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Arches National Park, UT; Yosemite National Park, CA; Dinosaur National Monument, CO/UT; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
• Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Arkansas; Oklahoma.
• Aspen Grove, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Assateague Island National Seashore, MD; George Washington National Forest, VA; Great Falls Park, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Assateague Island National Seashore, VA; Glacier National Park, MT; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Assateague Island National Seashore, VA; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Backday.
• Badlands National Park, SD.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Bear Butte National Monument, SD; Black Hills National Forest, SD.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Black Hills National Forest, SD.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Badlands National Park, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD. [3]
• Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
• Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Badlands National Park, SD; Olympic National Park, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Wind Cave National Park, SD.
• Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [8]
• Badlands National Park, SD; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Bandelier National Monument, NM.
• Bandelier National Monument, NM; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM.
• Bandelier National Monument, NM; Guadalupe Mountains National Park, TX; McKinney Falls State Park, TX.
• Bandelier National Monument, NM; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Battlefields in Virginia.
• Beach area in Key West right off of a naval base.
• Bear Brook State Park, NH.
• Big Bend National Park, TX. [3]
• Big Bend National Park, TX; Guadalupe Mountains National Park, TX.
• Big Bend National Park, TX; National Forest, TX.
• Big Bend National Park, TX; Padre Island National Seashore, TX; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
• Big Hole National Battlefield, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Birmingham, AL.
• Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO.
• Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Pikes Peak, CO; Guadalupe Mountains National Park, TX.
• Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY
• Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Black Canyon Of The Gunnison National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT.
• Black Hills National Forest, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Black Hills National Forest, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• BLM.
• Blue Ridge Parkway, NC.
• Blue Ridge Parkway, NC; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
• Blue Spring State Park, FL.
• Bookcliff Wild Horse Area, CO; Colorado National Monument, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT. [6]
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Colorado National Monument, CO.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Death Valley National Park, CA.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Solero, TX.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [17]
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ. [2]
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Redwood National Park, CA.
• Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Lake Tahoe State Park, NV.
• Cabrillo National Monument, CA; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Wind Cave National Park, SD; Apostle Islands National Lakeshore, WI; Devils Tower National Monument, WY;.
• Cabrillo National Monument, CA; The Alamo, TX.
• Cabrillo National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Cabrillo National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• California coastline; Waterfront, Long Island, NY.
• Camp Cutler, NY; Letchworth State Park, NY; Stony Brook State Park, NY.
• Canyon De Chelly National Monument, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Bandelier National Monument, NM; El Morro National Monument, NM.
• Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Canyonlands National Park, UT; Dinosaur National Monument, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Canyonlands National Park, UT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
• Canyonlands National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Cape Canaveral Seashore, FL; Wright Brothers National Memorial, NC.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA. [5]
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Acadia National Park, ME.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Arches National Park, UT.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Crescent Beach State Park, ME.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Morristown National Historical Park, NJ; Washington Headquarters.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Salem, MA.
• Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Zion National Park, UT; Women's Rights National Historical Park, NY.
• Caribou-Targhee National Forest, ID; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM. [3]
• Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
• Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; White Sands National Monument, NM.
• Casa Grande, AZ; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Catoctin Mountain Park, MD; Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD.
• Cave Mountain Campground in Lewis and Clark National Forest, MT.
• Chattanooga, TN.
• Cherokee National Forest, TN; San Antonio Missions National Historical Park, TX; Nickolay National Park.
• Cherry Creek, CO; Steamboat, CO.
• Chesapeake, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA, Yorktown, VA;
• Chincanhuia, AL; Oak Mountain State Park, AL.
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ.
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM.
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ; Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Chiricahua National Monument, AZ; White Sands National Monument, NM.
• Cleveland National Forest, CA.
• Cleveland National Forest, CA; Inyo National Forest, CA; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Mojave National Preserve, CA; San Bernardino National Forest, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; BLM Land.
• Cliff House, CA.
• Colonial Williamsburg, VA.
• Colorado National Monument, CO.
• Colorado National Monument, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Colorado Springs, CO.
• Colorado.
• Coronado National Memorial, AZ; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Couple in Florida; Arches National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Crater Lake National Park, OR.
• Crater Lake National Park, OR; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Crater Lake National Park, OR; Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Crater Lake National Park, OR; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Big Bend National Park, TX.
- Crater Lake National Park, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Crater Lake National Park, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Craters Of The Moon National Monument and Preserve, ID; Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Crazy Horse Monument, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Curt Gowdy State Park, WY; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Vedauwoo, WY.
- Cull Canyon, CA; Saddle Mountain National Wildlife Refuge, WA.
- Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA; Fort Frederica National Monument, GA; Fort Sumter National Monument, SC; Charles Fourtley National Park.
- Custer Battlefield National Monument [Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument], MT; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA; Fort Frederica National Monument, GA; Fort Sumter National Monument, SC; Charles Fourtley National Park.
- Death Valley National Park, CA; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Death Valley National Park, CA; Point Reyes National Seashore, CA.
- Death Valley National Park, CA; Redwood National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Death Valley National Park, CA; Redwood National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Death Valley National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, DE; Gateway National Recreation Area, NY.
• Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, DE; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK, Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK. [8]
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK; Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Kenni.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK; Big Bend National Park, TX; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK; Lewis and Clark National Forest, MT.
• Denali National Park and Preserve, AK; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge, NE.
• Devil’s Lake State Park, WI; Washington D.C.; National Forest.
• Devils Postpile National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Devils Tower National Monument, WY. Chimney Pipe National Monument, SD.
• Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Dinosaur National Monument, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Craters National Park, ID.
• Dinosaur National Monument, CO; Great Basin National Park, NV.
• Dinosaur National Monument, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Dinosaur National Monument, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Double Sour; Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Acadia National Park, ME; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Ehehblaay National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Eldorado National Forest, CA; Stanislaus National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Ellis Island National Monument, NY; Fire Island National Seashore, NY.
• Everglades National Park, FL.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, FL.
• Everglades National Park, FL; National Dunes, MI.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Still Stood, FL.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Ted’s Lookout, NC.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Vocala National Forest.
• Everglades National Park, FL; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Fish Creek Falls, CO; Zion National Park, UT.
• Flaming Gorge National Recreation Area, UT; Lake Powell, UT; Wasatch-Cache National Forest, UT.
• Florissant Fossil Beds National Monument, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY; Nelson B. Rockefeller.
• Forest in Alaska, not sure of the name.
• Fort Caroline National Memorial, FL; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Fort Laramie National Historic Site, WY; Fread Forest.
• Fort Larned National Historic Site, KS.
• Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD.
• Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD; Solomons Island Military Campground, MD.
• Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD; Tectacka National Park, MD.
• Fort Pulaski National Monument, GA.
• Fort Pulaski National Monument, GA. Fort Frederica National Monument, GA, Santa Castilla, Mammoth Cave National Park, KY, Jamestown National Historic Site, VA.
• Fort Washington Park, MD; Great Falls Park, VA.
• Gateway Arch Riverfront, MO. [2]
• Gateway Arch Riverfront, MO; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Gateway National Recreation Area, NJ/NY.
• George Washington Birthplace National Monument, VA; Great Falls Park, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• George Washington National Forest, VA; Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA.
• George Washington National Forest, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Georgia; Utah parks.
• Gettysburg, PA; Jamestown National Historic Site, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA; Yorktown, VA.
• Gettysburg, PA; Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA.
• Gifford Pinchot National Forest, WA.
• Glacier Lake, OR; Badlands National Park, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Glacier Lake, OR; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Glacier Lake, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT. [7]
• Glacier National Park, MT; Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [4]
• Glacier National Park, MT; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Lake Powell, UT.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Assateague Island National Seashore, VA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Olympic National Park, WA. [2]
• Glacier National Park, MT; Olympic National Park, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Glacier National Park, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [16]
• Grand Canyon National Park (North Rim), AZ.
• Grand Canyon National Park (North Rim), AZ; Blue Ridge Parkway, NC.
• Grand Canyon National Park (North Rim), AZ; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park (North Rim) AZ; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ, Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ, Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ. [73]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Abamatics Park, Washington, D.C.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Archers National Park, UT, Canyonlands National Park, UT Lewis and Clark National Forest, MT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Archers National Park, UT. [6]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Archers National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Archers National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT. [2]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Valley Forge National Historical Park, VA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Lake Powell, UT; A couple places in Idaho.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Lincoln.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [2]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Ashes.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Asheville Forest.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Badlands National Park, SD.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Painted Desert, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Badlands National Park, SD; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bandelier National Monument, NM; Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Big Bend National Park, TX; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Big Bend National Park, TX; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Black Hills National Forest, SD; Arches National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Black Hills National Forest, SD; Losses Hills.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Blue Ridge Parkway, VA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT. [12]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Saint Croix National Scenic River, WI.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Yorktown, VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Green River; Phoenix.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [11]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Blue Ridge Parkway, NC/VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Canyon Jeza.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Cape Ann, MA; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Colorado National Monument, CO; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Crater Lake National Park, OR.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Custer Battlefield National Monument, MT; Badlands National Park, SD.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA, Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Mead Valley, CA; Bridgeport, CT; Mammoth Cave National Park, KY, Mount Hood National Forest, OR; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Whistler Peak, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY; All of the beaches on the West Coast; Model Lake.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, DE.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Everglades National Park, FL.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Everglades National Park, FL; Roger Williams National Memorial, RI; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Locha Hache; Sachuate Point.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Fort Matanzas National Monument, FL.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Fort McHenry National Monument and Historic Shrine, MD; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Fort Sumter National Monument, SC
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Four Corners Monument, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Gateway Arch Riverfront, MO; Yellowstone National Park, WY
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Gettysburg, PA; Valley Forge National Historical Park, VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Badlands National Park, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; North Dakota, Jackson Hole, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Falls Park, VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Dinosaur National Monument, UT; Mesa Dunes.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Harry S Truman National Historic Site, MO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI. [3]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park, HI; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Hoover Dam, NV. [3]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Hot Springs National Park, AR.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; J. N. "Ding" Darling National Wildlife Refuge, Fl; Starved Rock State Park, IL.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Sorell National Monument in Arizona.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Point Reyes National Seashore, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Zion National Park, UT; Arches National Park, UT; Assateague Island National Seashore, VA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ; Death Valley National Park, CA; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ; Lake Powell, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT, F. D. R. federal land.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lake Tahoe State Park, NV.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Liberty Bell Center, PA.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lincoln National Forest, NM; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Lion National Park.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO. [3]
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Acadia National Park, ME; Four Corners Monument, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Acadia National Park, ME; Zion National Park, UT.
• Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; White Sands National Monument, NM.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Montezuma Castle National Monument, AZ. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Montezuma Castle National Monument, AZ; Pueblo National Park, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Montezuma Castle National Monument, AZ; Colorado National Forest, CO; Badlands National Park, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Montezuma Castle National Monument, AZ; Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, AZ; Walnut Canyon National Monument, AZ; Aztec Ruins National Monument, NM.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Monument Valley Navajo Tribal Park, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Monument Valley Navajo Tribal Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Crazy Horse Monument, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Muir Woods National Monument, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; National Forest.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; North Cascades National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Old Major.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument, AZ; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Other ones in Arizona.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Padre Island National Seashore, TX.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Padre Island National Seashore, TX; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ, Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Arches National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Niagara Falls State Park; NY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, AZ; Craters Of The Moon National Monument and Preserve, ID; Ellis Island National Monument, NY; Statue of Liberty National Monument, NY; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Dinosaur National Monument, UT; Golden Spike National Historic Site, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Painted Desert, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Glacier National Park, MT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Philadelphia area.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Pike's Peak, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Pueblo National Park, Utah.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV; Shiloh, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Redwood National Park, CA; Kaloko-Honokohau National Historical Park, HI; Chickamauga and Chattanooga National Military Park, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Redwood National Park, CA; Olympic National Park, WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Redwood National Park, CA; Utah.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Roanoke Park; WA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [4]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sandia, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sedona, AZ. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sedona, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Big Bend National Park, TX.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Getsetame; Valley.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA. [5]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park, CO; Washington Monument, Washington, D.C.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Fort Clatsop, OR.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Shenandoah National Park, VA. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore, MI; Statue of Liberty National Monument, NY; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Stones River National Battlefield, TN.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, AZ; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Sunset Crater Volcano National Monument, AZ; Tonto National Forest, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Arches National Park, UT; Vermillion.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Custer State Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Tonto National Forest, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Tonto National Forest, AZ; Fort Matanzas National Monument, FL; Monongahela National Forest, WV.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Tonto National Monument, AZ; Blue Ridge Parkway, NC.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; White Mountain National Forest, NH; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; White Sands National Monument, NM.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; White Sands National Monument, NM; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Tim McCoy National Park.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Wupatki National Monument, AZ.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Wupatki National Monument, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [25]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA, Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Arches National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [2]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Colorado National Monument, CO; Arches National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Great Falls Park, VA; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Natural Bridges National Monument, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [5]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Zion National Park, UT. [12]
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Zion National Park, UT; Big Horn Mountains, WY.
- Grand Canyon National Park, AZ; Zion National Park, UT; Great Falls Park, VA.
- Grand Lake, OK; Keystone Lake, OK.
- Grand Portage National Monument, MN.
- Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
- Grand Teton National Park, WY. [9]
- Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [45]
- Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY; Parks in Utah.
- Grandfather Mountain, NC.
- Grease, CA.
- Great Falls Park, VA. [4]
- Great Falls Park, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA. [2]
- Great Lakes, OH.
- Great Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, MA; Petroglyph National Monument, NM.
- Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Bandelier National Monument, NM.
• Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
• Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Vail, CO.
• Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN. [3]
• Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Zion National Park, UT.
• Haleakala National Park, HI. [2]
• Hanna Park, FL; Huguenot Memorial Park, FL; Little Talbot Island, FL.
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI. [3]
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Carlsbad Caverns National Park, NM; Big Bend National Park, TX; Guadalupe Mountains National Park, TX.
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Glacier National Park, MT.
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Zion National Park, UT.
• Highyintas National Park.
• Historic sites, Shandello.
• Holly Springs National Forest, MS.
• Home of Franklin D. Roosevelt National Historic Site, NY.
• Honeymoon Island State Park, FL; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Hoover Dam, NV; Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, UT; Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument, UT; Lake Powell, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Hot Springs National Park, AR; Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve, CO; Mesa Verde National Park, CO.
• Huffington State Park.
• Hungry Mother State Park, VA; Mount Rogers National Recreation Area, VA.
• In Arizona, it was near Sedona.
• In Ketchikan, AK.
• Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, IN.
• Itasca State Park, MN; Custer State Park, SD.
• J. N. "Ding" Darling National Wildlife Refuge, Fl; Acadia National Park, ME; Kihowa, ME.
• Jacky Hallow Historic Park, LA; Lost [unknown], Cape Canaveral Seashore, FL; Acadia National Park, ME.
• Jamestown National Historic Site, VA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, WV.
• Jamestown National Historic Site, VA; Yorktown Battlefield, VA.
• Joe Wailer, AL.
• John H. Downs Memorial Park, MD; Sandy Point State Park, MD.
• John Muir National Historic Site, CA.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA. [6]
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Arches National Park, UT.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Los Padres National Forest, CA; Glacier National Park, MT.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Mount Shasta, CA; Redwood National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Crater Lake National Park, OR.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Pinnacles National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [2]
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Deserts.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Joshua Tree National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Katherine's Landing, AZ; Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ.
- Katmai National Park and Preserve, AK; Wasatch-Cache National Forest, UT.
- Kings Canyon National Park, CA.
- Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Point Reyes National Seashore, CA; Redwood National Park, CA; Sequoia National Forest, CA; Monuments in Washington, D.C.
- Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Kings Canyon National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park.
- Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ.
- Lake Mead National Recreation Area, AZ; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV.
- Lake Roosevelt National Recreation Area, WA.
- Lake Tahoe State Park, NV. [2]
- Lake Tahoe State Park, NV; Oregon Caves National Monument, OR.
- Lake Tahoe State Park, NV; Peninsula State Park.
- Lakes.
- Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA.
- Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
- Lassen Volcanic National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Lava Beds National Monument, CA; Harpers Ferry National Historical Park, WV.
- Leiber Lake/Campsite; Mcorre Lake.
- Liberty Bell Center, PA; East Coast Lands.
- Lincoln Home National Historic Site, IL; Home of Franklin D Roosevelt National Historic Site, NY; Sagamore Hills, PA.
- Lincoln National Forest, NM; White Sands National Monument, NM; Big Bend National Park, TX.
- Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Gateway Arch Riverfront, MO;
• Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD;
• Lolo Pass Ski Area, ID.
• Longs Peak, CO.
• Loxahatchee, FL; Key Biscayne, FL.
• Maine, Williamsburg, VA.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY. [4]
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Badlands National Park, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Midwest.
• Mammoth Cave National Park, KY; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Mark Twain National Forest, MO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Massachussets.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO. [5]
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT. [2]
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN, Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Great Falls Park, VA; Manassas National Battlefield Park, VA.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [3]
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Natural Bridges National Monument, UT.
• Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Tent Rocks, NM; Great Falls Park, VA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Missouri.
• Monument Valley Navajo Tribal Park, AZ; Mesa Verde National Park, CO; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Monument Valley Navajo Tribal Park, AZ; Sequoia National Forest, CA.
• Mount Evans, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount LeConte, TN.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA. [6]
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; North Cascades National Park, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; National Forest in Western Washington.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Olympic National Forest, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Olympic National Park, WA. [2]
• Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD. [3]
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Arches National Park, UT.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Big Bend National Park, TX; Price, TX; Arches National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [5]
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Saltwater State Park, WA.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Wind Cave National Park, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Wind Cave National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [11]
• Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mount Vernon, NY.
• Mount Vernon, NY; Arlington House, The Robert E. Lee Memorial, VA.
• Mount Washington Forest.
• Mountain National Park, CO; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Mt. Whitney, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Muir Woods National Monument, CA.
• Muir Woods National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Muir Woods National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Craters Of The Moon National Monument and Preserve, ID; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• National Mall, Washington, D.C.; George Washington Birthplace National Monument, VA.
• A national forest.
• National forest area in Southern Colorado; Gettysburg, PA.
• National parks in Arizona and Colorado.
• National Seashore, FL; Big Bend National Park, TX.
• National Wildlife Park, OK.
• Neal Smith National Wildlife Refuge, IA; Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA Olympic National Park, WA.
• North Carolina.
• North Cascades National Park, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Official Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, MN; Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Okefenokee National Wildlife Refuge, FL.
• Okefenokee National Wildlife Refuge, FL; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
• Olympic National Forest, WA.
• Olympic National Park, WA. [2]
• Olympic National Park, WA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Oregon Caves National Monument, OR; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Oregon; Washington.
• Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument, AZ; Big Bend National Park, TX.
• Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument, AZ; Saguaro National Park, AZ.
• Padre Island National Seashore, TX; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Park City, UT.
• Pea Ridge National Military Park, AR; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; DeSoto National Wildlife Refuge, NE; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Pea Ridge National Military Park, AR; Mammoth Cave National Park, KY.
• Petersburg National Battlefield, VA.
• Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Hoover Dam, NV; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Kimberson Memorial Park.
• Petrified Forest National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Petroglyph National Monument, NM.
• Pike's Peak, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Pikes Peak, CO.
• Pikes Peak, CO; San Isabel National Forest, CO; Canyonlands National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Pinecone National Monument, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
• Pinnacles National Monument, CA; Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, HI; Pu`uhonua O Honaunau National Historical Park, HI.
• Point Reyes National Seashore, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Point Reyes National Seashore, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Everglades National Park, FL.
• Poloma.
• Potomac Heritage National Scenic Trail, Washington, D.C.; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Proxy Falls, OR.
• Red Reef Park, FL; Amish River Park.
• Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV. [2]
• Redwood National Park, CA; Cape Cod National Seashore, MA.
• Redwood National Park, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO.
• Redwood National Park, CA; Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore, MI; Crater Lake National Park, OR; Oregon Caves National Monument, OR; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA; Olympic National Park, WA.
• Redwood National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA.
• Redwood National Park, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO Cape Cod National Seashore, MA; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [25]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Jamestown National Historic Site, VA.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT. [2]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Arches National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Aztec Ruins National Monument, NM.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Badlands National Park, SD. [2]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Big Bend National Park, TX; Badlands National Park, SD.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Fort McCleary, ME; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT. [2]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Badlands National Park, SD; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Glacier National Park, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Great Basin National Park, NV.
• Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Hoover Dam, NV; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Fort Laramie National Historic Site, WY.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Jewel Cave National Monument, SD.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Lake Powell, UT.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; New Hampshire.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Padre Island National Seashore, TX.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Prairie Land National Park, KS.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Phinonco National Park; VA.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Slickrock Trail, UT.
- Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [7]
- Rubicon Trail, CA.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Haleakala National Park, HI; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO; Big Bend National Park, TX; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Saguaro National Park, AZ; Yosemite National Park, CA; Brown V Board Of Education National Historic Site, KS; Wind Cave National Park, SD; Fort Laramie National Historic Site, WY.
- Salom River (Middle Fork), ID.
- San Bernardino National Forest, CA.
- San Bernardino National Forest, CA; Hoover Dam, NV; Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area, NV.
- Sandy Hook, NY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Santa Barbara, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
- Santqueary MI; Tetagoo, MI.
- Savannah National Wildlife Refuge, SC.
- Scotts Bluff National Monument, NE; Lincoln Home National Historic Site, IL.
- Sequoia National Forest, CA. [2]
- Sequoia National Forest, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
- Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA. [3]
- Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Wind Cave National Park, SD.
- Sequoia National Forest, CA; Yosemite National Park, CA; Zion National Park, UT.
- Shawnee National Forest; IL; Hoosier National Forest, IN.
- Shenandoah National Park (Luray Caverns), VA.
• Shenandoah National Park (Skyline Drive), VA. [3]
• Shenandoah National Park, VA [13]
• Shenandoah National Park, VA; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Shenango Reservoir, PA.
• Shiloh, TN.
• Silverwood Lake Recreation Park, CA.
• Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore, MI. [2]
• Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore, MI; Manasti National Forest.
• South Dakota.
• St. George Island, FL.
• Statue of Liberty National Monument, NY; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Tahoe National Forest, CA.
• Tahoe National Forest, CA; Meskeegan State Park, MI.
• The Alamo, TX.
• The Alamo, TX; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT.
• The Alamo, TX; Zion National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Turkey Run State Park, IN.
• [Unknown Name], CO.
• [Unknown Name], MI.
• Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge, MN.
• US Corps of Engineers, PA.
• Vale Pass, CO.
• Valley Forge National Historical Park, VA.
• Virgin Islands National Park, Virgin Islands; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Voyageurs National Park, MN; Theodore Roosevelt National Park, ND.
• Washington D.C.
• Washington, D.C.; Acadia National Park, ME.
• Washington, D.C.; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
• Westhampton Beach Area, NY.
• Whiskeytown National Recreation Area, CA; Great Basin National Park, NV.
• White Mountain National Forest, NH; Badlands National Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD.
• White Mountain National Forest, NH.
• White Sands National Monument, NM; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• White Sands National Monument, NM; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Williamsburg, VA
• Wind Cave National Park, SD; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN; Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, TN.
• Wright Brothers National Memorial, NC; Gettysburg, VA; Valley Forge National Historical Park, VA; National Seashore of the Outer Banks.
• Wyoming.
• Yearwoods.
• Yellowstone National Park, WY. [55]
• Yellowstone National Park, WY; Glacier National Park, MT; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Badlands National Park, SD.
• Yellowstone National Park, WY; National Seashore.
• Yellowstone National Park, WY; Slickrock Canyon Trail, TX.
• Yellowstone National Park, WY; State Forests.
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Alaska (park).
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Arches National Park, UT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Crater Lake National Park, OR.
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Glacier National Park, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Minnesota state parks.
• Yosemite National Park, CA, Piscgah National Forest, NC; Great Smoky Mountains National Park, TN.
• Yosemite National Park, CA. [48]
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Acadia National Park, ME; Glacier National Park, MT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Arches National Park, UT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Arches National Park, UT; Canyonlands National Park, UT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Badlands National Park, SD; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Black Hills National Forest, SD; Mount Rainier National Park, WA; Whitman Mission National Historic Site, WA; Devils Tower National Monument, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Cape Henlopen State Park, DE.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Crater Lake National Park, OR.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Eleven Mile Canyon Reservoir, CO.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Everglades National Park, FL.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; federal lands in Oregon.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Georgetown Park, Washington, D.C.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Capitol Reef National Park, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Grand Teton National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Zion National Park, UT; Gettysburg, VA.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Glacier National Park, MT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Grand Teton National Park, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [2]
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Great Basin National Park, NV; Custer State Park, SD; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Promontory Point, UT; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Lake Tahoe State Park, NV.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Lemond Caves, NV.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, MT; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Devils Tower National Monument, WY; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Mount Rainier National Park, WA.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Mount Rushmore National Memorial, SD; Bryce Canyon National Park, UT; Zion National Park, UT.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Mount St. Helens National Volcanic Monument, WA.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Myakka River State Park, FL; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Rocky Mountain National Park, CO. [2]
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Shenandoah National Park, VA.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; White Sands National Monument, NM; Yellowstone National Park, WY.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Yellowstone National Park, WY. [3]
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Yorktown VA.
• Yosemite National Park, CA; Zion National Park, UT.
• Yucaipa, CA.
• Zion National Park, UT. [6]
• Zion National Park, UT; National Forests; A national seashore.
• Zion National Park, UT; Olympic National Park, WA.
Appendix C. Other Factors in Buying an Annual Pass

12. *Are there any other things that would be important to your household in deciding whether or not to buy an annual pass for recreation on federal lands?*

**RDD**

- Age of their children.
- Amount of the purchase price.
- Amount of use I would make in a year.
- Availability to use all facilities they offer.
- Availability, ease.
- Availability.
- Budget.
- Campgrounds and facilities; what they have to offer and what’s available.
- Can’t think of anything.
- Closer to federal lands.
- Commit to travel, want to be spontaneous.
- Convenience - no worries about expenses for entrance.
- Convenience if it was renewable by mail.
- Convenience of entrance.
- Cost effective.
- Cost of the pass.
- Cost too much.
- Cost vs. the entrance.
- Cost. [3]
- Detailed information as to what it does for you.
- Distance to travel to get to the lands.
- Distance you would have to travel.
- Distance. [3]
- Don’t make it an annual pass; make it ten visits to federal lands, not limited to one year.
- Ease of attainability.
- Ease of purchase.
- Ease of purchasing - how easy it is to purchase it.
- Easy access.
- Expectation of use.
- Family income.
- Finances.
- Frequency of use.
- Frequency that I would use it.
- Frequency, travel.
- Having park closer. I live in Ohio and the closest one is in, like, Kentucky.
• How accessible are the passes.
• How clean the park is.
• How close it would be to access it.
• How close the parks are to me.
• How close they are to us.
• How close they would be to our area.
• How close we lived to lands that charged fees.
• How close you live to one and how much you enjoy going to it.
• How easy it is to enter the park.
• How easy it would be to purchase them.
• How it's available to buy. If information is clear.
• How many times we would use it.
• How many times you would use it with them so far away.
• How much time we can get off.
• How much time you have to travel.
• How much vacation we get.
• How often we go, and distance.
• How we can use it. Would it be a sticker? If we lost it could we get a replacement?
• I don't like parks that allow ATVs and snowmobiling. I don't think that federal parks should allow that activity.
• I don't really think that there is advantage in having a pass. My taxes should pay for the entrances.
• I don't think we should pay.
• I guess how much we were traveling.
• I guess the cost is the most important thing.
• I use the state parks more than federal lands so I don't need an annual pass.
• I would like to see more money going in to the park program.
• If a portion of the pass proceeds would go to funding to preserve the parks.
• If it is just annual, it would be a matter of what you're doing that year.
• If she has a visitor.
• If there were a forest fire or some other national disaster, it would be nice to have a refund available if we couldn't use it.
• If there was some small propaganda that made me feel like my contribution was going towards something.
• If there were some around where I live in Indiana.
• If they had facilities like bathroom, and running water.
• If they limited how many people were using the federal land per day.
• If they really made it really expensive.
• If we are vacationing or going around any places we would need a pass.
• If we closer/in the proximity of parks to visit.
• If we had the time to travel we would buy the pass.
• I'm interested in preserving national parks.
• Immediate location - how close it was to our area.
Issues with snowmobiles and crowds are an issue, which is why I don't go more than a couple times a year to these parks.

It is important to support the upkeep of the environmental conditions of the parks and donate money to the cause.

It would be nice to have a pass that would cover state and federal lands.

It would be nice to have one that covered a few years.

It would have to cover everything everywhere in all the states. I am kind of opposed to fee areas; that's why I pay taxes.

It's a good cause to support.

It's nice that other people can get in under your pass.

Just distance from different parks, a lot are state parks.

Just whether it is cost effective for where we go; if it covered state access too that would definitely be important.

Just whether it is required or not.

Keep loggers out.

Knowing how the money is managed and what it goes for.

Lack of time.

Length of time.

Linked to a state pass.

Location - you have to drive so far and it's too costly.

Location to the federal lands in relation to where I'll be.

Location, like how far it is for me to travel to it.

Location.

Logistics.

Money goes toward the upkeep of federal lands.

Money was used for upkeep of the federal lands.

More inclined to buy one if I was retired, so I could take advantage of the parks.

Nice if they incorporated with the fee, camping. A pass where you got into the park, and could camp without paying a fee.

No, I like getting the publications.

No, it's done well. It could be marketed better. Get discounts on other things too.

Not covered by park pass.

Not investing enough money into parks.

Not with not being aware of it.

Only if they charged at boat ramps where I went.

Parks you have to be guided to go through the park, so it stops them from purchasing a pass.

Paying tuition.

Planning on going there often.

Portable or attached to a vehicle.

Price - how much it would cost.

Price. [2]

Probably the vacation time I can get.
• Probably understanding of what would be included. List of places.
• Proximity and attraction.
• Proximity of federal lands from where I live. There should be parks near where I live for me to go there.
• Proximity. [2]
• Reasonable price.
• Scout group passes.
• Scouts should get a discount. Free for eagle scouts.
• Seasonality of the pass.
• Security.
• See it with my husband.
• Services available.
• Something that is not offered, discounts to keep renewing it.
• Special privileges from the pass, such as access to more areas or possibility of entrance at times with lower traffic.
• Specifically which parks could I visit? I would like to know.
• That it would be beneficial for all in the house.
• That they use the money for the federal lands.
• The amount of places the pass would cover.
• The area you are going to visit and which parks require a fee.
• The convenience of purchasing the pass.
• The convenience of the location.
• The cost and the procedures how to get it.
• The cost or how many times I use it.
• The cost.
• The distance to the federal lands.
• The distance to the lands.
• The distance.
• The ease of buying the pass itself.
• The fact that it supports the national parks.
• The Golden Eagle Passport; it works for state parks and manage.
• The life in time that the pass is good for.
• The most important thing for me is whether or not the pass is cheaper compared to the entrance fees.
• The number of parks within driving distance.
• The number of times you plan to visit as opposed to just paying the entrance fee when you decide to go.
• The only thing that I could say that if there were a federal parks area that were near us, then we would buy a pass.
• The personal facilities available like bathroom/shower.
• The price.
• The problem is we have no time to travel because my kids do sports all seasons round.
• The savings between regular entrance fees and the park pass.
• There should be no fees at all. federal lands should be paid for with our taxes.
• Time off.
• Time to go.
• Time to travel.
• To support the lands.
• Total cost.
• Total of number times I would visit federal park.
• Use of it.
• Vacation plans.
• Want the annual passes to be good at all federal lands.
• We are located in an area where there are not many lands.
• We buy when we visit national parks.
• We don't go to federal lands that charge a fee.
• We go sporadically and wouldn't be able to plan when we used it.
• We would go more if we were closer.
• Well the convenience of the restrooms and cleanliness.
• What could you see/risks.
• What the money was going to.
• Where the money went, and I would prefer to pay a fee to maintain the land that I use.
• Where to purchase them and ability to buy them online.
• Whether it would be in the budget.
• Whether it’s family or not.
• Whether or not the parks we go to will charge a fee.
• Whether or not we’re going to use it.
• Wolves.
• Yeah depends on if we plan to go there.
• Yeah I would say if you know if buying a pass would include other activities such as horseback riding or any other activities that normally get charged for. If it would also pay for those activities I would be more likely to get the pass.
NPF

- Access to lands.
- Access to parks.
- Accessibility, not as many federal places near here.
- Add more parks.
- Amenities at each location.
- Amount of money that goes to preserving land.
- An express lane for pass-holders. I think people would even pay more for that.
- Are we going to go to more than one park?
- As a donation.
- As long as it continues to be useful for everyone in the family.
- As long as the money was used for preservation.
- Attempting to help finance the national parks.
- Availability of family sites.
- Availability, ease.
- Basically just when we travel.
- Because it supports the parks.
- Being able to stay in the park.
- Being supportive of the national parks.
- Better clear if vacation.
- Better federal support for the current service.
- Better knowledge of where the lands are located or located in my driving region.
- Bought to support the national parks.
- Budget for the year.
- Campsites available.
- Cannot think of others.
- Cheaper than buying multiple entrance fees.
- Contribution for their programs.
- Contribution to help protect the parks.
- Convenience in buying one, and information available.
- Convenience of buying.
- Convenience of getting it on the website and the speed of delivery of the pass.
- Convenience of getting it online.
- Convenience of getting it. I came across it by accident on the Internet.
- Convenience of getting pass on Internet.
- Convenience of going through the gate with a pass.
- Convenience of the pass.
- Convenience of use.
- Convenience to buy it (can buy it online and at the parks).
• Convenience, I think they are very inexpensive for a road trip tour. They could even bump up the price if need be.
• Convenience, it’s quicker to get in or out.
• Convenience, you don’t have to have cash.
• Convenience. [10]
• Coordinate federal and state into one pass. It makes people want to not comply.
• Cost, convenience, support for system.
• Cost, flexibility.
• Cost. [2]
• Could help not selling off lands.
• Depending if it would be good for an individual or a family.
• Depends on how often we go.
• Depends on the numbers of parks that allow dogs.
• Depends on the recreation.
• Depends on whether the vacation plans to take us into a number of parks.
• Didn’t apply to Mount Rushmore.
• Discount for lodging.
• Discounts for renewals.
• Distance to nearest national park, how many are within driving range?
• Distance. [2]
• Don’t forget the pass at home, have a record in case it was forgotten.
• Donating money to the national parks.
• Ease in which you get it.
• Ease of buying the pass online, perhaps having a discount on material within the park or forest would make the pass more desirable.
• Ease of obtaining it.
• Ease of purchase. [3]
• Ease of use, different entrance for people with the pass.
• Ease with which it could be purchased.
• Everyone should pay for access to them.
• Fact to support national parks.
• Family health conditions.
• Faster service at the parks.
• Find out what is being improved and where the money is going.
• For support of the national lands.
• For us, I think the availability and knowledge of the pass there would be more purchased.
• Frequency of use if we get our money’s worth.
• Frequency.
• Gasoline prices.
• General support of the national park system.
• Geographic location compared to the parks.
• Get a discount at the park stores for pass holders.
• Give money to park service.
• Good for everyone in the family.
• Good to support the parks. Like the decal on car window.
• Got right in with the park pass, did not have to wait in long lines to purchase a pass.
• Great value.
• Great way to support the park system.
• Having had one, we might buy it even if we weren’t going to attend.
• Helps out the park systems.
• Hoping it benefits the managers of the federal lands, money is of value besides just the costs.
• How busy lands were.
• How close the parks are to us.
• How close.
• How convenient it is to get one. If it could be bought online and mailed to you, it would be great. If it were something you had to go and buy I would think twice.
• How easy it is to leave it home...online way to prove they bought it.
• How easy to get one.
• How far away it is.
• How far away we lived from a national park.
• How hard it would be to get the pass, no questionnaires.
• How long and where the vacation is going to be.
• How long it lasts.
• How many are close to us.
• How many areas are close to where I live.
• How many parks planning on visiting.
• How many people are in the car, and whether we are going or not.
• How many people can be on one pass.
• How many people it would cover.
• How many places it is good at.
• How many places you can visit.
• How many times I would use it.
• How many times I would use, depends on when I do.
• How many times we make it to a national park.
• How much goes into maintaining environmental protection.
• How much is contributed to conservation.
• How much money actually goes to the parks.
• How much money goes to the parks and how much is wasted.
• How much the fees actually contribute to the parks.
• How often we plan to use that year.
• How often.
• How the money is used.
• I am really pleased! It’s really nice that it covers the entrance fees.
• I basically buy it as a contribution whether I’m going to use it or not.
• I bought ours after seeing how much we would visit parks that year, and it was a much better deal price-wise.
• I can't think of anything other than that three.
• I can't think of any.
• I do it to support the parks.
• I don't have to carry cash.
• I feel quality for paying too little for pass.
• I guess how many times we were going to go.
• I guess the length of the pass.
• I have a National Parks Pass but it doesn't cover all the states. If it covered all state and federal parks.
• I like it to be used everywhere in the U.S. I like email coupons.
• I like the pictures on it.
• I like the programs that stress the proceeds are going to be used in that particular area.
• I like to support the park system.
• I prefer campground special treatment.
• I think it should cover camping fees.
• I think the second question summed it up.
• I think you should be able to make donations.
• I want a good dump station.
• I want to support the parks system.
• I wish it were just one pass and not additional add-ons.
• I would like them to not have the extra stamps. Just one fee for everything.
• I would like to get airline miles when I purchase the pass as I have before.
• I would support the parks the parks anyway whether or not we were planning on using it.
• I wouldn't buy the pass because only every place that we went only one or two places only charged an entrance fee. We usually use a state pass. It takes a long time to get to a national site.
• I’d like the process of getting the new pass each year to be more efficient since I get it every year.
• I’d like to see it cost enough to support the parks. I think people should expect to pay more because they get so much. There is so much behind these sites.
• If 100% of the fees went back into the park system and not to a general federal fund. So that the money you pay for a pass is designated to stay with the National Park Service.
• If any places we go to start charging fees.
• If gas prices weren’t jumping all over the place we would tour more.
• If I knew better how the funds were distributed, I would like to know which program was benefiting from the purchase of my pass. I would like to give my money to the NWR's if possible.
• If I know I am supporting conservation funding.
• If I learned that that past money was not predominately being used for the parks, I would not be buying them.
• If I used it more that year.
• If I'm in the country...I travel a lot for my job, for long periods of time.
• If it could be used by the whole family. If our kids could get on the pass too.
• If it covered all lands.
• If it covered state parks.
• If it helps the parks.
• If it is going to purchase additional land and conservational services like that then that would be a better reason to buy it, it would be better.
• If it was combined with the state of California Snow Park pass.
• If it was more widely accepted (federal and state together).
• If it's good for a whole year.
• If more places were accessible with the pass, or if more places charged entrance fees.
• If the cost were reasonable.
• If the federal passes were to federal and state places.
• If the money goes to the parks.
• If the parks were closer yes, but we aren't very close to any.
• If the pass support the efforts to maintain them so that they are still there when we go again.
• If the pass was for more than one year.
• If the places are handicap accessible.
• If the proceeds go to the park.
• If there is any other benefits such as a kid's program or a kid certificate, if you went to the park you would get access to different areas. If you become a member you get pieces.
• If there was horse backing and hunting available.
• If there were special tours we could take for buying the pass.
• If they allow more motorized vehicles into the national parks that would be deterrent.
• If they banned ATVs on federal land I would use it.
• If they had a different way of having to carry a pass. If they had a database where you could just say your name or something. You just simply can't carry around everything that you need.
• If they have been kept up.
• If things were closer to where I lived.
• If we are only planning on going to travel a lot that year.
• If we can plan a trip.
• If we didn't go for a whole week we wouldn't buy the pass.
• If we don't visit much, we don't get our money out of it but we don't mind because it's for a good cause.
• If we feel like it supports the parks.
• If we have enough food.
• If we have time to go.
• If we make more than one trip.
• If we used it or not.
• If we were planning a trip.
• If we will be near them.
• If you can make advance reservations at campsites.
• If you could buy like a 5-use or 10-use pass.
• Important to see all the federal lands in the U.S.
• In past years, booklets came with the pass, it was really cool. Discount on campsites would be a big deal because they are getting expensive.
• In preparation for a trip, if they would make it so the pass would be good from the first time you use it instead of the first of the calendar year.
• Info...
• Information, maps, stuff like that, travel planning.
• Internet availability.
• Is it close to my house? Its far to get there.
• Is there a way to replace a pass if it's lost, because it happened and I didn't get it back.
• It also supports the parks.
• It can be on the car and easy to get in.
• It depends on where we are going on vacation.
• It goes for a good cause.
• It helps support the parks.
• It helps with budget.
• It is important if you visit a lot of places. Financially - trying to build the system.
• It is important that the money is used to maintain the lands and services and such.
• It is important to have a difference between entrance fees, pass being separate from camping fees.
• It is nice to know how the money that was used to buy the pass is being used. It is not clear where all the parks are; it would be nice if a map was provided with all the parks on it.
• It is very important to me that my hiking and horseback riding is not interrupted by motorcycles.
• It might be more encouraging to go more.
• It supports the parks.
• It would be nice if there was an easy way to get the pass.
• It would probably be how in proximity to federal lands.
• It's a lot of fun to use them! Feel special.
• It's a nice option, we support the parks.
• It's nice to purchase the pass in advance and that it only gets in effect when it's first stamp.
• Its contribution to a good cause.
• It's cost effective.
• It's just location; some of them are so far away you can only visit one a year sometimes.
• It's very convenient.
• It's virtually a monetary opinion, if it's 5 or 10 bucks different we'll buy what's convenient.
• Just a contribution to the park.
• Just also making a contribution to the national parks.
• Just as long as we have some left to visit.
• Just convenience.
• Just doing our part, and contributing to the cause because it's magnificent.
• Just get the pass there.
- Just collects the passes. It is easier just to show the pass than going through the hassle to get a ticket each time.
- Just if it's used in multiple locations.
- Just like support national lands.
- Just supporting the national parks.
- Just supporting the parks system.
- Just supporting the parks whether or not we go.
- Just that we get the support to keep them and I would be willing to pay more to support the parks. They are doing the best job they can with the money they have.
- Just the convenience of not having to wait in line.
- Just the cost.
- Just the fact that I get the quarterly magazine by the national park.
- Just the notion of supporting the national parks.
- Just to support the national parks and we also donate to the parks.
- Just to support the park service.
- Just whether or not we plan on traveling that year.
- Keep cost down.
- Keeping snowmobiles and other off-road vehicles out of those areas.
- Keeping up the maintenance.
- Know that they help the national parks.
- Knowing and understanding all the parks and lands in the area.
- Knowing that all of the funds toward the pass go to the federal lands to keep it available to the public and the upkeep.
- Knowing that I'm supporting federal lands and their preservation.
- Knowing that it contributes to the perpetuation of our national treasures forever.
- Knowing what is covered and not covered understanding.
- Knowing what the money was supporting.
- Knowing where the money goes, exactly how it is divided up.
- Knowing where the money goes.
- Knowing where the money is going.
- Knowledge that the funds from buying the pass go to the parks where they were purchased.
- Length of trip.
- Like federal lands would like to have State parks included.
- Like that can have one pass for many places.
- Like that it supports parks.
- Like the convenience of the card.
- Like the fact you get the booklet to notify the parks.
- Like to support the parks will by at most any price.
- Lines are shorter with people with the pass than having to wait in line to pay the fee.
- Listing where all areas are.
- Locality and the distance.
- Location of federal parks.
• Location of the lands.
• Location, if we were close to some parks.
• Location.
• Lodging.
• Lots of things, but we always have one.
• Low cost pass.
• Lower age bracket to get the passes for people aged 50-61.
• Main thing is the cost.
• Majority of money actually go to parks.
• Make cheap.
• Make it to all passes.
• Make sure they are going to get their moneys worth.
• Make sure you know that it's going to keep up the parks and things like that.
• Making sure the national parks get funded.
• Maybe if you could buy one or not. If you are going or not.
• Maybe more info about off-roading and four-wheel drive vehicles.
• Money goes back to the national park system.
• More access to information.
• More convenient.
• More discounts with your pass, special things.
• More support to national parks program.
• Mostly support of the national park.
• My income level.
• National park visits in the state where you live should be free.
• Need a pass for a national historical spot would be good.
• Needs to make economic sense.
• No further changes in regulation that take away specific privileges.
• No I guess the first one covers how many times we were going to visit. It's nice that in some parks if you have the pass you can just drive through.
• No line!
• No waiting in line.
• No, I just support, I think they're important. I just support them, even if I'm not planning a big vacation.
• No, I think those are the main considerations.
• No, it's pretty convenient.
• No, we think it's a great thing. We're a national parks family.
• Not having to wait to get in.
• Not really just feel like we are supporting the national parks.
• Not to me. I don't think there's anything you could do to make it better.
• Nothing other than frequency.
• Number and variety of lands you can get into to.
• Number of parks.
• Number of times plan to visit park.
• Number of visits.
• Number or times.
• Obviously the cost.
• One coordinated with the state as opposed to just federal.
• Only if I'm trying to talk someone into going with me.
• Only if they plan to use it.
• Our biggest thing was the cost; we figured it was 20 dollars the most to get into a park. We figured a pass was the best way to go.
• Our planning internally.
• Overall support the parks.
• Parks are in good shape - camping facilities.
• Part of funding support park service not just one. Community fund.
• Pass is the best.
• Passes that stick to card are no good.
• Plan if we are going and to where.
• Planning on travel.
• Planning the time.
• Planning.
• Politically takes over.
• Price compared to one-time admission.
• Price. [7]
• Probably if we lived closer to some.
• Probably just the convenience.
• Probably the location in relation to where I am located and the number of times I will be using the pass.
• Protection of wilderness areas.
• Proximity of national parks.
• Proximity of parks to our house.
• Proximity the place is to pay the fees.
• Proximity to our home.
• Proximity to parks.
• Quality of the national parks.
• Quick entrance.
• Receive to op to support.
• Reminders to buy the pass.
• RV Parking.
• Save money. [2]
• Shorter lines.
• Should be user-friendly, clean and easy place to get to it, sanitary facilities and security.
• Should sell vehicle stickers.
• Showing support.
- Simplification of the whole structure.
- State lands as well as federal lands: it would be nice if there was some sort of combined thing that would get you into everything.
- Support of national parks program, regardless of how many times I visit national parks.
- Support of parks/lands. [44]
- Suppose it would be as long as we were able to travel and visit the places.
- Tax deductible.
- That it was good at all of the places that we want to visit.
- That it help support the parks.
- That it will go to a good cause.
- That it's easy.
- That the parks stay open because of the pass fees.
- The ability to get someplace to buy it or the convenience to purchase it.
- The amount of cars they allow into certain areas.
- The amount of money going to conservation.
- The amount that goes to the parks.
- The consistency that could be used in any state.
- The cost. [2]
- The cost. It's a savings if you’re going to do more than one or two.
- The ease of getting one.
- The ease of purchasing one.
- The ease of purchasing one. Internet.
- The ease of using it when you get to the facility. It is easier to use it than to pay as you enter. So the ease of use of the pass compared to paying at each site.
- The economy.
- The fact that it supports the Parks themselves.
- The fact that the money stays with the unit where you buy it.
- The government does not support federal lands.
- The inflexibility of the pass. If you have a problem, you’re just out of luck, since it’s like a non-refundable ticket.
- The line is faster.
- The location of parks near me.
- The main reason I buy it is to support them.
- The main reason I do it is to support the parks.
- The main thing would be what our travel plans are. Last summer we made a trip to places we usually wouldn't visit.
- The money from the passes goes to support federal lands that is what is most important for us.
- The money goes to the national parks.
- The money I spend to help the parks that I frequent.
- The more people who go.
- The most important thing is to have a really easy one-time fee pass and I feel like I get additional benefits.
• The number of times that we go to federal lands.
• The overall cost compared to the numbers of places we're going to. We usually go to two places.
• The overall fees.
• The possibility of a military discount.
• The price is a big factor.
• The price is the biggest thing.
• The Price Primarily.
• The priority line, if I already have the pass I don't want to wait in line.
• The proximity of the parks.
• The quality of the parks.
• The support aspect. I'm sure those dollars go to maintaining the parks.
• The support of environment and the support of the park. It's important that the money stays there.
• The support of the parks.
• The time and opportunity to go the federal lands.
• The time that we have to do it.
• The usage, whether we would use it or not, or if it transferable to other family members.
• The use.
• This depends on how much traveling you do.
• Timing of the trips.
• To be good citizens.
• To contribute to the national parks.
• To help support the parks. [2]
• To help the parks financially.
• To support federal lands [10]
• To support the parks, regardless of whether we use it or not.
• Total cost.
• Transferability between members of the household.
• Transferability to someone else.
• Travel distance.
• Travel plans.
• Traveling
• Trips to the west.
• Two stickers for two cars.
• Use it everywhere.
• Vacation dependent, price.
• Vacation planes. [2]
• Vacation plans. [3]
• Vacation time.
• Value.
• Want more information about the passes, whether we want it or not.
• Want to support national parks. [4]
• We actually buy it to support national parks. It does not usually pay for itself.
• We bought a card last year and are not going to buy another one because we spent more than we had to on the entrance fee and we resented having to pay parking which we had already paid with income tax. Therefore we will probably do less visiting of national parks.
• We feel like we are supporting the national parks system.
• We found the convenience of getting a pass.
• We like to support the national parks in general. I like the fact that we own a part of the lands. I feel like I am contributing to the beauty of our county and it’s a patriotic thing to do. The natural beauty of our country is important and makes me pro.
• We shouldn't have to pay for federal lands that don't have parking amenities.
• We sometimes live overseas.
• We thought about the fact that it would support the national park system.
• We want to support the parks. The money is going to a good cause.
• Well I don't think so.
• Well if we had some closer to us, that would help. It would be a deciding factor.
• Well, make it good at everything!
• Well, there are some lands where there is a charge for use, which I don't particularly believe in.
• Well, whether or not I went, I’d buy the pass just to support the parks.
• Were supporting the parks.
• Were the money goes.
• What our assessment of our schedule would be.
• What our plans were.
• What parks they are good for.
• What the additional money goes for.
• What the fee would go to.
• What the money goes to.
• What the money is used for. [2]
• Wheelchair access is good for handicap individuals. Biggest problem is finding a place to stay. Price of everything goes up in tourist season.
• When the card becomes valid. If they had a demarcation.
• When we run out of places to see.
• Where the funding is going.
• Where the funds are used.
• Where the funds went. [2]
• Where the money goes. I want money to go to the parks.
• Where we're going on vacation.
• Where you can use it, use enough to make it worthwhile.
• Whether it would be used, there are not many federal lands in our area.
• Whether or not I’m planning on going out of state or not.
• Whether or not it was good for some state parks too.
• Whether or not there a little incentive or something.
- Whether or not they live in the U.S.
- Whether or not we can afford to travel.
- Whether or not we plan on other vacations.
- Whether or not we were going to take any trips.
- Whether or not we would be able to use it.
- Whether we would use it.
- Which ones are charging.
- Whether I could buy it online.
- Wishes that he didn't have to carry the card.
- Would just depend on the year and what we are going to do.
- Yeah if I had more vacation time.
- Yeah whether or not I have a date.
- Yes if there were discounts on other purchases.
- Yes parking fees included.
- Yes, I really want to support the national parks.
- Yes, if I am visiting a number of federal lands in a short period of time that is a lot of cash that I won't have to carry with me. I like to show people the card.
- You still have to go to the booth to pay money or show the pass and you might misplace it.
Appendix D. Reasons for Not Wanting the New Pass for Free

21. Can you tell me why not?
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- Actually, we have more state parks locally than federal and most of our recreation is geared toward water and that is more state run stuff.
- Because I'll be happy to pay if I need it.
- Because we just go at the spur of the moment.
- Because we would not use it.
- Because you wouldn't be able to put it to use.
- Don't need pass.
- Don't travel that much.
- Don't get a chance to get to the federal lands.
- Don't know that we'd use it.
- I feel like I would rather pay the 2-3 dollars to support the federal land.
- I feel that a visit to a park should be able to maintain all of the fees. User driven regardless.
- I pay taxes I shouldn't have to pay to get onto my federal land.
- I think that there is good value for something like that so I wouldn’t take it for free.
- I wouldn’t use it.
- If we have to go we just go.
- It's ridiculous that if there is a fee at parks to get the pass for free.
- Just because I know I won't be going to any federal lands.
- Not a time that we would go there; really not worth it. Done it in the past, but it's not a regular basis.
- Probably won't do any vacation like that in 2007.
- We don't go out much.
- We don't go to federal lands very often.
- We don't have any federal lands.
- We don't have federal land available close.
- We don't plan to travel.
- We don't visit federal lands that charge a fee.
- We probably won't use it.
- Won't use.
- Would like to pay; 75-125 would be ok to support the land.
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- 1000's of miles to get there.
- A lot of times they charge so much and they see no improvement in the areas so it is not worth it.
- All the parks in the area are state parks.
- Because I want more money to be going to the parks. It needs to be higher than $25.
- Because there's not many federal water areas close to us. When we go away we're usually in the woods.
- Because we are just not going to plan that far ahead. A lot of what we do is already going to parks and sites is usually pretty spontaneous- something triggers the desire to go and we go. The pass wouldn't entice us to take it.
- Because we don't go out that often.
- Because we have a tendency to pick up and go; maybe use it, maybe not use it.
- Because we rarely go to a park.
- Because we wouldn't be using it that much.
- Because we wouldn't use it; we don't go to parks that charge.
- Cannot travel with a baby.
- Don't go much.
- Don't need it for free.
- Don't want to waste the government’s time.
- Ever since the park department got involved, instead of taking care of the land, they refuse to take care of the land. There have been massive fires. They are public. Federal is paid for by people. They won't clear out the fire hazard.
- I am so far away.
- I don't go frequently enough.
- I don't need it. I'm not interested in having an annual pass.
- I don't plan to travel to any of those types of places in the near future.
- I don't think it should be free.
- I don't think we'll be using anything like that, so I can't see using one.
- I don't travel that much.
- I just don't.
- I may not even go to a park this year.
- I only want to use my pass to go to national parks.
- I think some money should go to the government, but $85 is a little steep.
- I would rather purchase it.
- I wouldn't use it.
- If it's for free, because it sounds weird.
- If we were interested in that kind of travel we would be interested in buying one- we are not doing that kind of travel this year.
- If you did that, we wouldn't be supporting our federal lands; that’s why we give money to them now.
- I'm a naturalist and I have a lot of passes.
• It depends on what our plans are.
• It's limited where we go.
• No national parks that close.
• No need for it...no close places...no trips planned.
• Not close to any federal lands, not convenient.
• Not feasible to travel the distance.
• Not if it wouldn't be used. I'm not necessarily sure where I could use it where I am. I wouldn't drive a long distance to use it.
• Now I wouldn't use it because we have a baby.
• Take travel not worthwhile.
• There aren't that many federal lands around here.
• There is a cost attached to managing federal lands, and if you use the facilities you should expect to pay for them.
• We are just not campers.
• We can't travel.
• We don't go that often.
• We don't live near a park or federal lands.
• We don't go to federal lands that often; trips are once every five years.
• We don't visit federal fee areas.
• We just go to the parks if we want to.
• We live on the east coast and we are not in proximity to any of the places that I would like to see- we aren't close. I would like to see Yosemite, but they are so far away that it won't be part of our vacation plans anytime soon.
• We only go to a national park once a year, so it wouldn't really benefit me.
• We wouldn't be going to any national parks anyway so we wouldn't need the pass.
• We wouldn't use it.
• Well because we don't have any more kids at home so we won't be going anywhere.
• Would not use it. We are not traveling this year so it would be a waste.
• Wouldn't be able to put the pass to use.
• Wouldn't use it because we're in Kansas. Not close enough to parks, etc.
• Wouldn't use it.
• Wouldn't use it. The federal lands around here, in Kansas, are free so we wouldn't use it.
• You don't use it often.
Appendix E. Other Desirable Features for Buying the New Pass

Q31: Are there any other features that would make your household more likely to buy the new Pass?

RDD

- A discount at the shopping places; I'm a teacher.
- A lifetime pass. That's something worthwhile to investigate.
- A two-year pass is a good idea.
- A website that explains more, easier research.
- Again convenience no stickers.
- Airfare (discount).
- All the money that goes into the passes should be shared between the parks.
- All the money went to the preservation of the land and none was used for administrative purchase or at least not a very large portion.
- Allow more than four adults.
- Annual renewal.
- As long as it doesn't go over $100.
- As long as the money improved the parks.
- As long as we knew the money went to the maintenance of federal lands as opposed to being used for something other than federal lands.
- At several crowded parks it would be nice to have a separate line for season pass holders to go ahead, maybe two separate lines for more incentive.
- Automatic renewal.
- Better link about where it can be used like a brochure or Internet site.
- Came with info about national parks and their programs.
- Cheaper camping.
- Cheaper. [2]
- Child cut off age should be 18 and older.
- Come with a pension for money to drive around and travel.
- Cost versus entrance fees.
- Cost. [3]
- Coupons on activities.
- Dinner coupons.
- Discount for camping, boat renting, restaurants.
- Discount the state facilities.
- Discounting campsites on federal lands.
- Discounts at neighboring hotels.
- Don't allow the terrorists to go to the parks.
- Don't know.
- Good job.
• Grace period - extra month if not used to the full time.
• I guess if we could designate which parks some of our money went to.
• I imagine reservations on national park lands, you could make the stuff in advance and they'd hold it for you. Make advance bookings.
• I like that it's available for four adults.
• I think for an unlimited number of adults instead of just limiting it to four adults.
• I think it would be an interesting option to have a six month pass for options in the summer or for the winter. Also if there is competition with state lands, it might reduce the pass.
• I think the money should stay at the site where it was sold and not to the government and that would be 100 percent instead of 80 percent.
• I would like to see one with so many usages over a period of time.
• I would probably buy the pass if you could or I know where all the money was going to, it would be nice to have all of it go into improving facilities and services on federal lands.
• If 100% of the money went to the parks, that would be great.
• If camping was discounted I would be more likely to buy it.
• If hunting would come with it.
• If I buy it on the Internet where does the money go? Does it go to a park of my choice? Our current pass comes with a national parks owner’s manual, which is quite helpful, I would recommend you keep this.
• If I buy it on the Internet, I need to make sure the money still goes to the parks.
• If I could direct where my money was going.
• If it allowed entrance to all federal lands.
• If it came with a booklet listing areas it covered.
• If it could be used at all parks.
• If it could be used at state parks as well.
• If it could come with two passes for each vehicle.
• If it covered also fishing fees, and other fees, and state parks and all beaches, especially in Florida.
• If it entitled me to discounts on products in the park.
• If it included camping or fees for boats.
• If it kept them from drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.
• If it never expired.
• If it was $75.
• If it was affordable.
• If it was cheaper.
• If it was closer to the current parks pass price.
• If it was free.
• If it was lifetime pass/ or some feature that would replace my card if I lost it or had a magnetic strip that didn't allow other people to steal and use it.
• If it went to some endangered species, or reclaiming wetland, or something else that was very environmental in nature.
• If it would give you discount on the camping or use of motel.
• If it would help keep more public access open. If it would help keep areas open to the public. I keep seeing areas closed.
• If more sites started charging, so it would be more economical. A lot of the sites around us do not charge.
• If passes would include campsites and fishing license.
• If planned to go to a federal land.
• If some of the money went to the purchase of more land preservation.
• If the money was split up between all the parks and not just the park where it was sold at.
• If the money went to keeping hunters and ATVs out.
• If the pass covered camping on top of the entrance fee.
• If the price of the pass included parking fees on federal lands.
• If the situation changed.
• If there was a five year pass that would make me more likely to buy it.
• If there was a priority on campgrounds because campgrounds came be scarce.
• If there was a quick access lane for people with passes.
• If there was no limit on number of adults.
• If there was some detailed information about how it was going to specifically impact the locations it was going towards.
• If there were discounts at camping.
• If there were discounts on the literature they sell in the bookstores and gift shops.
• If there were federal lands nearby.
• If they had a lifetime membership I would be more likely to buy the pass.
• If we lived in a different location closer to federal lands.
• If we moved somewhere closer.
• If we received more information, we would keep it in our minds for at least donation purposes. Publicity would remind us to renew every year.
• If we were to go somewhere that takes the new pass.
• If you got a discount at the shops.
• If you had a five year pass because I don't go that much.
• Include state or county parks.
• Include state park access. I could by the federal pass and the state I live in.
• Include state park fees, at least the entrance fee.
• Including state lands as well.
• It goes back to can you tell what your plans are ahead of time. If I didn't know what my plans were, I certainly wouldn't buy a two-year pass because I don't plan that far in advance. Many of the national parks I go to do not tend to require an entrance.
• It should include all adults in the car, even if more than four.
• It would probably just come down to the price and what are plans are for the year.
• Just living closer to the lands.
• Just what was said earlier. Maybe a loyalty program.
• Keep snowmobiles out of parks.
• Less expensive.
• Lifetime membership.
• Lifetime pass.
• Location.
• Loss protection feature, if it is lost or stolen you able to receive another one without having to pay again.
• Make it pretty for gift giving...
• Make it three years.
• Make sure the old pass stay available or so it’s cheaper for a two-person pass.
• Maybe if it offered a discount on lodging or something at the parks.
• Mileage, and discounts to the parks.
• Money that goes into the system and not into payroll.
• More information about it.
• My family and I traveled in two vehicles and it was only good for one vehicle, so if it would be good for both vehicles.
• Not limiting the number of other people. And making the age higher than 16.
• Not limiting the people per carload.
• Not ok with using ATV, not ok with mining.
• Offer a lifetime pass for those under 61.
• Open more trails for ATVs.
• Ordered online is nice.
• Pass to cover all the people in the vehicle.
• People who buy pass should get earlier reservations.
• Possibly a small reduction in the rates for camping fees. Discounts for elsewhere. If there are special programs regarding special events.
• Pretty pictures on it.
• Price.
• Priority, if you already have it, less lines or a pass.
• Renew at a lower price.
• Should come with newsletter.
• Stop selling off our federal lands.
• Supporting the parks.
• The coverage, there are so many parks that we aren't aware of. Information on these parks would be very useful.
• The lowness of the cost. When I purchased mine, I bought it over the Internet and they said it was good from the time I purchased it, but at Crater Lake they were upset because it hadn't been punched.
• To lesson the restriction what federal lands it could be used for.
• Travel.
• Traveling.
• Unless it often included state parks, because we have a lot of state parks here.
• Used for federal museums as well.
• Used more for protection of park, such as wild and so forth.
• Using the funds to help maintain federal lands is the most important thing so the more money that goes towards them the better. Help increase the population of endangered species so that they can be protected on federal lands.
• Vary and depend on what we are doing.
• We would be willing to pay a more affordable like $100 or less do to vacation time and other restraints.
• Well, if it provided a camping discount. Most places don't have an entrance fee, but they charged for camping.
• What you can visit, a package including what sites to visit and what kind of lands are in proximity.
• Will the new pass be available? Since we already have the old one, we'll probably just get the new one because we've gotten it every year for the past 20 years.
• Younger children.
• A discount at the website or shop for the gifts.
• A discount for camping.
• A full commitment by the government to fully fund national parks.
• A guide book with as an introduction to the different parks.
• A lower cost, and discounts on other fees. I'd pay $165 for a pass if it gave me a 50% discounts on other fees.
• A private evening or events for pass holders.
• A renewable pass would be nice, every year you just reactivate your pass.
• A tax deductible clause, where a portion of that were tax deductible. I think you could charge even more if that were an option.
• Ability to determine which parks it goes to.
• Ability to go at a time with fewer people and especially fewer children.
• Accessibility for national parks and not have to pay for campgrounds.
• Accessibility of the pass.
• Affordability.
• All money is used for fixing up the forest and on the personal. Not ok with building road.
• An option to extend it one or two months for an additional fee.
• Animal protection.
• Anything that goes to better the lands and not shut down the deserts.
• As long as it ends up not saving money we would always buy one.
• Availability of federal lands near by.
• Available via Internet.
• Be able to donate money to the National Parks Foundation at the time of purchase.
• Being able to say where the money went.
• Being aware of all of the federal lands that there are.
• Besides the motorized vehicles it would also be important to not have the lands developed. Maintain the wild forest areas.
• Better bathroom facilities.
• Better marketing geared towards donations.
• Bigger tent lots.
• Buy one get one free.
• Camping discounts.
• Camping fees included in cost of price.
• Camping included.
• Camping options....add on the camping fees...discounts for campsites.
• Camping.
• Cheaper price. [2]
• Cheaper than the old one, if it included camping, or preferential treatment with the pass for campsites.
• Cheaper.
• Closer.
• Closer-location.
• Combine state and federal passes.
• Combine state and national park access.
• Convenience across the country.
• Convenience of being able to do that, as opposed to traveling 3 hours to obtain.
• Convenience of having everything covered.
• Convenience.
• Convenient to buy and it is a good price - more than 100 dollars I would have to think about it. If the pass gets too expensive I would probably do other things than going to the national parks, which would be disappointing.
• Coordinate with the states to combine passes for state and federal lands.
• Coordinated with winter passes as well.
• Cost - reasonable in comparison to golden eagle or NPP.
• Cost and convenience of the pass.
• Cost cheap.
• Cost compared to getting in the parks themselves.
• Cost. [3]
• Cost-wise in conjunction with money going to federal lands.
• Covered and federal lands and state lands like state parks.
• Credit card.
• Current passes are reasonable priced because we use it and make it worth its while and it also supports the parks and such so the new pass should be about the same price.
• Currently the passes are not covering all the federal lands so as long as the new pass does cover all that federal lands I would be interested.
• Depending on the probability of our going.
• Depends on the cost.
• Depends on the trip and where we are going.
• Discount at the gift shops and at park restaurants.
• Discount at the visitor center, so that it would incentive people purchase souvenirs and other things there. It would be nice if the pictures on the pass (at least 3 options).
• Discount on entrance fees deducted from what you bought the pass for.
• Discount on lodges and so on.
• Discounts at hotels.
• Discounts for concerts, or something like that.
• Discounts of camping facilities or other services.
• Discounts on activities in the parks.
• Discounts on gifts and souvenirs would be nice.
• Discounts on meals, services, and gifts shops and stuff on the federal lands that are visited.
• Do more than just entrance, offer it in more places.
• Dollars directed towards protecting the land and resources of that land speaking specifically of oil.
• Don't think so live too far away from federal lands.
- Don't want to have to pay for extras once in park just charge me a one-time fee and that is fine.
- Ease of purchase. [2]
- Ease of purchasing it, automatic renewal.
- Easy to which to purchase it.
- Free camping.
- Free dinner!
- Free gas.
- Free parking.
- Free poster, or a neat map, or a keychain.
- Gas prices.
- Gave a discount at hotels and restaurants in the area of the federal land visited.
- Get a list of all the places you could go with the pass.
- Getting a newsletter we enjoy reading what is going on and that motivates you to think about traveling.
- Getting pamphlets about parks.
- Goes back to land.
- Good design- looks nice photograph contest.
- Good picture on it.
- Good price, keep it that same $50.
- Have a shorter line for pass holders.
- Having the parks good for more readily available.
- Honestly the one feature that would make it more or less likely would be the likelihood of using, and then the cost compared to the regular cost of admissions.
- How about a lifetime pass.
- How many times the pass would be used.
- I guess just the length, and other perks, like if it could be used at campgrounds and stuff like that.
- I guess more detailed information as to what can be used at those locations.
- I guess more facilities, like cabins and bathrooms, and I would be willing to pay for some conveniences like that.
- I haven't thought too much about it. Yes I would buy it if it was tax deductible.
- I hope there is tracking system or some sort of identification so that I can find my card if I lost it. I lost my card once and was not able to find it because they did not or could not track it down because there was no system to find it.
- I like buying it over the Internet, which is how we did it last time.
- I like the idea of being longer than a year, 2 or 3 years a better idea.
- I like the idea that there’s more time to use the pass and the 2 year sounds appealing to me instead of just a year.
- I love the two year idea.
- I prefer that it covers the vehicle.
- I probably use it if I was closer to it.
- I really like the fact that you may be able to have more than two adults use the pass.
• I think it’s a good idea to put all the money towards the parks and would motivate us to buy the pass more. Give a good understanding of how we as tourists can help the national parks by buying the passes.
• I think that they should, when you buy a pass, you should get a bumper sticker or something that shows that you support the parks. I'm proud to have a pass.
• I think the price is definitely the factor. I didn't know that it only counted for four adults. It would be questionable most people travel as a family and there are always more than four.
• I want 100 percent of the money to be kept at the site it was sold instead of 80 percent.
• I want all the funds to go to a general fund where it could be divided to ensure that some places that are out of the way will still get funds and not get ignored. I don't want the other parks to go into disrepair.
• I would be more likely to buy the pass if I bought it and I could designate where some of the money went. For example some money to one park and some to others.
• I would be willing to pay 50-75 dollars; also if state historical sites could get part of the money from the sell of the annual passes.
• I would buy a pass if it was for places that currently charge an entrance fee. I wouldn't want to have to use it if there was normally no fee and no amenities.
• I would love one, that would cover state parks as well.
• I would pay up to $100.
• I would think you guys could do better if you did more commercials because a lot of people don't think about it because they don't see it on television.
• If it were for the parking and trail fees not just entrance. For state parks too.
• If all the money went to local lands.
• If cost less.
• If I could choose which park the money would go to.
• If I knew exactly what the money was going for.
• If I knew the money was going to be used for protection of national parks or if I knew the money was going to be used for lobbying against pro logging legislation or if I knew it would be used for lobbying strip mining and other natural resources.
• If I lived closer to a park that you needed a pass for.
• If I lived out west close enough to use them, I’d definitely buy one, there's no question about it.
• If I visited federal lands more often.
• If I was planning a trip and it was cheaper than each individual visit.
• If I was planning a trip out west.
• If it (money) was all given to federal lands.
• If it also gave you a percentage of a discount for the shopping areas or the ranger stations.
• If it also included parking.
• If it also included state lands but I know that they don't.
• If it came with a newsletter.
• If it came with coupons, like our state parks pass, like 10% off in gift shops, discount for camping or 1-free-night camping (like state parks pass of Texas and wildlife).
• If it came with winning lotto tickets.
• If it could give access to other parts of the park that other people go to.
• If it could target a percent of the fees for a specific park or recreation site.
• If it cover some of the historic sites.
• If it covered camping fees. [2]
• If it covered national museums like the Smithsonian.
• If it covered parking even at an increased rate.
• If it covered parking fees as well.
• If it gave me some discount on the park, like 10% off things in the park.
• If it guaranteed that the federal government wouldn't drill in the Alaskan wildlife preserves.
• If it had coupons with the purchase.
• If it helps to save money by going to them and buying it.
• If it included a booklet on where to go.
• If it included a fishing and hunting license.
• If it included camping and tent fees.
• If it included camping or discount on camping.
• If it included fishing fees.
• If it included the northwest trailhead parking pass.
• If it included tours.
• If it incorportated state parks.
• If it paid for a carful of adults people instead of just four.
• If it stayed at the price it was- I like it when it stays at the price of everyone in the vehicle.
• If it the pass covered all of the entrances such as tunnels.
• If it tied to discount on camping fees.
• If it took away the need to have the National Parks Pass.
• If it was a lifetime pass.
• If it was all-inclusive, coving more than just entrance fees. For example allowing a discount for campsites and such.
• If it was also used for concessions, drinks, maps, or something like that.
• If it was applied to all parks.
• If it was cheaper.
• If it was easier to not forget at home. If it was something like a stamp that would go on your license plate so that it was always with you and harder to forget at home.
• If it was even cheaper.
• If it was extended for more than two years.
• If it was for five adults.
• If it was free.
• If it was good at state parks too.
• If it was good for a specific region and was cheaper.
• If it was increased to a five year pass. I can only get away on vacation every so often.
• If it was less expensive, the fifty dollars is a good price. I could see it at $75 or $80, but over a hundred is too much.
• If it was longer, 5 years.
• If it was more extended family.
• If it was tax deductible, more reasonably priced.
• If it was tax deductible.
• If it was transferable to another family member.
• If it was transferable. [2]
• If it was used in the state where we visited federal lands.
• If it went to educate people like law enforcement because there has been cutbacks in budgets for rangers.
• If it were a savings.
• If it were between $60 and $70 for the pass for the year because it is too unpredictable whether or not we will be able to visit very many federal lands.
• If it were cheap.
• If it were transferable between family members it is of sufficient use.
• If it would cover parking fees.
• If it would cover state parks it would make it a lot more convenient. Also if they covered parking fees.
• If it would include camping.
• If it would make a national park in Indiana.
• If it would really help in maintenance of the parks and improve the services. And had some more things to entertain the children.
• If I lost the pass I would like them to give me a new one without purchasing a new one.
• If more of the lands required admission fee. If the cost paid off.
• If more parks were in our proximity.
• If part of fund went toward conservation.
• If planned vacation!
• If some of the local federal lands had user fees applied to them, if the pass included parking fees.
• If the cost was down.
• If the funds where distributed among all the parks not just where it was sold or used.
• If the money goes to the right place.
• If the money went to all the federal lands.
• If the national parks refused entrance to all terrain vehicles, snowmobiles, and anything that allows pollution.
• If the pass gave you discounts for different services on federal lands.
• If the pass were valid at the Virgin Islands National Park.
• If the place we bought the pass used that money.
• If the state parks could be incorporated as well.
• If there some parks closer to Ohio.
• If there was a discount on those federal properties, if you buy the pass you might get 10-15% off the fee to stay at the campground. Passes just usually get you in for the entrance fees.
• If there was a good federal land or park closer to our house.
• If there was a national park closer to where I live.
• If there were lands closer to where I live.
• If there were more kid-friendly areas. If there were easier trails for the kids to be able to walk on.
• If there were more people in my household.
• If there would be new facilities, more security and attractions.
• If there would be no extra cost once you are inside for camping would be nice. And if it would cover parking would be very important.
• If they are free.
• If they built more fed. Parks closer, if it was more convenient to go.
• If they can open up more places to camp. It is an inconvenience when you have to drive out of the park.
• If they could figure out a way to get rid of all of the tourist buses, too many buses and people.
• If they described how the money was used in the parks.
• If they did a lifetime pass.
• If they gave you some type of priority at campgrounds or facilities within the parks, easier to get reservations.
• If they had a special one for senior citizens.
• If they include fish and game sites.
• If they maybe had some state options attached.
• If they offered discounts on other services like campsites etc.
• If they saved the wildlife land and stop letting snowmobiles in there.
• If they started charging fees for the national parks near us.
• If they used the money and dispersed it evenly among all of the federal sights.
• If they went towards specific programs in the park like the wolf program.
• If they were planning a trip.
• If they were planning a trip.
• If they were really clear on what they covered, if it was as inclusive as possible.
• If time let me use it.
• If used as at a federal lands, Sandy Hook.
• If we could see what the money was going for.
• If we didn't get a chance to use it, then we could extend it a little longer. Sometimes we don't get to go, then the next year we do.
• If we got optional donations to the park where we bought it or if we could designate funds.
• If we got some information about parks in the mail, quarterly newsletter or something to that extent.
• If we had more money.
• If we had vacation plans to go to the national parks.
• If we lived closer to national parks.
• If we were going to travel to fee areas more.
• If we were younger and had less family issues the pass sounds great.
• If we were younger.
• If you could buy a certain number of entrances instead of having a time limit.
• If you could buy it only for two people instead of the family of four.
• If you could carry it over to the next calendar year if you didn't use it.
• If you could convert it to a lifetime pass.
• If you could designate where the money would be spent.
• If you could give a discount on state parks.
• If you could guarantee that we get campsites.
• If you could identify families traveling together in separate vehicles.
• If you could rent a section of land and it didn't have to have utilities.
• If you could send more information.
• If you could use it for federal and state lands.
• If you didn't have to have a card and the membership was on a database, that would be really helpful. Maybe they could set up a system.
• If you get a long term a two-year one that it would give you preference to campsites.
• If you increased the number of adults allowed in on the pass it would be helpful, but four is not bad.
• If you offered a longer term pass that would be cheaper per year.
• If you were to have a pass to where if you buy one every year you get a discount.
• Included state park as well.
• Includes state parks.
• Including free guided tours, discounts at stores.
• Including more and sending out quarterly brochures or pamphlets in the mail advertising where you could use the pass. Give info about obscure destinations and little-known activities.
• Instead of a set of how long it is good for... Used it for say 20 visits... With no expiration date.
• Instead of doing it for a year- it would be nice to do so many visits- good for ten visits or twenty visits- so if you bought it at the beginning of the year you didn't use it- wouldn't be a waste.
• Instead of having a card that you have to carry with you, make it a national list where all you have to do is show your identification for access.
• International would be more likely to buy.
• Internet sites for the parks right on the card.
• Is it tax deductible?
• It should be foolproof if you lose it. You should be able to scan it and not wait in the line. Anything that reduces time and hassle. Using it as a debit card for the stores too. Making donations for a specific park.
• It would be nice for the national parks to have bus shuttle.
• It would be nice if it would cover the hotel bill at Zion.
• It would be nice if this new pass came with a new letter or additional information about recreation activities.
• It would be nice if you bought the pass to get a discount on outdoor sporting goods.
• It would be nice to have more information on the different activities available especially during each season.
• It would be nice to have prepaid punch card to use for fed lands.
• It would be nice to have the same newsletter.
• It would be really nice to have a set fee instead of a time limit, like 20 visits per pass instead of a time limit of one year.
• It would depend on our travel schedule.
• It's just where I live, there's hardly anywhere you can go.
• It's pricy to go up, but a good value. But, people won't care, they support the lands. Clarify if its one card, or two cards issued for a doubled price.
• Join it with state parks.
• Just cost.
• Just if it includes the national parks entrance.
• Just if we knew where the money was going specifically, also a five year pass would be better.
• Just keep the perks that are there already.
• Just the same features we discussed before. Have access to programs that normally get charged for after purchasing the pass.
• Just want the money to go back to the federal lands.
• Keep the money at the park.
• Keep the price reasonable.
• Knowing how the money is spent. Too much burnt up administratively.
• Knowing more to conservation efforts as opposed to building more visitor centers is very important.
• Knowing whether of not we'd use it.
• Length of the pass.
• Less expensive- reasonable.
• Less hassle.
• Less money.
• Like idea of buying then not active till you use it.
• Like it to be priced at 125 or close to.
• Location to federal lands.
• Longer term like a 2 year.
• Longer time frame pass.
• Longer time period.
• Lower cost $50.
• Lower cost and more access.
• Lower price $20.
• Lower price. [2]
• Make it cheaper. I pay $20 for my national forest pass good for the whole year, paying $140 seems ridiculous.
• Making it so it was for where you went.
• Manage parks better, and give better feed back more often. People in the middle of U.S. need to plan to travel, and need better times for our vacation, but we are still interested in our parks. There isn't much land in the interior.
• Matching funds, reallocation of funds, actual matching funds with user participation.
• Materials for national parks sent to the buyer.
• Matter of saving money, two-year pass, is great idea.
• Maybe able to use it family wide and not just immediate.
• Maybe coupons or other offer on special sitting when you have special things coming up at some time of the year.
• Maybe discounts on camping.
• Maybe if could be used for camping and discounts on different activities.
• Maybe if they sent a map and information of all federal lands, so you could be more informed and plan to go. We want to know all the possibilities that we could use the pass for.
• Maybe if we got a camping discount along with that.
• Maybe if you could get into state parks or get a discount.
• Maybe we were going to camp or if there was a percentage off other items.
• Maybe with membership, you get a map of federal parks and historic sites in the local area where the person lives.
• Money spent more on upgrading other then maintenance.
• Money would go to put more park rangers.
• More closer.
• More education about the pass, more advertisement.
• More federal lands near me.
• More free time.
• More information, because of a lack of knowledge about what is offered. Mailings and communications about federal lands and what is offered.
• More likely to go to federal parks if they did something about the employees on federal land. Not friendly, take job too seriously and ruin the trip for the visitors of the parks. Wants to feel better. First come, first serve better than reservations.
• More money in the bank.
• More occupants in the car, more adults.
• More time to use it.
• Most important thing is ease of use and that it’s used for the park itself.
• Moving closer to land.
• National park promotional offers more frequent. Vacation packages, like a tourist agency. Present with an attractive place to go.
• No oil derricks on federal lands.
• No, our propensity for purchasing the pass would be our likeliness to use it.
• No, those are the two biggest things to me- the money being returned to the park, and the pass lasting a longer time.
• No, we just need more opportunity rather than stay at home.
• Not really we really do try and go up there, is it cost efficient.
• Not unless included state parks.
• Not unless it includes airfare to get there.
• One thing I’d like to see them do is, if we could buy something that would allow us to accommodate state facilities along with the federal.
• Online availability.
• Online.
• Only if I lived closer to the national parks.
• Overall price.
• Parking benefits.
• Parking is free like at major sites.
• Parking sticker.
• Parks started charging admissions.
• Pass should be available for all federal lands. It would be great to combine regional and state facilities.
• Pass, certain number of passes, without expiration date.
• People w/ passes would get priority in reservations.
• Perhaps a discounted renewal in subsequent years.
• Personally, I think spending a 100 dollars, my first priority would be how many times I would use it. I also have to take into consideration the cost and how many times I would use it. If I had a young family and traveled every year I would definitely us.
• Preferential treatment when making reservations.
• Price and park proximity.
• Price cheaper.
• Price is important.
• Price is lower.
• Price, and if it was a cost effective way to use it.
• Price. [4]
• Priced comparably with other passes.
• Probably if it was divided amongst all the areas instead of just the one you purchased it at.
• Quit spending money in Iran and Iraq.
• Reasonable price.
• Reasonable price.
• Reduction for camping, certain percent off.
• Reduction in entrance fees.
• Retirement.
• Same applies as before.
• Security and the parks were clean.
• Sell them at the post office.
• Sent free gifts too.
• Should issue more than one when bought.
• Since we are currently pass owners, I think a fair price would be good. A map along with the pass that shows the parks would be more encouraging.
• So maybe if there was a list of places that it's valid.
• Special deals miles for trails.
• Support the lands.
• Supporting parks.
• Tax deductible.
• Tax deductible.
• Taxes should be looked at to cover cost.
• Texas parks send coupons for one free night of camping.
• That all the money goes to the federal lands.
• That this pass would also be good for larger vehicles.
• That would be good for all members in the family.
• The convenience of not having to buy the extra sticker.
• The current pass is pretty good. I don't know why you are changing it.
• The ease of purchasing it.
• The economic situation would affect our decision.
• The fact that it was good for four adults and all the kids was very attractive.
• The federal land management policies at the time.
• The golden eagle I think that's what it was called...but the extra fees for other parks should be advertised and maybe a combo deal with the pass.
• The key here is flexibility. Rather than a time thing, it should be a number of uses. I would prefer it if where you bought it was not part of which parks get the money. That doesn't serve the national park system well. It's a market approach.
• The lower the price the better. It would more appealing if it applied to state parks too.
• The main thing it would be if we would be traveling or not, because we live far away from any national parks.
• The more places it was accepted.
• The pass should be for all immediate family members, even if the children and parents together are over 16 and more than 4.
• The price- as long as the price is low.
• The price being right, I would go as high as $100, I like $50.
• The price now is reasonable, and if the pass were a 'special preference' thing in the parks. More incentives than just the entrance.
• The price was pretty high, and how often I would use it. Too pay $100 would be too high.
• The price.
• The two year sounds like a pretty good deal.
• They should lower the percentage of the amount that just stays at the site where it was sold. Disperse it to other sites and share the money so it doesn't all go to the most popular sites.
• Transferability to another person.
• Traveling...if I bought the pass over the Internet to designate what park the 80 percent from purchase went to.
• Two year is good and more money going to lands is good.
• Uh, no, that's probably about it.
• Up the age for being an adult- 18 rather than 16! There will be six of us in one household!
• Upgrade from the old pass.
• Vacation time.
• Variety of places to use the pass.
• Verification of purchase without having it.
• We would be willing to pay more if 90% of it stayed on site.
• We only use this for our family. We enjoy the National Parks Pass. It's a great discount for us to use the park. We environmentally conscious.
• We would be more likely to buy the pass if there weren't a time limit on the pass, but a use limit on pass. If large funds portion of the fund were giving to the place where the pass were used, then we would also be more likely to buy the pass.
• Web renewal is frustrating and didn't work, if that were available and actually worked that would be a good feature. Remember the info on the web so we don't have to reenter it!
• Well if one pass would cover all of the different areas, and if it's across the whole country.
• Well the cost and what we planned for trips.
• Well, one of the things I liked about the last one was the newsletter.
• When purchasing it over the Internet, where would that money go to? Would I be able to specify where that money went? I would be more likely to purchase the pass if I could specify where the money went to.
• When we travel.
• Where live, not enough campsites, availability.
• Whether or not we would use it.
• Who the pass covers.
• Won't spend any money on it.
• Would like the choice of an annual pass or a two-year pass, just not one or the other.
• Yes, if it covered more adults.
• Yes, the current pass is not replaceable and you have to buy a new pass. It would be nice if it was registered and they could just look the registers number.
Appendix F. Comments about Recreation on Federal Lands

36. Do you have any comments you'd like me to take down about recreation on federal lands?

President George W. Bush was mentioned in a number of the comments, in various ways (by title only, last name only, first name only, etc.) All such references have been replaced with “[President Bush]” here.

RDD

- A great apprehension to our parks and coast lines and destroys the meaning or the value to the parks and national landmarks.
- Against the sale of federal land.
- Any attempt to privatize the national parks is appalling. They should be open to all the public.
- As much land as possible should be reserved for recreation.
- At least the first four days of opening summer they should be free, like a family day for the community. There should be sports days and events going on for those four days at least. Some kids are becoming couch potatoes. Some competitions would help.
- Been to the Grand Canyon. Government doesn't take care of the lands like they should.
- Can the Game and Fish Department supply the Golden Access Pass for disabled persons?
- Clean up your act. It can't be pristine, but you can do a better job!
- Crowds are a problem. I went to the Grand Canyon in the off-season on purpose. If there was a way to go without the crowds, I would go more often.
- Don't do away with it.
- Don't think people should have to pay entrance fees on top of the taxes that people already have to pay.
- Federal land and national forests are a good thing.
- Federal lands are wonderful but I'm not as active as I used to be.
- Federal lands need to be completely accessible -all areas- to people in either manual or automatic wheelchairs.
- Forest Service, stop logging on lands where the return the government gets is less.
- Four-wheelers are in most areas the only way disabled people can use federal lands. Please attain access for disabled people with four-wheelers.
- Get [President Bush] out of office and leave our lands alone.
- Glad that they have them.
- Good idea. Make parks more accessible to people.
- Government is trying to buy 1000 acres of Francis Marion Forest in South Carolina and local residents are trying to fight it.
- Government should use more money on federal lands to increase federals instead of decreasing the land.
- Half of the lands you cannot get on and you have to have outfitters and I believe this is wrong.
• Has the government allotted any funding to repair the San Antonio Mission?
• Having a pass that's good at all lands. I would like to see more designated OHV (off-highway vehicle) trails.
• Hope that the funding for public lands never goes away because it is definitely something great for us to have.
• Hopefully you can come up with a book or pamphlet to send out containing directions, the places you can visit. There are a lot of places that are out there that people don't know about.
• How do people find out about other options who don't have access to the Internet, especially not older generations? If more aware of passes, we would visit more often.
• I always enjoy it and I don't mind paying a fee, the areas are usually clean, the facilities such as bathrooms are good, I don't mind paying $50 a year per pass.
• I am not too happy about having the snowbirds in the park, but I know it is under-supervised care but are the areas where they have fires well protected? I believe this to be a fire hazard although I do not know a lot about it. We have wonderful parks.
• I appreciate the work you do, I do a lot of fishing!
• I certainly enjoy camping in a motor home, my family and I who I don't live with like to go to federal parks.
• I definitely think that we need to preserve our federal lands and we need to create more in any way we can.
• I did not know about this. Is this a $10 deal?
• I didn't know anything about it.
• I disagree with the monies that are collected going only to increase enforcement of fees. I'd like to see more money going the maintenance of the areas and the forests so fires are less likely.
• I do know that the national parks are having budgetary problems and it's important for a country and society to set aside lands for personal pleasure. I don't think parks are self-sustaining and people need to sustain it.
• I do not want [President Bush] to sell our federal lands to private companies.
• I do support it.
• I do think it is a good thing- I just haven't been to any lately.
• I do think that the government does not spend enough money on the national parks, particularly this administration. It's a real tragedy that federal parks are losing land because money from the EPA has been cut. Even if it comes from our tax dollars.
• I don't believe a person should have to pay to see federal lands. They should be using the tax money they get instead of charging people to see federal and national lands.
• I don't care for motorized snowmobiles and off-road vehicles. I think federal lands should be quiet places.
• I don't know where they are. If I could have a way to find out that would be good.
• I don't like having to pay. We pay enough taxes already.
• I don't like snowmobiles in Yellowstone.
• I don't like the outdoors and it's far for me to get to a national park so that is why I don't go.
• I don't really have time to go to federal lands or national parks.
• I don't see why [President Bush] has to sell our national park here in Missouri.
• I don't trust the government to preserve federal lands. I donate to conservation fund programs. The policies of this president have been particularly disturbing. Nature doesn't do too well here (Florida).
• I don't want the [President Bush] administration to commercialize our national parks and refuges or to allow off-road vehicles and snowmobiles in them. And I want them to prevent developments on the edges of parks.
• I enjoy the federal parks.
• I enjoy the woods.
• I enjoy them and appreciate the fact that they exist and the fact that they can be passed on to my children.
• I enjoy using federal lands.
• I expect we will keep doing everything for citizens' use, not for business use.
• I have a headache-call back later.
• I have enjoyed it for many years.
• I have gotten a lot of information from the websites, so keep those running.
• I have just recently started visiting national parks. I wanted to know more about national parks. What is the difference between national and federal parks, national and state parks, and how do I do know which ones are which? And where can I find the information?
• I have noticed from reading that they're opening more of the lands for off-road vehicles and I don't think that's a good idea.
• I hope that the government will not sell land to private investors such as oil developers and others who might jeopardize the land's natural beauty and their environment and ecosystems.
• I hope the federal government supports the federal parks with the funding they need to operate.
• I hope the opportunity to enjoy federal lands can be furthered so we can utilize and appreciate the lands.
• I hope they don't cut down on the funding.
• I hope they don't take it away. I like hunting and fishing, and have done it all my life, and want it to continue.
• I hope they increase the federal land because I think it is shrinking and the more the better. I think it is decreasing in size which isn't good.
• I hope you get a free pass for doing this survey.
• I just hope that we continue having them for young people in America.
• I just think that the price is too high.
• I just think they need to be protected as much as possible, and I don't think the federal government is doing a very good job. I don't think they are very green. Preservation and protection.
• I just want all of our land saved that can be saved for family use. The eminent domain should be abolished. Our land is our greatest gift and we are absolutely using too much of our farmlands, forestlands, and wetlands for recreation and building.
• I just want them to be preserved.
• I just work too much to go to any federal lands. I am disabled, so I get free access.
• I learned as much from you as you did from me.
• I like the parks and lakes. Have more parks and lakes and family oriented.
• I like them but I haven't visited them in a while.
• I like them- they are a great asset to the country.
• I like to travel a lot and see different lands.
• I like Yellowstone and Gavant around Jackson Hole. They close off too much of the park too early. The older people want to go in September and it's already closed down. I want to see the whole park when the moose come out to eat, but it's already closed.
• I live in a recreation area.
• I look forward to being able to spend time on these lands now that we have retired.
• I love the outdoors, I have been fishing since I was a little kid.
• I need more information available.
• I really wish I could travel to them more, but being on a fixed income, I can't get out that much.
• I subscribe to the National Wildlife and I am familiar with local parks, but don't travel to the ones outside this area.
• I support conservation, and anything you can do to help that is great.
• I support national parks, just haven't gotten to any because I have just moved from New Zealand.
• I think it is a great idea to limit cars and have buses to move people around to keep air clean like they do in California.
• I think it is a necessary thing to have the parks and recreational areas.
• I think it is great that Teddy Roosevelt gave us federal lands. We have great ones right here- we enjoy it a lot. We have been in a lot of the parks. That is why we use the pass.
• I think it is very important to support them and maintain them. We need to keep these areas. People need to go outdoors.
• I think it needs to supported wholeheartedly, and I am a conservationist.
• I think it should be more restricted to bikers. They tear up the trails.
• I think it should be published more so people like me could know more about it. And if dogs could come that would be great.
• I think it's a national scandal how we can afford to wage war on a country that didn't do anything to us, spend $400 billion on a war, when we can't afford to maintain and improve our national parks and forests and it would take a minimal percentage.
• I think it's crazy. People used to be able to go out there on a picnic and now they charge you to enter. Freedom Park is going to spend a bunch of money to fix up the park and then they are going to charge people. It's just ridiculous how they make you pay.
• I think recreation on federal lands should be free to everybody.
• I think that it should be preserved for our future. It is something we need to have and preserve and cherish because we are filling our land with homes and structures, it would be great if we could preserve some other lands for things besides homes and businesses.
• I think that recreation lands should not just give discounts to active military, and I also think they should protect the land more.
• I think that the parks need to be better funded. There is a limit to the amount of human contact that a park can handle. They should have something like a lottery similar to the one we have on moose here in New Hampshire or something like that to limit.
• I think that they are doing a good job on preserving wildlife!
• I think that they need to be kept the way they are, pristine, without ten million people coming through all of the time.
• I think that they’re great. I work a lot and I wish I could visit them.
• I think the parks are a wonderful thing and that they should be kept up.
• I think the Parks should be a high priority. They should be maintained for the public.
• I think there need to be fees charged so that they can keep them open. We have visited lots of national parks- they should be a peaceful place. When we have traveled in the national parks we have purchased a pass.
• I think they do a wonderful job maintaining the parks. We are not able to go in the next year but can't wait 'til we get to. Rangers are wonderful and very kind. Fantastic experience.
• I think they should be open for hunting and respecting motor use, I don't see a problem running trucks out there, respectfully using them. They shouldn't be set aside like a glass figurine.
• I think they should not be doing the logging in some of those areas and using the money and area for building schools. I think they need to preserve all the recreation areas we have, we should not be doing all of this building including on our coastlines.
• I think they’re extremely important. They need to be protected at all costs. I think we should stop drilling for oil in Alaska. I think [President Bush] is an idiot.
• I think they're good for the community.
• I think we need more support for federal lands to preserve them.
• I think we need to do what we can to preserve the federal lands, such as Mt. Rushmore and Yellowstone. We need to do more to raise public awareness.
• I think we need to use more money and effort for them. The government needs to make it accessible to everybody.
• I think we pay enough in taxes that it should be free for everyone.
• I think we should protect them and I don't want any drilling in the wildlife preserve.
• I took advantage of the recreational lands when the children were small, but not now anymore.
• I visited Yellowstone and I thought it was outstanding.
• I want more federal lands.
• I want to know why they are leasing out the federal land to individuals. I think that everyone else should have the right to use them. I also think that they are screwing up by cutting down the timber.
• I was under the impression that they had discontinued fees to enter national forests. They used to, but no longer do. Most of your questions referred to paying fees at parks, and in my experience I did not pay a fee for entrance to federal lands.
• I went to four in California a few years ago and enjoyed them.
• I will be looking more into it and be more aware.
• I will be much more interested in purchasing a pass in five years or so.
• I wish I could know where I can find the pass.
• I wish it was cheaper so I could afford to go.
• I wish that people wouldn't shoot the deer and other wildlife. I wish that there was a place that we could put them where no one would harm them.
I wish there were more national parks in Virginia.
I wish there were more.
I wish they wouldn't take the four-wheelers away.
I wish when I lived in Utah I would have gone to Grand Canyon National Park and Yellowstone National Park because now I live so far away.
I would consider buying one of those senior passes for my mother.
I would like going to federal parks if it isn't expensive.
I would like information in regards to federal lands.
I would like them to be opened up for more hobbies for shooting, not necessarily hunting; recreational shooting.
I would like them to spend more money on Smoky Mountain tree deaths.
I would like to do a little bit of vacationing.
I would like to have information for these things passed on to me!
I would like to make sure that they are still preserved because I think that they are very important. I know that there is less and less funding for them.
I would like to see less restricted access for snowmobiles.
I would like to see more of them have electricity and water for camping.
I would like to see off-road vehicles and ATVs prohibited from federal lands.
I would like to stop the snowmobiles in the parks. And the drilling, I would like to see it all end.
I would oppose any kind of recreation that has any harmful effect on the nature of the parks like motorized activities that harm what President Roosevelt set up the parks for. So anything like 4x4s or snowmobiles, I would oppose.
I'd like to get more outdoor activities in national parks, like canoeing.
I'd like to see the Corps of Engineers funded to up keep facilities.
I'd like to see the federal lands that are preserved stay that way. I don't want to see them turned into developments.
I'd sure hope we can keep them federal and not turn them over to private people.
If I knew whether or not the park, etc. was federal or state I would probably look into buying the new pass, but since I don't it's kind of hard to decide whether or not I would buy it.
If it was advertised more people would know more about it and the different options.
If it's taken care of by the rangers and the people don't get out of hand, then it's worth it to check it out.
If my children were older, I would go more to federal lands.
If they did start charging a fee at Smokey Mountain National Park I would endorse that. They could use the help.
If they only charge me a few dollars for all day while I am there, or for a week, then I would be happy to pay it to keep the place running.
If we lived closer to some parks or forests we may frequent them more.
I'm a horseback fanatic! I wish there were more places to go horseback riding in Pennsylvania. There really aren't very many federal lands available for recreation around where I live, so it would help if they would use the land in our area.
I'm against snowmobiles in Yellowstone.
• I'm against them putting wolves back in public parks. I don't know if you have anything to do with that, but I think that is dreadful.
• I'm all for the national parks.
• I'm glad I still get to travel with the lifetime pass on a fixed income. Parks should let people know that the Golden Age Pass is available. I was unaware of this for a while.
• I'm glad that they're there and I enjoy using them. We access national forests on a weekly basis in the summer but don't have to pay because there are no access fees to visit national forests.
• I'm glad you told me about the Golden Age Pass.
• I'm not aware of mountain biking or how much it's available. They should have more mountain biking sites available.
• I'm opposed to the [President Bush] administration's choice to sell off some federal lands.
• Improve the bathrooms- we'll be more likely to go to parks.
• In Boulder, the park rangers actually hand out pamphlets on wildlife and I think that it would be a good idea to set up a table explaining the fees and passes available because I was unaware of them.
• In my particular area they have done a great job of maintaining the timber rights, which in turn has really helped the wildlife.
• In Rainer Park, they should look into elk population as the source of destruction of the ecosystem, and not the backpackers.
• Indiana doesn't have any federal land. I have to go out West to see any federal land.
• It didn't sound much like a recreational questionnaire.
• It is my personal feeling that the environment is the most important thing that we have to worry about, including the economy. If we don't have clean air and take care of our forests, the other things don't matter.
• It is shocking that current government appropriates land through due process.
• It is vital we keep those intact. It is the core of discovery.
• It is wonderful that they are set aside the joy and natural beauty.
• It should all be private property. Government should not own property.
• It should be more available to every one.
• It was nice to make them smoke-free. It would reduce the chance of fires and we would all breathe easier.
• It would be good if the pass offered discounts on things such camping, maps, and guidebooks. It should be more of a club thing.
• It would be kind of neat if I could go more often. And it is not because of cost, it's just time.
• It would be nice to have a Golden Age Pass that was for people who were 55 or older instead of just 62 and older. It's good to have parks.
• It would be nice to keep better care of small parks. It seems like they do not take care of them as much as they do the big parks.
• It's a good thing for high school students.
• It's a good thing, and as soon as my husband retires we will be going a lot more.
• It's a shame about selling off federal land.
• It's always been a pleasure.
• It's getting too densely populated, and I think we need more open land.
• It's just a great thing. I hope the Parks department, people who are in charge continue to maintain them. I just think they're a great thing.
• It's like a double tax. I pay a lot and it doesn't go to the lands, so they're wasting my money. It's robbery.
• It's not that I'm not interested in the pass. I would just rather pay the entrance fee when I go because I never plan ahead when I do go to a park. I'm sure I could save money, but I just don't plan to something like that.
• It's too bad that Congress won't allow the parks to have enough money, and they have to do this. Corporations are getting too many tax breaks and it's falling on the parks, and it's disgraceful. It's a desperate measure and it's sad.
• It's usually a fantastic experience. It's always good to go to federal lands.
• It's very important to preserve federal lands, and to use them for the public benefit and be very cautious in allowing roads to be built in national lands/forests. And they should not be used for gas and oil exploitation, unless for last resort.
• I've enjoyed it.
• I've really enjoyed the California parks we've been to here. We like being able to reserve camping sites ahead online for making decisions for traveling and visiting the federal sites.
• Just keep them beautiful.
• Just protect them.
• Keep all the parks, we should have more. More advertising from the federal government and public service programs talking about the different parks and entice them to come. Create more parks for more people to go and visit to keep the dollars in America.
• Keep clean, and don't cut trees to build houses. Plant as many trees as possible.
• Keep them coming.
• Keep it as free as possible.
• Keep it clean. Do your best. Keep the bushes trimmed. Make the forest pretty.
• Keep making it accessible for everybody.
• Keep national parks for the people and not the lumber companies.
• Keep the lands open, no logging. Keep the wilderness areas.
• Keep the public lands public. Make sure the public still has access to the land for all kinds of use.
• Keep them preserved!
• Keeping the environment of the water safe in the public use. Protecting the waters is important.
• Leave the federal lands alone.
• Let the people in without charging so damn much.
• Look forward to coming out to Wyoming every year.
• Looking forward to visiting federal lands using the Golden Age Passport.
• Love to know website to obtain passes.
• Maintain land, animals and critters. Respect land, animals, wildlife and don't take away the land from the animals.
• Market it a little better.
• Marvelous job! Want to go to Yosemite. Went to several in Arizona and enjoyed it totally. Been to several- goal is to go to all.
• Minimize these costs. They're my lands and I don't like to be charged for them.
• More campsites would be great.
• More information where the different parks are located.
• More information would be good. We don't go as often as we could to federal lands because we aren't aware about what is available. Information would be my number one thing.
• More of those programs should be more widely advertised.
• More people need to take better care of the federal lands and control the animals... not cut down so many trees.
• More publicity.
• More trails and more access for ATVs and horses.
• MUCH cheaper.
• My parents would be more interested in the pass.
• My wife loves Red Rocks.
• Need more restrooms on the federal lands.
• Need to not restrict federal lands to people with licenses - make it public for everyone on all lands.
• Need to remain as accessible as they are now, not by raising entrance fees that exclude some families.
• No parking fees.
• No snowmobiles allowed. It should be quiet out in nature and the snow. I feel really strongly about this.
• No, because what I would like to know doesn't come under federal lands, these marine protected lands. Other than that, no.
• No, except that they should not be charging for people to go on federal lands and other national sites.
• No, I don't think we have anything to say.
• No, I will check on that permit though.
• No, I'm just pleased that we can still keep them up and running, and I'm glad to help keep them running. Too much blacktop is being put up.
• No, just keep it up. Keep them open, and don't destroy them.
• No, just that it would be wonderful if we did have passes. As long as they don't take the trees down, they can drill wherever they want. And I think they need to keep the underbrush fires under control. And thank God we have a government that takes care of land.
• No. I, the one thing I don't know if a lot of places do it. Rental places, if they made equipment available, like a winter fun pass, and you could get cross-country skis and snowshoes. A place right at the park.
• No. I'm pretty intelligent, and I'm trying to find the correlation here.
• None - I wish we could visit them more often, but when you have little ones and are making money you just don't have time.
• None. I don't have time to go out now. I would love to go to the parks and mountains.
• None. I wish I had more time off to visit federal parks.
• None. I wish we would keep them cleaner.
• None. My husband is in the forest industry.
• Not in favor of recreation on federal lands.
• Now I know about the pass for senior citizens, and I will let my sister know.
• Off-road vehicles should not be allowed on most federal lands.
• Our family uses the national park areas a lot.
• People want to do things with their dogs, so they should be more dog friendly and allow dogs.
• People who live in the city, such as myself, don't get the respect when it comes to the outdoors, but we love the outdoors. The pass is not a good idea for the people of the city, but the price for camping $20 is not a problem for me. It would be.
• Pick up the garbage on the lands.
• Protect the lands better.
• Protect them! Don't sell them! Regulate off-road vehicles. Continue the Golden Age Passport instead of making it go away! Just protect protect protect!
• Raised my family in Wyoming.
• Recreation is the main use for federal land.
• Recreation should be expanded and there should be no timber sales on public land to expand the parks.
• See more national parks, more advertising for the national parks, and no drilling.
• Should include camping.
• Sometimes I go to the beach, but I am not sure if it is a national park.
• Stock more fish.
• Stop letting private cars drive through the national parks, for example the Grand Canyon. I enjoy the outdoors and just love the national parks.
• Support for individuals is needed to motivate people to buy the passes.
• Support snowmobile act in Yellowstone.
• Thank you for letting me know about the pass for the disabled. I will definitely look into getting one of those for myself. That's just great.
• That they should keep their park bathrooms a lot cleaner and make them accessible to the public instead of having them locked.
• The Big Horn Mountains are the most beautiful thing I have ever seen.
• The Constitution Center is gorgeous.
• The federal lands are just wastelands, they need to do something with it.
• The government should make it free for everybody.
• The money should be shared among all the parks. I think that people should have to pay for parks so that they stay nice. To buy a pass, it depends on if we go on vacations and where we plan to go.
• The mule deer in Grand Canyon looked pretty sickly.
• The national forest is sacred in its own way and shouldn't allow snowmobiles, four-wheelers, and jet-skis because national parks are perfection and I wouldn't correct anything. The only thing that is not perfect is man making loud noises and ruining things.
• The one thing that bothers me is the Grand Canyon getting closed down to auto traffic and having to use the bus. I just like to drive because I've gone there for my whole life and I just love it. I understand why they are doing it, but I just wish that we could drive.
• The ones I tend to like better are the ones that are cheaper, they are nicer camp areas. I don't like international Parks.
• The park we visit next to our house (Big Bend National Park), in Texas. I wished they had more information about national parks.
• There aren't enough federal lands for the young kids, they have nothing to do! The children need a place to go and not get into trouble.
• There should be information available about water quality in national parks and also information about deforestation and soil quality. If people are visiting a national park, they should know how to protect these natural resources.
• There should be more of them because they're the only natural resource that will be available to future generations and we should keep them alive.
• There should be more places for recreation.
• They are doing a wonderful job with them and the program for senior citizens and the disabled is a good idea.
• They are hard to get in and out of for a wheel chair, like the historical homes and sites.
• They better keep them natural, they better not do any logging or cutting trees, because our young and children will not be able to enjoy it. You can ignore it but it is there and it is happening. [President Bush] and his Cabinet need to get their heads out of the sand.
• They could give seniors a better break. I like to visit the parks but on a fixed income it gets harder.
• They need more money to do to the upkeep that is necessary for them.
• They need to advertise them more.
• They need to do more advertisement and look at more geographical areas. When people think about national parks, they think specifically about the Midwest. There are more wildlife refuges around the United States and I think they need to let people know about them.
• They ought to police the ATVs and four-wheelers!
• They seem to do a good job of managing it. State parks aren't too good, but my experience of the Grand Canyon was good because the park was maintained.
• They should be free.
• They should charge more and better the roads.
• They should stay protected.
• They shouldn't have snowmobiles all day, restrict the access of snowmobiles except for rescue. We shouldn't sell anything from national parks including lumber. We shouldn't sell any national park land either.
• Trying to keep prairie dogs alive is a joke. They just eat all the grass.
• Use my pass all the time.
• Used to work in Yellowstone, worked there for four summers and loved it. I wish I would have started working in the parks younger so I could have done it longer.
• Very concerned for the parks are no longer free. I feel there are too many taxes that aren't being used appropriately.
• Very happy with our national service.
• Very nice.
• Visited state parks, and did have a Golden Pass for some of the places in California.
• We are happy that they are there and don't want them to go away. I support any environmental thing and I don't want them opened up for oil drilling or anything else, and we when we do make it to them we enjoy them thoroughly.
• We are planning to visit the Grand Canyon and my parents going camping a lot on national parks and things.
• We do enjoy the national parks; it just sucks since we are from the East coast and never get to go. I don't think asking about the number of phones is a valid question.
• We do enjoy them a lot. Please make it affordable. Have a lifetime pass available for everybody, regardless of their age.
• We do use the national parks quite often. We go through them just about every day. If they would charge a small fee to get in to maintain the lands I think it would help a lot.
• We do wish that some of the trails were managed. We wish that the rules were being enforced.
• We don't get to ours often, we usually go once a year to the Rocky Mountain National Park. And we have been to Yellowstone several times, we've been to the Grand Canyon we have been to Yosemite and the one next to Yosemite.
• We enjoy going to the parks.
• We enjoyed it very much when we could go to them. I think they're wonderful!
• We go to a lot of parks. State and national all over. We go sightseeing a lot.
• We have been very busy this past year and we are looking forward to visiting park lands a lot more often. We want to go to the Teton and Grand Canyon.
• We have thirty-one thousand acres but most is underdeveloped and we enjoy that.
• We haven't even been on a vacation on seven years.
• We just don't have time to take advantage of the Golden Age Pass.
• We like to visit the Grand Canyon.
• We like Yellowstone. We subscribe to the Sierra Club magazine.
• We live right by a national forest and enjoy it.
• We love using the federal lands.
• We love using the parks.
• We need more legislation for protection of our wildlife.
• We need to kick the oil companies out of our national forests.
• We need to preserve them as best as we can and we can't stop cutting back on the money put toward them. I'm in favor of expanding more federal lands. When they mine federal lands, they need to be paying their fees.
• We need to protect them so that future generations will also have them.
• We need to take care of what we've got, do not ever give them up, we need to be better stewards of our environment.
• We ought to preserve them and keep them available for the public.
• We really need to keep our federal lands and not sell them.
• We should have more federal lands.
• We shouldn't have to pay to get in them.
• We try to enjoy them and visit them as much as much as we can, living in the state of Arizona.
• We used to go camping quite a bit when I was a kid, but my wife is not a camper, so my chances of going to one are slim. We are planning to go to the Grand Canyon in the next few years.
• We used to go up to Wyoming to hunt antelope, and we couldn't get tags for the last three years.
• We value the parks and the state parks very much, some parks are better about it. Not as many on the East coast, it's just too bad.
• We visit a lot of state parks, not federal lands.
• We want it to stay affordable.
• We would love to get to some.
• Well actually I have 8 acres and I live in the woods, and I'm happy here. I don't think we're going to have any more lands, I think we're destroying them with global warming. I just want to keep mine until I die.
• Well, I hope they continue to stay open to camping.
• Well, I think the Forest Service is doing a lousy job. They are way over-administered and not taking care.
• Well, I think we get a lot of, there's a lot offered for a small amount of money. I've never had to pay a lot for going on federal lands. I don't know if I want to buy a pass if it's cheap to go on federal lands.
• We're going to Glacier National Park this summer.
• We've seen a lot of our country and love it.
• When I do make it, I enjoy it.
• When I was married I used to travel a lot, but now I am divorced and I don't travel anymore. I was in Yellowstone Park, Grand Canyon, etc.
• Where are the parks??
• Where can I get the website for this?
• Where I live there are mostly only state parks.
• Where is the money going? It should be going to federal lands.
• Wish there were more.
• Would like to see campgrounds in facilities, with easier access. Don't like where you have to make reservations. Easier access for camper trailers.
• Would not like to lose access in the India Beach area. Would like more beach loading ramps because of the steel deal they may have changes to this.
• Yeah, I enjoy hunting, fishing with a motorboat, I enjoy snowmobiling. And I am slowly being kicked out of my federal and national parks by tree huggers. There are too many national and federal lands because they control the entire state when they have.
• Yeah, what was the point of this?
• Yeah, why not? I would say that my favorite national park is by far the Smoky Mountain National Park in western North Carolina and eastern Tennessee. You know, we are just generally satisfied with the up-keeping in the parks and we would be interested in any.
• Yeah. It makes no sense that senior citizens have to pay a fee and retarded people can go for free when they worked their butt off all their lives.
• Yes, the recreational parks for instance Mississippi... it is a crime that we have to pay to pay respect to our deceased people. People have to pay $10 when they are only going to be driving through.
• Yes, we are very much nature, ecology and national park oriented.
• You just gave me a lot of information that I wasn't aware of. Thank you.
[President Bush] has set standards that are completely backwards. Selling public lands is outrageous. Saving our natural resources is the way we should go.

[President Bush] is trying to pay for the war with our federal funds and he needs to stop because we need to preserve the lands and parks and so on.

[President Bush] conservation program is not good.

A lifetime pass before the Golden Age Pass is receivable, just for convenience sake.

Advertise the availability of the passes.

Again, I'm not sure where the online Pass can be purchased. I wish it was more accessible.

All for it!!! Keep snowmobiles off Yellowstone and don't drill oil.

All in favor of national parks.

All the sites we went to were great.

Allow the pass for 3-5 years. Under the activities section of this survey, add motorcycle tours.

Any drilling in Alaska is definitely wrong. The Pass is a good idea. However, I am against snowmobiles. I agree they should be allowed but only in specific areas.

Anything that we can do to preserve federal lands is of utmost importance.

Anything you can do to promote recreation is good. It was the most beautiful experience we ever had.

Ban snowmobiles and ATVs because they're noisy, polluting, and dangerous.

Banning snowmobiles and motorized water vehicles like jet-skis in our national parks. I think it's incompatible with our wildlife habitats and when we visit these places we need to tread lightly. It disturbs the peace of national parks.

Basically, I love the national park system. I believe it is educational. I have written my kids a paycheck since they are little so they can travel around. It is our heritage.

Bathrooms should be more maintained...more money should be put towards cleaning.

Be careful with what is going on. I do not think we need to open up much to recreation because we are going to ruin them, but I also like the idea of access. We are enjoying our county and state parks and we don't have a national park near us and we won't.

Be cautious of shutting down recreation in Yellowstone for snowmobile areas. Do not take away simple luxuries for calm enjoyment...it's not always entertainment. Do not cut too many programs.

Being a bird watcher, I'm a supporter of certain restrictions to vehicles, off-road activities, and certain areas for destruction on lands. I know they as well have the right to enjoy their activities but because of pollution and noise I don't believe they should.

Big fan of this.

Charge more, and charge larger bigger SUV's more. Nature should take priority rather than recreation.

Charge people 62 and over more than $10 for the pass.

Do not allow snowmobiles on federal lands!!

Does not apply to me and I do not know why you need this information since I am active and this does not apply to me.

Don't be stupid when you give a survey.
• Don't build that stupid walkway across the Grand Canyon. I'll never go there again. I'm very impressed by National Forest Service. I enjoy going to the parks.
• Don't confirm new Department of Interior candidate [President Bush] appointed and no snowmobiles in the parks. Protect wildlife refuges.
• Don't cut down the trees.
• Don't lose our lands, and keep taking care of our parks.
• Don't sell it!
• Don't sell the federal lands.
• Don't sell them. That is not ok.
• Don't want to see the government sell off the land.
• Enjoy federal lands.
• Enjoying the parks, want to keep them serviced. That's why I buy the pass.
• Even if we did not visit the parks we would support them by buying the passes anyway.
• Every time that we've gone we've really enjoyed it and we hope that they are still around for our grandchildren.
• Everything there is beautiful and clean.
• Excellent. Do what they can to protect the lands.
• Existing federal lands should not be turned into corporate businesses.
• Federal recreation parks in New York.
• For 10 years I visited a lot but like them a lot and went a lot with kids but they are gone now. We used to have Golden Eagle.
• For people that cannot afford a pass over $150, I would like to have something available for people that cannot afford the pass.
• Generally...it's a great thing!! I'm a fan!! I usually visit national parks, though.
• Get the administration to spend more money on it.
• Get the snowmobiles out of Yellowstone!
• Glad Gale Norton got out so she doesn't get rid of our national parks.
• Good survey.
• Great job and enjoy them.
• Happy to go to the parks.
• Hate how the national park is talking about stripping the lumber to use for schools. I'm opposed to that.
• Have fun in Wyoming.
• Have the environment be a priority over recreation.
• Hope more money goes to the upkeep of the parks.
• Hope national parks are preserved for my grandchildren, protect, and preserve.
• Hope the cheaper pass for 45-61 goes through. I would love to have a pass at a discount.
• Hope they always keep them available.
• I adore federal lands, and it's important to have land for everyone!
• I agree with the pass that lets you into all the federal areas. We had a National Parks Pass and it got confusing when we tried to get into a national forest with it.
• I agree with the senior citizen pass for recreation, but I don't think that being disabled should give them the opportunity to get the pass for free. It should be equal and fair for everyone who is buying the pass. If we are going to start labeling people.
• I always enjoy being able to go to the parks.
• I am a supporter of it. It would be nice if they did some more advertising for it.
• I am against letting motorized traffic in a lot of the parks it really detracts from the experiences of other.
• I am against selling and oil drilling, and delisting the grizzlies, and clear cutting trees! Keep them natural! Leave the animals alone!
• I am all for it.
• I am blessed to have a federal land about five miles away from me.
• I am concerned about development in national parks. The use of snowmobiles in Yellowstone during the winter and helicopter tours in the Grand Canyon are annoying.
• I am concerned about the funding for maintaining historic site and federal lands. I believe it is insufficient and I would like to see the sites better taken care of.
• I am glad that they are there.
• I am grateful they are there.
• I am interested in seeing more of them.
• I am not in favor of federal lands being sold to private groups or individuals. That land is a legacy that belongs to all Americans. I am very disappointed in the way the [President Bush] administration is handling these lands, the fact that they are trying to get rid of them.
• I am usually alone (female), so I am worried about my safety. But the stars are beautiful there. I know they have all sorts of activities during the day, it would be nice to have some activity during the night to look at the stars for example, and make sure it's safe.
• I appreciate federal lands. Don't drill for oil in the parks.
• I bought a pass to the park, not as a senior citizen. When I got to the park the man asked how old I was and I said 63 because I was at the time, and he said you are entitled to a different cheaper pass, so the man gave it to me and paid me back the difference.
• I did buy the national parks Pass, but I bought it for my parents.
• I did not know that there are so many lands that charge and think that they should stay free around me.
• I do like the idea of a two-year pass.
• I do not like the motorized forms of recreation. I would like to see the federal lands kept for the wildlife. I don't like to see any of that destroyed.
• I do think there should be a cheaper pass for low income people to be able to enjoy federal lands (like Golden Age) and would like to see more money kept at sites.
• I don't approve of what they are doing to the federal lands and that includes recreation. They need to keep them up better, spend more money on them and protect the animals and the people.
• I don't know which snowmobile that is authorized in Yellowstone, the one that has the less noise, I think that should be mandated. They want to go in a snow coach or snowmobiles. I'm also worried about taking the wolf off the endangered species list.
• I don't like ATV's or snowmobiles, I think they destroy the land and they're noisy.
• I don't like snowmobiles.
• I don't see any differences in this new pass and the one that we have. It sounds like the same thing to me, every feature of it.
• I don't think so.
• I don't think so.
• I don't think that the old folks should get a great big discount like they do.
• I don't think there should be a charge. Obviously we can afford to go, but people who SHOULD be able to see federal lands can't always afford to.
• I don't understand why race is a question.
• I enjoy going to the parks once in a while.
• I enjoy it when we're there and I hope they keep them up.
• I enjoy the heck out of them. I like how clean they are and how beautiful and preserved they are.
• I enjoy the national parks, I travel around and make use of them. The one that is closest to me offers equestrian fields.
• I enjoy the national parks, I wish I could use them more. I'd pay the entrance fees even if I didn't buy the pass.
• I enjoy the national parks.
• I enjoy the parks pass.
• I enjoy the public lands.
• I enjoy them.
• I enjoy using the national parks and go as often as I can. I like the history and learning from them.
• I get all my information from the Internet, you do a great job. Keep it well updated.
• I get tired of listening to evolution, it does not represent the American belief. It's based on scientific theory, not fact!
• I go up to Wyoming all the time. Love the Big Horns.
• I have a national parks card.
• I have an RV and use a lot in the national forest a lot.
• I have no problems with the recreation but sad about repairs not being done when needed.
• I have one concern. What about the roads? Some of the roads are terrible where I'm from, Nevada. The roads wear and tear our vehicle.
• I hope Congress doesn't sell any off.
• I hope everyone realizes that all parks are very important and to keep them clean more than they have.
• I hope it's still there in ten years.
• I hope our government keeps increasing it so we have more places to enjoy.
• I hope that it will be here for a long time.
• I hope that the lands don't get destroyed by strip mining, etc.
• I hope that they keep them free from drilling and from increased use by motorized back road vehicles. It would be great if all of our parks were non-smoking. All parks should engage in recycling.
• I hope that they stay protected. I'm not happy with [President Bush] new plans about mining.
• I hope that we protect them and expand the protection of them over the next couple of years. I don't think that we are doing enough to protect them and they are really a valuable resource.
• I hope that we still have them in 20 years from now.
• I hope they are there for future generations.
• I hope they continue to support parks because they are important to society.
• I hope they keep snowmobiles out of Yellowstone. They make too much noise and it's better to keep the skiers out there.
• I hope they stay around for a long time.
• I hope we can keep [President Bush] from doing anything bad to them like drilling for oil in Alaska.
• I just hope they don't change the current system.
• I just hope they protect the federal lands, don't develop it into some type of commercial enterprise, don't let the profiteers get a hold of it.
• I just hope they take care of the air pollution that happens around the Big Ben Park.
• I just hope they won't screw it up. They need to protect what we have, keep them.
• I just hope you keep doing it.
• I just think it's important for the country to preserve the national parks and forests.
• I just think it's very important that we preserve our federal lands and it's of high importance to me. I wish that there were more candidates in the presidential election so that I could vote for someone who values our federal lands.
• I just think that's why we pay to go. It ought to be free. It's our land. Why am I being charged to see my land? I am a former employee of the USDA Forest Service.
• I just think the National Parks Pass was fabulous and more people should make use of it.
• I just wish I could go out there more.
• I just wish the current regime were out of the White House, so we could save the federal lands.
• I know we've enjoyed the parks, we love the federal lands.
• I like federal lands and want to keep supporting.
• I like the fact that the passes are tax deductible. I also like the fact that the receipt is good for seven days so you can get back into the park and use it everywhere.
• I like the national forest. We need more laws on ATVs and snowmobiles.
• I like the parks a lot and am proud of the fact that we have them and like to visit them.
• I like the parks a lot and we are Republicans.
• I like the parks a lot and wish they were expanded.
• I like the website. They had one the distance between the parks.
• I like them a lot. [4]
• I like them a lot. I like the new pass ideas.
• I like them a lot. Maybe expand them.
• I like them a lot. They are nice.
• I like them and want them to stay for a long time.
• I like them. I hope they stay forever.
• I like them. I think they're great. I think more people should take advantage of them.
• I like to make sure it keeps getting funded.
• I like Yellowstone National Park and Grand Teton.
• I like Yellowstone.
• I live in Connecticut. There are not very many parks and that is why I am not very interested in this survey.
• I love it, I really like our national parks. My family and I use them as often as we can. I don't mind paying for a National Parks Pass every year. We want the national parks to be a priority and we don't want them to do anything less than they do now.
• I love national parks. Protect the resources. Recreation is secondary.
• I love the national parks and we enjoy going to them.
• I love the national parks system.
• I love the national parks. [3]
• I love the national parks, and we visit when we can. I just want the price to be reasonable.
• I love the parks, and the West and Yellowstone, I hope to go back. Passes are a great investment.
• I love them. [2]
• I love them. I will always support them.
• I love to recreate.
• I paid $100 for the National Parks Foundation and I could not use it for Mount Rushmore.
• I prefer quiet, so without the snowmobiles. I wish Congress would send more money their way, and we enjoy them greatly every year!
• I read things in the Science magazine and I don't think the lands are getting enough attention that they deserve. I just want that we preserve the lands. I hear a lot of talk, but not much action. We should try harder. We should also limit the ATV use.
• I really do enjoy having those passes.
• I really do like them and we really do enjoy going to Mount Rushmore and Yellowstone and I would recommend anyone to go to them.
• I really enjoy going to them.
• I really enjoy using the lands. Increase user fees rather than limit use of land.
• I really like going. For my brother's birthday, I bought him a pass for the whole year and that's really cool.
• I really like recreation on federal lands and I really hope they continue to be run.
• I really like the pass they have now.
• I really like them!! My family uses them a lot.
• I really think that the federal lands need to be supported and anything and everything possible for lands to be preserved even if it means that people can't have recreation there then that should be.
• I really wish they'd let us buy them online.
• I really would like to preserve them.
• I sense from a lot of places that there is a lot of resentment from the locals, against the government for spending so much money on the federal lands.
• I support the national parks. I think it's a good idea.
• I support them.
• I support user fees, and I think age 62 is too young to give away the pass for $10. They should up it to at least 65.
• I think federal lands should be free to the public.
• I think it is important that the parks be funded.
• I think it is important to be able to use them for recreation and other uses. I don't think there should be any more wilderness areas.
• I think it is important to maintain them and protect them and sometimes that means restricting some things like ATV's or snowmobiles or other types of activities for certain periods of time, and I accept that as necessary.
• I think it is really important that we have access and the access is for everybody regardless of income.
• I think it's a good deal. If you could get something before age 62, that would be wonderful.
• I think it's a great asset for the country.
• I think it's a great thing and I hope it's preserved.
• I think it's good that you guys are getting information out to the public about this.
• I think it's important to support the national parks.
• I think it's wonderful and we think the Pass is wonderful.
• I think more money needs to be spent on the parks and making them more accessible to everybody.
• I think our government needs to give the parks more money to keep up on facilities and keep out poachers and people who are nefarious to the parks.
• I think park rangers should be paid more.
• I think passes are very good now.
• I think that citizens of the United States should pay less than foreign visitors.
• I think that federal lands are great!
• I think that in general our experience was very good at all of the federal parks. They all seemed to be very well maintained and we really just enjoy visiting all of the parks when we have the chance.
• I think that more money should be devoted to the protection of national wildlife and national lands.
• I think that the access to federal lands should be more liberal then they are now, because of the restriction in different parks where people are loud or not loud, makes no sense.
• I think that there should be less motorized vehicles on federal lands.
• I think that there should be no increase to the traffic allowed on federal lands. I strongly object to that. I don't like the complete lack of respect that the government is giving to the federal lands. I would like to have increased awareness about federal lands.
• I think that they're some of the most spectacular things I've ever seen. I'm really glad to support them.
• I think the annual passes are a really good thing, because you never know when you are going to need to use it or when you are going to be going to a park.
• I think the federal lands are a treasure, and we enjoy them very much.
• I think the four-wheel drive vehicles should be restricted.
• I think the National Parks Pass is great. I buy it every year.
• I think the National Park Service does a wonderful job with campgrounds and facilities. I cannot praise the National Park Service enough. I would not pay more for a pass when I can pay $50 for the National Parks Foundation. I give money to the nation.
• I think the national parks should do something about ATVs, snowmobiles, and other vehicles because they take away the peace of the woods.
• I think the Park Service is great and I'm happy to have a pass.
• I think the parks are great. Keep the snowmobiles out within reason and keep the parks open.
• I think the pass is a great deal, and if even more of the money goes back into the federal lands, that makes the pass even more worth it.
• I think the value for the buck is incredible and I wish that more people would support the national parks.
• I think they are nice parks. Each time I have been there I was happy.
• I think they are truly a precious, precious commodity that we have and having enjoyed so many of them last year. I am very pleased that steps are being taken to make access available to more people.
• I think they are wonderful and I am just glad I am here and able to enjoy them.
• I think they do an excellent job, I think they do a great job. I was only in one park where I was treated rudely since June. They're very knowledgeable. It's a national treasure. Some of those places are really fantastic.
• I think they have been doing a great job. I'm impressed with park ranger knowledge. Very happy with experience. Would love to buy new pass.
• I think they need to make the pass more integrated with other services. It would give me more incentive to buy other services in the parks.
• I think they need to protect them through the reservations.
• I think they should be kept open to be used by people, not sold to private businesses.
• I think they should be preserved and looked after, they are very special.
• I think they should continue snowmobile use and expand ATV usage.
• I think they should keep it up though.
• I think they should limit the amount of snowmobiles that they allow in the parks that have fragile ecosystems. Also, they need to stop drilling in the Alaskan parks.
• I think they shouldn't log or allow snowmobiles. And give them more money. DO NOT allow oil and gas.
• I think they're awesome, the way they are preserving them is great, and that we are still able to enjoy the beauty of them.
• I think they're doing a really good job with the parks.
• I think what I want to see I've already discussed. Um, basically a pass that allows me to go to all federal lands, not just parks. I wish there was more forewarning about needing the Golden Eagle.
• I think what you're doing is important. Get the word out better about the pass and about the senior citizen pass, and where they can use it. I am sure a lot of people are not aware of the pass and all the places they can use it.
• I think you are doing a good job.
- I thoroughly enjoy it every year. Camping is the highlight of our year.
- I truly enjoyed my vacation 2 years ago and basically what we did was go to national parks, and that's where we ended up with our Golden Access Pass.
- I want people to keep using the parks and this pass is a good tool to get people to go and see the parks.
- I want the money to go into the parks and not to the government.
- I want the Parks people to continue to monitor the amount of people that they allow into the parks. I know that they have things where people have to park outside a lot of the parks. But I think they need a way to limit the amount of people allowed into the parks.
- I want to have all money put back into the park to improve it.
- I want to preserve federal lands for future generations.
- I was in Alaska and heard they were getting commercial roads there and drilling for oil. I do not agree with this because our national parks are so beautiful and we cannot ruin this.
- I was very much impressed with the Grand Canyon. We had a great time.
- I went to Alaska and I went to the Glacier Bay National Park and I got a newsletter in the mail and I find it disturbing because it said that people want to use recreational vehicles, helicopters, and I hope that Congress understands that those lands are delicate.
- I wish dogs were allowed in all parks and some areas to be an off leash section.
- I wish I could tell the President to stop spending money on other things and to stop cutting funding for programs that are so important to the maintenance of our national parks.
- I wish I could've gotten the long version of the survey.
- I wish more people would access what they have available to them.
- I wish that there were more here in South Texas.
- I wish that there were more parks in the Northeast.
- I wish that they would prohibit ATVs, snowmobiles, and motorcycles on federal lands. They need to control the sound pollution.
- I wish the federal government would allocate more money to federal lands.
- I wish the parks were better maintained.
- I wish there were more money going to the federal parks.
- I wish there was something in Pennsylvania.
- I wish there were more in Iowa. Wind Cave in the Badlands did not accept the National Parks Pass in the past year for some reason.
- I wish they would outlaw loud snowmobiles and other loud things that use our resources.
- I wish we had more out East.
- I wished there was a separate line for people who already have a pass at then entrance (a car sticker?) so that we don't have to wait in line behind people who are still paying for entrance. And also I hope they will remind us to renew our NPP by e-mail.
- I would be happy if there were some restrictions against abuse, like hunting or off-road vehicles, etc.
- I would be willing to pay $100 for the new pass.
- I would like a pass that works at all areas, not just most of them. It stated that the National Parks Pass is not good at some areas, and hope that the new pass will be good at all areas, federal and state.
- I would like it to be non-motorized. Snowmobiles or ATV's or jet-skis.
• I would like more money going to them.
• I would like not motorized vehicles on federal lands. No ATVs, no snowmobiles, no jet-skis.
• I would like them to increase mountain bike use.
• I would like to have more information about the parks that are closer.
• I would like to see a federal fishing license for all federal lands or a combination pass between state parks, it's becoming extremely expensive to fish and get a pass. Alarming trend for price rises for things such as use of showers and so forth are becoming outrageous.
• I would like to see a pass that was could be used at all parks in one state.
• I would like to see constraints put on the use of ATVs and such, more ecosystem friendly on the federal sites. I have seen a lot of progress in the government and I think all these progresses are awesome (this is in the backcountry such as removal of fir trees).
• I would like to see more mountain biking allowed on federal lands.
• I would like to see more tax dollars going to the parks and forests.
• I would like to see the federal government put more money into our federal lands and continue to buy more land. I'd also like the federal government to encourage more ecological energy sources for their facilities.
• I would like to see the Golden Age Pass be more than $10. Because they can well afford it if they are going all over the place.
• I would like to see the government help out the federal lands more.
• I would like to see the parks and forests more preserved for future generations. I do not like to see very much development on these federal lands. I think that there needs to be more money put into preserving these lands.
• I would like to see the parks taken better care of.
• I would like to see them hire more people. I really would. I would like to see people that go there take better care. I visit the lakes and see stuff lying around. I get my grandchildren picking it up. They need more staff numbers.
• I would like to spread the wealth across the parks. Who has the most need.
• I would love to see Congress pass a law to protect the federal lands. It is a tragedy the ability to kill our lands. To end on positive note, I'm an extremely proud citizen of the country and am honored to be able to visit and admire our lands.
• I would love to see the mineral mining limited.
• I would prefer them (the federal lands) to be un-motorized.
• I would probably be more apt to use the parks if they were less crowded.
• I would use that pass when I intend on going cross country. I don't visit enough federal facilities to make it worthwhile to get the pass.
• I'd feel I'd shortchanged a park if I went to three or four, because the proceeds only go to one.
• I’d just like to see more lands designated as federal lands so we can all enjoy the outdoors!
• I’d like them to continue to be available. I would like for Congress to continue the support they're doing. If they raise the fees, that's wrong but fees do need to be charged and stay at the parks they're at, and for further support we're going to consider the pass.
• If I buy a pass I would like to see discounts offered for tours and campsites and other things that are offered inside the park.
• If we lived closer we would enjoy those areas. Rather, we use state parks and other lands.
• I'm a user of federal lands, I just never have bought the pass. I feel like I should be paying full costs. Some people need the break but I don't.
• I'm against off-road vehicles and snowmobiles on public land.
• I'm an advocate, a geologist for Parks. I'm glad you're doing what you're doing.
• I'm disturbed by the way the current administration handles public lands. It is a tragedy that more federal lands are being disturbed. We should restore it, not build roads through it, or be used for mines. They should preserve the natural beauty not for men's profit.
• I'm for mild recreation, but not for a lot of dirt biking. If they do it should be in one far corner of the federal land, not for all of it.
• I'm glad it exists and I wish there were more of it. I would like to see more photography classes. We love the guided hikes and talks and information centers. We have been staying more at the lodges in the parks. We like the fact that they limit the traffic.
• I'm glad money is going into it and I wish more money would go into the federal lands. I think off highway vehicle use should be restricted to certain areas.
• I'm glad we have the national parks, and I hope we have even more in the future.
• I'm happy with the pass. (current pass)
• I'm in favor of non-motorized recreation.
• I'm just glad we have them.
• I'm kind of disappointed that I had to spend $65 because I was unaware of the Golden Age Pass.
• I'm more interested in it now. Thanks for the information!
• I'm really glad the sites are there for our use. I think that historical sites like the battlefields should not allow certain types of recreation like throwing Frisbees and picnicking.
• I'm really pleased with them and I want to keep getting them funded.
• In 2007, I hope to go to the Grand Canyon.
• In addition to buying a National Parks Pass, I give money to the federal lands on a regular basis, and to the associations affiliated with them.
• In favor of the fees going to parks to help maintain them. They are the best thing to spend taxes on.
• Increase advertising to youth, like kids and high school students. Keep up the good work. I think it hard as a hiker when snowmobiles and even bikes can tear up the trails. I know it brings in revenue for other people but special trails would be nice.
• Increase amount of lands for wildlife, recreation, and environmental purposes.
• Increase the number of private vehicles that can be allowed into Denali, Alaska.
• It does seem to me as though the entrance fees for the parks seem to be going up and it is getting rather expensive to go to the parks.
• It is a wonderful resource for people to use, and it should be advertised a lot more. Also, I think that it would help the sales of the passes if you made a priority for people to have a hotel reservation on national sites because it is very hard to find a room.
• It might be a good idea to do more advertising, especially about the passes.
• It should all be the same price, it should all be the same pass and I should get a discount on camping if I buy a pass. I will leave the national park and go to the state park and camp because it is cheaper.
• It should be cost effective. Also the limit on adults is not good. I think the ability to buy it there is excellent. I think that the idea that if you make reservations to stay in a park then they should be asked about it. The receipt discount should be off.
• It shouldn’t be political. Neither party should have a hold of it.
• It was wonderful to see the sights! We have a land to be proud of.
• It'd be great to have a state and federal pass combined.
• It's a blessing and a privilege to have them. I appreciate the country spending money to preserve the natural history we've got.
• It's a good deal.
• It's a good use of land. The best vacations I've had were at those kind of places enjoying the outdoors.
• It's a great thing and I hope more money can be utilized for federal land and save it for our future generations.
• It's a great thing! It needs to last.
• It's a shame we keep cutting the budget to the Department of the Interior. They are having to rely on so many volunteers, it is quite disturbing.
• It's a treasure and we need to protect it and our stewardship of it needs to be well thought out and not in reaction to political groups on either side of the spectrum.
• It's a wonderful resource we have, national parks are....I'm at a loss for words.
• It's awkward that the parks are being degraded. We want to recreate, but we need to keep the nature natural. We need to be careful how we use our resources, and need to not be careless. It's about the beauty of the land, it's a sense about ourselves.
• It's been a little disappointing to see cutbacks in our parks. I'm a retired volunteer and I hate to see them cut back on those types of things.
• It's doing very well. I wish I could travel out West more to them. I think that the money from the pass should stay more on the land to help out the surroundings.
• It's great to live in a country where we have national parks.
• It's important because I have a son and I think he needs to see it and I need it to get through daily life.
• It's money well spent.
• It's not very clear who is the beneficiary of the funds. I would like to know where my money is going. The National Wildlife Refuges need more money.
• It's our favorite family vacation!
• It's really difficult when state and federal parks can't coordinate those passes. When you live in areas where there are summer and winter recreation, you can only get in during seasons so the pass isn't good. A two-year pass is good idea. Make it affordable and all inclusive.
• It's very enjoyable, it's just too far away.
• It's very important and I hope that we continue to support it through the people who use it and through the national government. It's wonderful to be able to experience the outdoors and the things that are preserved there.
• It's very important to keep them around and expand them and also make them cleaner and open to people.
• I've been reading a little bit about the possibility of charging for entrance for the national gallery in Washington D.C. and I really hope that they don't begin charging in those places.
• Just happy they exist.
• Just hope they increase the funding for them.
• Just keep doing a good job with them!
• Just keep them there and don't let [President Bush] sell off the forest.
• Just keep up the good job. They're doing a good job.
• Just make sure we preserve it.
• Just that I was really impressed with the rangers and their facilities.
• Just the one given.
• Keep funding them.
• Keep it going!
• Keep it open! I want to be able to snowmobile into Yellowstone in the next few years.
• Keep it public.
• Keep it up and keep it going and quit drilling for oil! We really have enjoyed our time at the national parks.
• Keep it up.
• Keep oil drilling off the public lands.
• Keep protecting the federal lands.
• Keep snowmobiles off the private park lands.
• Keep snowmobiles out! Anything with noise needs to be out. That's why you go- for quiet!
• Keep some of the roads free. Ban all motorized vehicles and preserve everything that's there now.
• Keep taking care of the lands.
• Keep the ATVs off.
• Keep the campgrounds and keep them open. If you have to charge more to keep operations and keep them open then do it, just not excessively. Do not open any national parks or forests to oil drilling.
• Keep the lands open for as many people as possible. Keep it free!!!!
• Keep the mining companies and oil drillers and concessionaires out.
• Keep the national parks, don't sell land. Keep it for future generations to enjoy.
• Keep the snowmobiles and ATVs off federal lands!
• Keep them around.
• Keep them available.
• Keep them beautiful!
• Keep them open for everybody. Don't restrict bikers, hikers etc.
• Keep them operating, they're wonderful places and they're needed.
• Keep things going the way they are and keep them strict. Otherwise, people take advantage of it.
• Keep up the good work.
• Limit the snowmobiles.
• Mad at discount for old people. There should be one for college.
• Maintenance with the parks. The idea of national parks are up for abuse by industry, incredibly irresponsible.
• Make Glen Canyon National Park- Utah. Don't allow snowmobiles in national parks. Make more designated road biking trails so the bikers have a different lane to ride in than cars. Government keeps giving money to national parks. Impeach [President Bush].
• Make more bike paths.
• Make sure there is a lifetime pass available for people under the age of freaking forty.
• Make the campgrounds better. Have mobile homes' campgrounds be tied to electricity.
• Maybe have visited Cumberland Gap.
• Maybe more national parks need to allow people to bring their pets. That affects our decision about where to go.
• Might mention the fact that where I'm at most of the federal lands open access. I live in Temple County in California.
• Military or veterans should get a discount as well as federal employees. Reduce the age for senior citizens like 55, because there are more people willing to get out when they are younger. And reduce the price for lodging. Is it possible that some of the.
• More access to good behaving four-wheel drive vehicles, less access to ATV's. Both of us are biologists and have an educated opinion.
• More money from government should go to the recreational lands. It is a great treasure that we should not lose and also I wish that more people would go out and enjoy them.
• More money needs to be in the Parks budget.
• More of it.
• More open mountain bike paths.
• Motorized vehicles stay out!
• My comment is that I'd like to see all of them protected and none of them compromised.
• My National Parks Pass did not work at Mount Rushmore. The picture of it is featured on my pass but it did not work there. If they say it is going to be good at all federal lands they need to mention if there are going to be any exceptions.
• My only complaint is that the parks closed for bird watching, bike riding and so forth. It used to be open and now the restrictions have lowered the number of times we have used our pass.
• My wife and I went on a trip to Yellowstone about two years ago and it was one of the best vacations I've ever been on.
• National forests should be expanded so they can take larger vehicles, like motor homes. I would prefer to see at least a few larger ones.
• National Parks Pass, another one.
• Need more maps, guides, weather information etc. that comes with the pass.
• Need to keep things up-to-date on the Internet, i.e. construction on sites.
• No motorized vehicles in the parks, use the buses. Or limit the type of vehicles. No recreational vehicles should be able to come in.
• No problems with the system now. Enjoy spending time in the national parks.
• No snowmobiles on federal lands. Put restrictions on the number of people that go into them. Preserve national beauty and anything that would cause decrease in population below a level that would maintain the ecosystem should not be allowed.
• No snowmobiles or motorized vehicles should be permitted and no more commercial operations.
• No, because we travel all the time and take the grandkids and go to the parks. We've been to Yosemite, Yellowstone, Grand Canyon. We're trying to hit them all.
• No, but I think that the survey could be shorter than that.
• No, I don't think so.
• No, I just enjoy going to parks.
• No, I just enjoy the fact they are there, and that I can take my daughter to places of different events of history, and different archeology sites, and animal refuges.
• No, I think it's a great pass, I think it's wonderful, and it's very convenient. I think it would be better to have some more historical sites on there, like presidential sites, but I don't know if they're federally funded.
• No, I'm good.
• No, I'm very pleased with the program.
• No, uh, is uh, will I be receiving anything in the mail, or is this just over the phone?
• No, we had a great time when we were there.
• No, we just wish we had more to do in Iowa.
• No. I wish there would be more and that they wouldn't do drilling on them.
• No. It's just something that I believe in, and I think that the government does a good job of keeping it, and I think it's a shame that more people don't do it.
• No. Not really, I've always enjoyed it. I admire the people that run the things. I have no suggestions; I'm not qualified to give any.
• No. We're real interested in the national parks.
• No. We've had a lot of fun on recreational lands.
• None, but I am really interested in the new pass.
• None, I wish I used my Parks Pass more.
• None, just passes are a great deal!
• None. Access to wilderness should be left in the wilderness.
• None. Keep up the good work!
• None. More publicity for Golden Access Pass. I bought the National Parks Pass, because I did not know about the Golden Access Pass, for which I would qualify!
• None. Prices mentioned are a shocker.
• None. We love the parks.
• None...Just don't tear it down and seal it!
• Nope, except that we really enjoy them, and want to support them any way we can.
• Nope, just that we enjoy it a lot.
• Nope, just we, oh I know one, do more of the gas powered buses through the parks. We noticed this at Zion, and we noticed a difference at Bryce.
• Nope. I think especially that the Department of Interior, which I'm especially familiar with, is doing a great job. Of course they'd probably be doing a better job with more money, but that's a line I'm sure you're getting from everybody.
• Nope. One thing I would like to see with our pass is a booklet for the various parks. It would be nice for them to put out a book maybe for a state or region, that would be helpful. It would be very handy for research.
• Not really. I was just curious if the Forest or Park Service is sponsoring it.
• Not to sell all the federal lands, and not to allow any oil drilling or mining.
• Oh no, we enjoy it. We had a pass, and it expired in December. My husband just became eligible for the Golden Age Pass, and we're going to get that.
• Oh, the um, uh Michigan needs more.
• On the Golden Age Pass, I think you should consider going up in price on that pass.
• One pass for everything would be nice.
• One thing we noticed in Yellowstone, that there were a lot of tour busses. And the one thing that drove us crazy is that they would not split them out, so they were all stopped at the same time. So, people in smaller groups are held back waiting for them.
• Only that it appears that many people are often doing things that are polluting the national lands. My husband and I are usually horrified to see people destroying the natural beauty of the land (AKA dumping gasoline into a lake). Also hunting.
• Only that we love the national parks.
• Our government should spend more on them and we shouldn't drill oil on them either.
• Overcrowding in large parks.
• People who own a pass should have priority parking.
• Please do anything you can to get more places for camping out.
• Please do something about the conditions at the Everglades National Park. I realize the hurricane destroyed it, but there is money there to help out and we need to please keep that one going.
• Please keep them up. I enjoy them.
• Pleased with what I've visited.
• Possibility to military members.
• Protect the lands, it is important. We should not allow loggers in. These lands should be protected, not sold off or used.
• Publicity will help to keep donations up.
• Put too many gates up, closing off too many areas.
• Recreation on federal lands is important to us. We would rather see federal lands stay public and not sold off.
• Recreation on federal lands is very important. Do not sell federal lands.
• Reduce snowmobile and off-road vehicle use.
• Save the parks from drilling. Protect them from the stupid president.
• Seems like the seniors get a pretty good deal.
• Senior citizens should not get a discount, because many of them are already wealthy.
• Should preserve more wilderness lands, more road-free lands. Miners and ranchers and lumber interests should pay a great fee for use of the resource.
• Shouldn't give the handicapped passes for free. They should pay for them too.
• Snowmobiles showed be outlawed completely and all motor vehicles should be completely banned from all national parks, national forest and federal lands. We need to conserve as much of our lands as possible. And that includes building a skywalk in the Grand Canyon.
• Snowmobiling in Yellowstone should not be allowed. It is bad enough they allow motorized vehicles, so they should not allow snowmobiling. We all want to see the buffalo.
• So glad passes are available.
• Some sort of a link between that sort of pass and state parks, that would be helpful.
- Something was mailed to me about snowmobiles on national park lands, I think that should not be. When we did go to Rocky Mountain National Park, those big motor homes should not be allowed because we're trying to enjoy the great outdoors. All in all, it was fantastic.
- Spend a lot of time there and enjoy it!
- Spend more money on that and less on other things.
- Stop allowing agencies to clear logs out.
- Stop or limit motor recreational access such as snowmobiles. I believe that the government should provide places for recreation that's affordable. Support nominal or lesser fee for individual access to parks.
- Stop selling off federal lands.
- Stop snowmobiling in Yellowstone.
- Stop the changes in the parks. Keep the parks funded and kept up. Get [President Bush] out of the White House.
- Super pass that is good for state parks as well. I would pay that kind of money.
- Support them.
- Sure, I am a great supporter of our federal lands and I don't want to see them chopped up and I think that we need to be glad for the lands that we are blessed with and we need to put more of our tax dollars into preserving these lands.
- Tell [President Bush] not to sell our federal lands!!
- Tell [President Bush] to send his kids over to Iraq, and then see how fast he'd pull us out.
- Tell the government to spend more money on it.
- Tell the government to use them more wisely. Colorado and New Mexico have a lot of land.
- That the entrance fees in national areas don't rise to the point where people with little money can't afford to enjoy the lands.
- That's all I do is spend time at national parks and national forests in the summer. It is some of the most beautiful land and I am thankful we have them.
- The administration should not sell off federal lands for drilling. I think federal lands have suffered under this administration. They have also suffered in relation to logging.
- The Bureau of Land Management is doing an exceptional job.
- The experiences that we have had, including Grand Canyon, Yellowstone, Hoover Dam and others, have been great. We have really enjoyed the quality of our experiences, with the cleanliness and safety and such.
- The federal government needs to spend a lot more money on them.
- The fees are reasonable for the maintenance of the park. It's our national heritage so I think it is important.
- The Golden Eagle is something that probably should be reconsidered when you consider that people over 60 are the highest income bracket in the country and they get the pass almost for free.
- The government should put more funding into the parks and less into destroying other people. I'm also opposed to any drilling. The parks are meant to preserve nature, not destroy it, like in ANWR (Artic National Wildlife Refuge.)
- The housing provided to the federal employees who work in the parks is sub-standard. Some of the money should be put into their housing. They are well educated and hard working so they deserve it.
• The national parks are jewels and I feel lucky to visit them. They should be preserved in perpetuity.
• The National Parks Pass is a good value for the money.
• The National Parks Pass works wonderfully.
• The new "park with a view" idea is great. It is retired ranger outposts and they made it a cabin and you can stay there. I love the idea, the fact that they are all over and the fact that they are all used is great. I hope to eventually be able to do that.
• The ones we've been in we've thoroughly enjoyed.
• The only problem we have run into is about pet access. On the easy trails you can't have pets. We always take a stroller with us.
• The only thing I request is hot showers on campgrounds and water disposal. Other than that, I enjoyed it.
• The parks are wonderful. We took a trip to Montana and the parks up there were great. My husband has the Golden Age Pass and my grandson is going to the University of Montana and we bought a pass for him as well. We really enjoy the parks and would visit them.
• The parks mean a great deal and people should go more often.
• The parks should be getting more money than they do now. There has been a drop-off in services lately because of the lack of care and money. If rates have to go up a little then that's fine.
• The reservation/confirmation thing would be nice.
• The survey was very educational.
• The system here is great - all the facilities and the camping.
• The time that we have been to a federal site it was well worth the time. I wish we could do it more often.
• The U.S. is awesome. Canada has nothing on the U.S. when it comes to taking care of the handicapped in national parks. Showers and everything were very accessible.
• The website is great. We buy our National Parks Pass off of there.
• There are none close to here, so I tend to visit them on my annual vacation, so that's why some of my answers were skewed.
• There are some lands that are allowing logging and other activities and it concerns me. We have definitely made use of the national parks and lands. I think it's a cause that is worthy of our tax dollars.
• There has been a lot of stuff in the news where there will be leases or sales of federal lands and I am 100% against this.
• There is a lot of traffic at some national parks and this causes pollution problems.
• There needs to be more access for the public.
• There should be restrictions on the use of off-road vehicles such as four-wheelers and snowmobiles in national parks and forests.
• There were federal parks in Puerto Rico that would sell the pass.
• They are beautiful!
• They are great.
• They have all been awesome. Don't sell them or develop them and buy more.
• They need to acquire more lands if possible so future generations can enjoy the lands that are slowly being depleted.
• They need to advertise more about their passes because I'd never heard of any of these passes.
• They need to continue to allow snowmobiles.
• They need to use more public transportation vehicles and less private vehicles.
• They should fund it better.
• They should work to put more land under full protection.
• They're a good thing. Keep them up.
• They're doing a great job. If it's affordable for families, I think it's a great opportunity to take a trip and see things.
• They're fun.
• They're good and we should support them.
• They're great! The West is beautiful.
• They're out of control, politically correct, all Americans should be treated equally. I don't buy into all this nonsense. It's paid for by the American public. To further charge for it is ridiculous, it should stop. I'm generally dissatisfied with this.
• They're really nice, and well taken care of.
• They're wonderful.
• They're wonderful. Love them.
• Those who work at these lands enjoy their jobs and are very knowledgeable with the land and what is offered.
• To continue the programs.
• Two years ago we went to the Grand Canyon and we really enjoyed it, and we did get one of those passes.
• Uh, no. We have traveled out West several times. We've gone to Glacier National, and it was very nice. The people were nice. One thing that we did notice was a lot of foreign working students. It seems that there would be more American students who would be interested.
• Uh, no. We just enjoy going to the parks. We've always appreciated the fact that they're there. We just want to contribute what we can.
• Uh, yeah, let's support it. As long as there's no snowmobiles.
• Uhh, no, other than we wish that there were some closer.
• Um no, other than I enjoy visiting them. I love to see how they keep them nice and clean. I love how the money from the card is used to keep up the land.
• Um, no, I just know it needs a lot of improvement, and it probably needs for funding for it.
• Um, no. I think that the new card sounds like a good idea. I know that my parents would enjoy it, and my sister.
• Um, yes, I just want to see more support for it. The government's not supporting the public lands, and I'm very disappointed in some of the things they are doing, allowing drilling in the Arctic. I'm very environmentally aware, and I do support the parks.
• Um, you mentioned the $45 and the $25. You could probably double that if I knew that all of the money went to the Park system.
• Umm, I guess just that more like would be needed for the recreational lands for what you could do there. Last year we were at one and we couldn't find any information about what we could do.
• Umm, no I don't think so. I think the pass is a great idea.
• US parks need to lobby at the Congress to increase the budget for the National Park Service to upgrade, enhance and improve their facilities.
• Utah is similar to Wyoming, because a high percentage of our land is public land. I spend usually every weekend, or most of every weekend, using this land. Most don't require a fee. I support user fees, as long as the money is reinvested into the area.
• Valuable resource, but needs to be protected and maintained.
• Very important, enjoyable.
• We absolutely love the Tetons and we will be back there this summer.
• We always have a good time and we look forward to using our pass.
• We always have a great time and it is beautiful. I can see why they need more money for facilities because several of them are getting run down.
• We always have used federal lands. The size of the parking lot.
• We always incorporate them into our vacations and we're very glad to visit them. We always follow the rules and we hope the government finds a way to keep them.
• We appreciate the parks pass we use.
• We appreciate the service of the rangers. The ones we've been to we're satisfied with.
• We appreciate the work our money goes for. We support the parks completely!
• We appreciated the federal lands and the federal services, and hope that it will continue and be supported.
• We are all for it.
• We are interested in annual passes when we know we are going to be able to visit national parks. We did that one year and it was great.
• We are photographers so we go about 3 to 4 times a year to national parks. We are members of a lot of different federation- foundations. We go all the times of the year. We have a National Parks pass that we get every year. It is $50. It allows us to go into any park.
• We are RV people so we do a lot of the parks, and I would say we just need more information about the parks out there. My husband and I just did a 9,000 mile trip and we stayed at a lot of the national parks, and we loved it.
• We buy the pass to support the national parks every year.
• We certainly enjoy going to them.
• We definitely enjoyed the time that we were there.
• We do not go that often or we would get a pass because it is a great idea. We went to three national parks last year and had a wonderful time.
• We do use parks a lot. We enjoy them and try to visit as many of them as we can each year.
• We do visit a lot of national parks, we love them.
• We enjoy every one we go to, all of them. They need good service, and they have it! Thank you.
• We enjoy going to the national parks. It has been wonderful.
• We enjoy going to them every year.
• We enjoy going to them.
• We enjoy it a lot and are willing to pay to support the parks.
• We enjoy it! [3]
• We enjoy it. We're thankful that they are kept and accessible.
• We enjoy our parks.
• We enjoy our visits.
• We enjoy the federal lands.
• We enjoy the federal parks and are glad to be a part of the survey.
• We enjoy the national parks and have a National Parks Pass even though we do not have the Golden Age Pass.
• We enjoy the national parks, and we are all in favor of helping them out in any way that we can.
• We enjoy the national parks. We typically buy a National Parks Pass every year.
• We enjoy the parks and the service.
• We enjoy the parks! We plan our vacations around the parks.
• We enjoy the parks.
• We enjoy them a lot and don't want them to go away.
• We enjoy them and had a great time.
• We enjoy them thoroughly and can't wait for our next year's trip.
• We enjoy them when we get the chance to go.
• We enjoy them. [2]
• We enjoy them. We have the pass books and they're important.
• We enjoy trips to national parks, forest and other public lands and waters. We usually visit as many at a time as we can when we have time to travel.
• We go often and we hope they consider to preserve it like they do.
• We go to national parks a lot. For years, each year all the activities are being taken from the parks. I have grandchildren and they don't like them as much because of the little activity.
• We go up to the Badlands in South Dakota.
• We got to keep supporting them because they are awesome. People come from all over the world to see them. That's why I buy a Parks Pass every year.
• We had a great time!
• We had a pass last year and now I am eligible for the senior one!
• We had an awesome experience last summer. The next trip that we plan we will definitely purchase another pass.
• We had the pass for the trip to the Grand Canyon, for simplicity and economy.
• We have always enjoyed the parks we have visited.
• We have bought a pass (National Parks Pass) before, and I was really impressed with the pass. I hope the government will continue to make this available to the public.
• We have dogs and the monuments are strict about dogs, overprotective. At Lewis and Clark Winter Camp we parked far away and had to pay for the shuttle to get there. The engine noise disturbed the presentation that was talking about air pollution, doesn't make sense.
• We have enjoyed every national park we have every visited.
• We have enjoyed them, and wish we had more time to enjoy.
• We have enjoyed using the Pass.
• We have fairly close access to federal lands that we use very frequently. Against the proposal for sale of federal lands to private industry. Very much against that.
We have really appreciated all of the great work and have had a lot of fun camping and doing stuff in the outdoors.

We have visited several federal lands and we love them all. We have stayed at Yellowstone. We hope they get lots of money because they really need it. I think it is a national treasure we are neglecting.

We just have loved our last year of traveling. Gosh, praise God we did it. They are wonderful.

We just really enjoy the parks. We try to go out West once a year and "park hop" and always really enjoy it.

We just went to the Arch in St. Louis and it was great.

We like to see the money go back into the parks.

We love Big Bend in Texas and the Grand Teton. They are our favorite places.

We love them.

We love federal lands, and spend a lot of time there and it's a bummer that the government does not help them out financially.

We love going to the national parks, especially the battlefields.

We love it. [2]

We love it. The pass is a bargain.

We love national parks. We purchased before many National Parks Passes, and we will get a Golden Age Passport sometime in the future.

We love nature and we really were impressed with the maintenance of the parks. Every time we go to a national park we really enjoy it and feel very fortunate. We love this country and this land...it's beautiful.

We love the federal parks and hope to invest in them. I also think that some of the questions are poorly worded, there is only one human race and the rest is ethnicity! I also don't see any differences in the features of the new pass and the one I have.

We love the national parks and are always happy to make a fair contribution. We think that it is the best entertainment in America.

We love the national parks.

We love the Passport program!

We love them and we love visiting the national parks!

We love to enjoy them. No snowmobiles. It disturbs the tranquility! Raise the lifetime age or pass, or both- people are living healthy for longer.

We love to visit the national parks, and try to get a vacation in to one of them at least once a year.

We loved our visit to Yosemite, it was fantastic. The activities that were led by the park rangers were awesome. They were wonderful. Definitely a highlight for the visit.

We must save our federal lands, not drill everywhere, not destroy everything in our path.

We need more of them.

We need to get a Secretary of Interior that is an environmentalist, instead of a crap [President Bush] appointee that is going to ride around Yellowstone on a snowmobile, and say, "Oh, this is fine how these machines are polluting the air."

We need to have the federal lands available.

We need to keep our federal lands. We can't sell them.
• We need to keep what we've got and the government needs to stay out of it. We need more rather than less.
• We really appreciate that they are available.
• We really enjoy it. [2]
• We really enjoy recreation in the parks and the other lands. We are avid climbers and hikers and would like the land to be preserved for those uses. We support any activities that are not motorized.
• We really enjoy the National park system and support it and wish our government would support it more as well. It is looking pretty sad in some areas from lack of support.
• We really enjoy the national parks. Some are a little crowded but we try to hit every one of them. That is my goal.
• We really enjoy the parks, and we try to go whenever we can.
• We really enjoy them, and we hope there is more funding to keep it going.
• We really enjoy using them.
• We really enjoyed Glacier National Park. Keep up the maintenance and good work!
• We really enjoyed our trip to Yellowstone.
• We should do what we can to protect Alaska from oil drilling. We should be inclusive for federal preserves.
• We should spend more money on it. We're avid goers of the national park system not only in Florida, but also in Montana and Alaska.
• We should stop drilling and mining on them.
• We support the parks and really enjoy them
• We thoroughly enjoy it! We travel in RV's, and while we don't fit in some parts we really enjoy Wyoming and Montana.
• We usually get the Pass and we really enjoy it. We love the parks.
• We went to a lot of the national parks in Utah and they were wonderful. They were just gorgeous and we really enjoyed that.
• We went to Lake Mead and the Grand Canyon, and we also did Bryce Canyon. We also went to Zion.
• We went to Yellowstone.
• We went to a lot of the national parks in Utah and they were wonderful. They were just gorgeous and we really enjoyed that.
• We wish we lived closer to federal lands so we could go more.
• We would like the federal government to increase funding for the national parks. There's some talk with the Bureau of Land Management selling land for mining interests and we don't think that's a good idea.
• We would love to see hookups for electric, water, and waste disposal. It would just be more convenient. Keep them going because we really enjoy going.
• We'd like to see them increase or improve the ones we have.
• Well, we went once and had a great time.
• We're progressing beyond race questions. I don't consider myself Caucasian, just American.
• We've enjoyed the park system and it is very nice to have the Parks Pass. We've visited some very beautiful places, and if we plan another trip out West we'll get another [pass].
• We've enjoyed it much, the federal lands and national parks.
• We've enjoyed our time at all of them.
• We've had some good experiences on federal lands, but just not in the last two years.
• We've loved every place that we've visited.
• We've loved it. It's been an amazing way to see the country. It's amazing how foolish some people are; they think it's Disney.
• When we did use the pass in 2004 we saved a lot of money and visited lots of places. We loved it and we will be buying another pass as soon as our little one gets a little bit older.
• Would like to see no off-road accessibility for snowmobiles and four-wheelers. It ruins the lands.
• Yeah, I wish they would restrict it more. They let too many people in, too many cars. You used to have to make your own fire pit. It's too commercial. It's a tourist trap. People that go to these national parks go for the beauty, not for the commercial.
• Yellowstone is awesome.
• Yes, I am extremely concerned that the administration is not preserving the federal lands, especially the Alaskan area with drilling for oil and minerals and also the limitations on money to fund the areas.
• You did an excellent job.
• You guys are doing a great job on this survey!
• You were very pleasant.
• Yurts on the camp grounds so it is safer for those who like to camp by themselves.
Appendix G. Categorizing Open-Ended Responses

Three of the open-ended items in the survey (Questions #12, 20, and 25) asked about factors influencing demand for a pass to federal recreation sites. With the help of a software tool (the SPSS Text Analysis module) that uses an artificial intelligence engine, WYSAC categorized the responses to these items. This appendix summarizes the steps in that analysis and presents the results.

Michael Dorssom, an Assistant Research Scientist at WYSAC, was primarily responsible for the analysis, in close consultation with the authors of this report. Each of the three items was initially analyzed separately, but the insights gained from the separate analyses were used in finalizing each of them, so as to maintain a consistent set of categories across the three items. Throughout all of the analyses, responses from the RDD and NPF samples were pooled.

Analysis of all three items proceeded according to the following steps:

**Step 1:** Creation of preliminary categories.

This step is based on a review of the responses and/or prior theoretical considerations.

**Economics**

- **Convenience** – Indicates that the convenience of owning, purchasing, using, or renewing the pass is important to the respondent.
- **Distance, Travel, Visitation Cost** – Indicates that the distance from applicable lands and/or travel and visitation cost is important to the respondent.
- **Number of Visits** – Indicates that the number of times the respondent expects to visit applicable lands is important to the respondent.
- **Price** – Indicates that the price, cost, or monetary value of the pass (alone, in relation to entrance fees, or in relation to the household budget) is important to the respondent.
- **Vacation/Travel/Trip Planning** – Indicates that vacation, travel, and trip planning for the year is important to the respondent.

**Protests**

- **Gate Only** – Indicates that the respondent will pay upon entrance only, or believes that everybody should pay at gate.
- **No Market** – Indicates that the respondent rejects the premise that the suggested pass market can exist at all.
- **No System** – Indicates that the respondent believes that the pass/fee system should not exist.
No Value – Indicates that the respondent would find no value in owning the pass.

Survey – Indicates that the respondent had a problem with the questionnaire.

**Stewardship**

Support – Indicates that the respondent finds it important to support federal lands.

**Step 2:** Extract “terms” from the data.

This is done automatically with the SPSS text analysis tool, using its library of terms. Terms can be groups of words, phrases, or individual words (as indicated below in *italics*). An extracted term summarizes a single concept found in the responses, but is not always a verbatim quotation from any one response.

**Step 3:** Manually assign terms to categories, adding new categories if necessary.

This step consists of reading each extracted term and determining within which, if any, of the preliminary categories the term belongs. Three additional categories were also created to accommodate terms that did not fit neatly into any of the *a priori* categories. They are as follows:

**Economics**

Quality of Lands – Indicates that the quality of federal lands and services is important to the respondent.

Scope of Pass – Indicates that the scope of what and who the pass covers, including the features and benefits of the pass, is important to the respondent.

**Politics**

Opinion – Indicates that the respondent wishes to express a political view (perhaps tangential to the survey question).

**Stewardship**

Allocation – Indicates that the allocation of pass revenue by the government is important to the respondent.

**Step 4:** Categorize responses based on the terms they contain.

This is done automatically by the software, using the associations between terms and categories defined in the previous step. A response may contain multiple terms, and therefore a single response may be assigned to more than one category.

**Step 5:** Manually go through uncategorized responses and look for un-extracted terms.

If there are useful terms that exist within the uncategorized responses, they should be manually added to the library and a new extraction should be run. If the terms then appear as extracted, they should be associated with a category. In analyzing question #12, the following terms were added to the project library:
moneys worth; money's worth; get the pass there; money is going; funds were distributed; funds are used; funding is going; funds went to; money went; buy when we visit; buy when we go; money was going; money into parks; money is used; money used; fund goes; fund going; fund used; money goes; money going; money is managed; money goes for; money goes to; number times; number of visits; driving distance; number of times; number of parks; number of trips; quick entrance; proceeds; donation; how many times; far away; services available; covered; how many people; how often; good citizen; cause; good cause; contribute; contribution; near; supports; preserving; supporting; close; bow close.

For #20, the following terms were added:
frequently; wouldn't need; wouldn't be going; rarely go to a park; money to be going; won't be going; wouldn't be using; aren't that many federal lands; our plans; travel; don't go out; supporting our federal lands; not close; moneys worth; money's worth; get the pass there; money is going; funds were distributed; funds are used; funding is going; funds went to; money went; buy when we visit; buy when we go; money was going; money into parks; money is used; money used; fund goes; fund going; fund used; money goes; money going; money is managed; money goes for; money goes to; number times; number of visits; driving distance; number of times; number of parks; number of trips; quick entrance; proceeds; donation; how many times; far away; services available; covered; how many people; bow often; good citizen; cause; good cause; contribute; contribution; near; supports; preserving; supporting; close; bow close.

The analysis of question #25 added the following terms:
better the lands; more often; saved the wildlife; travel schedule; share the money; database; money at the park; free time; visits per pass; money would be spent; money to be spent; 5 adults; five adults; money was used; separate vehicles; applied to all; money to go; money would go; money is spent; dispersed; nearby; grace period; money was split; less money; six month pass; number of other people; price it was; 2 year; two year; 3 year; three year; 4 year; four year; if they are free; if it was free; get one free; issue more than one; probability of our going; protecting; transferable; proximity; planned; manual; used at all parks; discounted renewal; never expired; never expire; improved the park; improve the park; improve parks; five year pass; 5 year pass; to go somewhere; more information; additional information; more than two; more adults; number of adults; four adults; 4 adults; frequently; wouldn't need; wouldn't be going; rarely go to a park; money to be going; won't be going; wouldn't be using; aren't that many federal lands; our plans; travel; don't go out; supporting our federal lands; not close; moneys worth; money's worth; get the pass there; money is going; funds were distributed; funds are used; funding is going; funds went to; money went; buy when we visit; buy when we go; money was going; money into parks; money is used; money used; fund goes; fund going; fund used; money goes; money going; money is managed; money goes for; money goes to; number times; number of visits; driving distance; number of times; number of parks; number of trips; quick entrance; proceeds; donation; how many times; far away; services available; covered; how many people; how often; good citizen; cause; good cause; contribute; contribution; near; supports; preserving; supporting; close; bow close.

Step 6: Consider forcing responses into categories.

If a response is still uncategorized, it can be forced into a category. This is helpful when an overall idea is conveyed in the response, but there are no useful terms to categorize. The report below indicates the forced responses for each category.

Step 7: Consider forcing responses out of categories.
Occasionally a term that has been associated, in general, with a particular category is found to contradict that general association when examined in the full context of a specific response. In such cases, that response is forced out of the category, as indicated below.

The results of applying this 7-step process are presented next, for each of the three items that were so analyzed.

**Question #12:** Are there any other things that would be important to your household in deciding whether or not to buy an annual pass for recreation on federal lands?

Total responses = 746 (RDD and NPF combined)

Categories:

1. **Rational Economic Factors**
   
   a. **Convenience** [90 total (5 forced in)] – Indicates that the convenience of owning, purchasing, using, or renewing the pass is important to the respondent.

   Terms:
   
   - ability to buy
   - ability to get
   - access to information
   - availability
   - available to buy
   - convenience
   - convenience of entrance
   - convenience of the card
   - convenience of use
   - convenience to purchase
   - convenient
   - ease
   - ease of attainability
   - ease of purchase
   - easier
   - easier to use
   - easy
   - easy-to-use
   - more convenient
   - more efficient
   - more user-friendly
   - nice purchase
   - no questionnaires
   - no waiting
• online
• quick entrance
• quicker
• reminders
• speed of delivery

Forced-in responses:
• How accessible are the passes.
• Yes, if I am visiting a number of federal lands in a short period of time that is a lot of cash that I won't have to carry with me. I like to show people the card.
• I don't have to carry cash.
• Like that can have one pass for many places.
• Not having to wait to get in.

b. Distance, Travel, Visitation Cost [94 total (10 forced in)] – Indicates that the distance from applicable lands and/or travel and visitation cost is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• able to travel
• able to use
• access
• access to lands
• access to parks
• accessibility
• afford to travel
• close
• closer
• convenience of the location
• distance
• distance from different parks
• distance to nearest national park
• distance to the federal lands
• distance to the lands
• far away
• gas cost
• gasoline cost
• geographic location
• how close
• lands in the area
• length of trip
• locality
• location
• location of federal parks
• location of parks
• location of the lands
• location to the federal lands
• near
• no close
• no federal lands in our area
• no lands
• place to stay
• proximity
• proximity of federal lands
• proximity of national parks
• proximity of parks
• proximity to our home
• proximity to the lands
• travel
• travel distance

Forced-in responses:
• We would go more if we were closer.
• If there were some around where I live in Indiana.
• It would probably be how in proximity to federal lands.
• Logistics.
• If things were closer to where I lived.
• Whether or not they live in the U.S.
• Well if we had some closer to us, that would help. It would be a deciding factor.
• We sometimes live overseas.
• Better knowledge of where the lands are located or located in my driving region.
• Probably if we lived closer to some.

c. Number of Visits [44 total (15 forced in; 1 forced out)] – Indicates that the number of times the respondent plans to visit applicable lands is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• amount of use
• expectation of use
• frequency
• frequency of use
• how often
• number of visits
• number times
• usage
• use
• useful
Forced-in responses:
• Number or times.
• I guess how many times we were going to go.
• Whether we would use it.
• How many parks planning on visiting.
• If I used it more that year.
• If any places we go to start charging fees.
• When we run out of places to see.
• It might be more encouraging to go more.
• Are we going to go to more than one park?
• If we used it or not.
• The number of times that we go to federal lands.
• How many times we make it to a national park.
• Just as long as we have some left to visit.
• Maybe if you could buy one or not; if you are going or not.
• Depends on how often we go.

Forced-out response:
• If they banned ATVs on Federal Land I would use it.

d. **Price** [96 total (7 forced in)] – Indicates that the price, cost, or monetary value of the pass (alone, in relation to entrance fees, or in relation to the household budget) is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• amount of money
• amount of the purchase cost
• budget
• cheap
• cheaper
• cost
• cost-effective
• deal price-wise
• discount
• economic sense
• economy
• expensive
• family income
• finances
• income level
• monetary opinion
• moneys worth
Forced-in responses:
- Paying tuition.
- If we have enough food.
- Cheaper than buying multiple entrance fees.
- Whether it would be in the budget.
- Whether or not the parks we go to will charge a fee.
- Which ones are charging.
- The overall fees.

e. Quality of Lands. [24 total (7 forced in)] – Indicates that the quality of federal lands is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- amenities
- clean
- cleanliness
- convenience of the restrooms
- crowds
- environmental conditions of the parks
- facilities
- good for handicap individuals
- handicap
- maintenance
- quality of the national parks
- quality of the parks
- sanitary facilities
- services available
- well-maintained
- wheelchair access

Forced-in responses:
- Parks you have to be guided to go through the park, so it stops them from purchasing a pass.
- I want a good dump station.
- How busy lands were.
- If they have been kept up.
- Depends on the recreation.
- Security.
- I feel quality for paying too little for pass.
f. **Scope of Pass** [138 total (58 forced in)] – Indicates that the scope of what and who the pass covers, including the features and benefits of the pass, is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- access to different areas
- advance reservations
- airline miles
- amount of cars
- availability to use all facilities
- benefits
- booklet
- camp
- campground
- camping
- camping facilities
- camping fees
- campsites
- cover
- cover camping fees
- cover state
- covered
- decal on car window
- discount for lodging
- discount on campsites
- discount on material
- discounts for renewals
- email coupons
- flexibility
- how many people
- how many places
- incentive
- lane for pass-holders
- length of time
- line
- lines to purchase
- lodging
- no cover
- no covered
- no line
- no parking amenities
- number of parks
- parking fees
• pay for those activities
• pay parking
• possibility of a military discount
• priority line
• privileges
• quarterly magazine by the national park
• renewable
• replacement
• rv parking
• sell vehicle stickers
• state
• state access
• state lands
• state parks
• sticker
• tax deductible
• ticket
• transferability
• variety of lands

Forced-in responses:
• Specifically which parks could I visit? I would like to know.
• Don’t make it an annual pass, make it a ten visits to federal lands, not limited to one year.
• What could you see/risks.
• Just whether it is required or not.
• The life in time that the pass is good for.
• Detailed information as to what it does for you.
• Scout group passes.
• Need a pass for a national historical spot would be good.
• Simplification of the whole structure.
• Wishes that he didn't have to carry the card.
• That it was good at all of the places that we want to visit.
• If there were special tours we could take for buying the pass.
• Is there is a way to replace a pass if it's lost, because it happened and I didn't get it back.
• If it's good for a whole year.
• I guess the length of the pass.
• It is nice to know how the money that was used to buy the pass is being used. It is not clear where all the parks are, it would be nice if a map was provided with all the parks on it.
• How many places you can visit.
• If they had a different way of having to carry a pass; if they had a database where you could just say your name or something. You just simply can’t carry around everything that you need.
• Want the annual passes to be good at all federal lands.
• Portable or attached to a vehicle.
• That it would be beneficial for all in the house.
• Probably understanding of what would be included. List of places.
• Whether it’s family or not.
• It's nice that other people can get in under your pass.
• Only if they charged at boat ramps where I went.
• No, I like getting the publications.
• Not with not being aware of it.
• I like the pictures on it.
• I wish it was just one pass and not additional add-ons.
• When the card becomes valid. If they had a demarcation.
• How many places it is good at.
• If the pass was for more than one year.
• Add more parks.
• Didn't apply to Mount Rushmore.
• Listing where all areas are.
• Lower age bracket to get the passes for people aged 50-61.
• Make it to all passes.
• Passes that stick to card are no good.
• Don’t forget the pass at home; have a record in case it was forgotten.
• Good for everyone in the family.
• If more places were accessible with the pass, or if more places charged entrance fees.
• Well, make it good at everything!
• The consistency that could be used in any state.
• Depending if it would be good for an individual or a family.
• If there was horse backing and hunting available.
• Want more information about the passes, whether we want it or not.
• Info...
• The inflexibility of the pass. If you have a problem, you’re just out of luck, since it’s like a non-refundable ticket.
• Depends on the numbers of parks that allow dogs.
• How long it lasts.
• If you could buy like a 5-use or 10-use pass.
• I would like them to not have the extra stamps. Just one fee for everything.
• Being able to stay in the park.
• If it was combined with the state of California snow park pass.
• Maybe more info about off-roading and four-wheel drive vehicles.
• Better knowledge of where the lands are located or located in my driving region.
• If it could be used by the whole family. If our kids could get on the pass too.
• What parks they are good for.

g. Vacation/Travel/Trip Planning [70 total (15 forced in)] – Indicates that vacation, travel, and trip planning for the year is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• assessment of our schedule
• family health conditions
• federal lands in a short period
• no plan on other vacations
• no plan on traveling
• no planning
• no visit
• opportunity to go the federal lands
• plan
• plan to use
• plan to visit
• planning
• road trip tour
• seasonality
• seasons
• time to go
• time to travel
• timing of the trips
• travel planning
• traveling
• trip
• vacation
• vacation planes
• vacation planning
• vacation schedule
• vacation time
• vacationing

Forced-in responses:
• See it with my husband.
• The area you are going to visit and which parks require a fee.
• If it is just annual, it would be a matter of what you’re doing that year.
• How much time we can get off.
• If she has a visitor.
• What our plans were.
• Time off.
• More inclined to buy one if I was retired, so I could take advantage of the parks.
• The time that we have to do it.
• The more people who go.
• Yeah whether or not I have a date.
• Would just depend on the year and what we are going to do.
• It depends on where we are going on vacation.
• Where we're going on vacation.
• Only if I'm trying to talk someone into going with me.

2. **Politics (Opinion)** [9 total (7 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent wishes to express a political view (perhaps tangential to the survey question).

Terms:
• *atvs*
• *atvs on federal land*

Forced-in responses:
• Keep loggers out.
• Politically takes over.
• Keeping snowmobiles and other off-road vehicles out of those areas.
• Better federal support for the current service.
• The government does not support federal lands.
• It is very important to me that my hiking and horse back riding is not interrupted by motorcycles.
• If they allow more motorized vehicles into the national parks that would be deterrent.

3. **Protests**

   a. **Gate Only** [4 total (1 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent will pay upon entrance only, or believes that everybody should pay at the gate.

Terms:
• *booth to pay money*
• *buy when we visit*
• *get the pass there*

Forced-in response:
• Everyone should pay for access to them.

   b. **No Market** [0] – Indicates that the respondent rejects the premise that the suggested pass market can exist at all.

   c. **No System** [6 total (2 forced in; 1 forced out)] – Indicates that the respondent believes that the pass/fee system should not exist.
Terms:
- free
- no fee
- pay tax
- tax

Forced-in responses:
- I don't think we should pay.
- Well, there are some lands where there is a charge for use, which I don't particularly believe in.

Forced-out response:
- Scouts should get a discount. Free for Eagle Scouts.

d. No Value [6 total (1 forced in; 1 forced out)] – Indicates that the respondent would find no value in owning the pass.

Terms:
- no need
- no use
- not applicable

Forced-in response:
- Lack of time.

Forced-out response:
- Supporting the parks we don't go to as well as the ones we do go to.

e. Survey [0] – Indicates that the respondent had a problem with the questionnaire.

4. Stewardship

a. Allocation [42 total (13 forced in)] – Indicates that the allocation of pass revenue by the government is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- federal fund
- funding is going
- funds are used
- funds went to
- funds were distributed
- money goes
- money goes to
• money going
• money into parks
• money is going
• money is managed
• money is used
• money was going
• money went
• use the money

Forced-in responses:
• What the fee would go to.
• If the proceeds go to the park.
• The amount that goes to the parks.
• How much goes into maintaining environmental protection.
• Majority of money actually go to parks.
• If I learned that that past money was not predominately being used for the parks, I would not be buying them.
• Where the funds went.
• The fact that the money stays with the unit where you buy it.
• How much money actually goes to the parks.
• I like the programs that stress the proceeds are going to be used in that particular area.
• Knowledge that the funds from buying the pass go to the parks where they were purchased.
• Making sure the national parks get funded.
• Make sure you know that it’s going to keep up the parks and things like that.

b. Support [164 total (9 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent finds it important to support federal lands.

Terms:
• able to make donations
• beauty of our country
• beauty of our county
• cause
• citizens
• conservation
• conservation funding
• contribute
• contribute to the parks
• contribution
• contribution to help
• funding support park service
• funding to preserve the parks
• good cause
• good to support the parks
• help
• help finance
• help support
• maintain the lands
• maintaining the parks
• money to park service
• money to the national parks
• perpetuation of our national treasures
• preservation
• preserving
• protection of wilderness areas
• spend to help
• support
• support federal lands
• support for system
• support national lands
• support of environment
• support of national parks program
• support of the national park system
• support the efforts
• support the lands
• support the national parks
• support the park service
• support the park system
• support the parks
• support the upkeep
• support to keep
• support to maintain those federal areas
• supporting
• supportive
• supports
• upkeep
• upkeep of federal lands

Forced-in responses:
• If we feel like it supports the parks.
• Could help not selling off lands.
• That the parks stay open because of the pass fees.
• Helps out the park systems.
• How much goes into maintaining environmental protection.
• If it is going to purchase additional land and conservational services like that then that would be a better reason to buy it; it would be better.
• If it helps the parks.
• As a donation.
• Having had one, we might buy it even if we weren’t going to attend.

5. Other [17 total (17 forced)] – Responses that were uncategorized using terms and do not fit into any other category.

Terms (none)

Forced-in responses:
• Can't think of anything.
• Age of their children.
• Wolves.
• No, I think those are the main considerations.
• It's a lot of fun to use them! Feel special.
• No, we think it's a great thing. We're a national parks family.
• I think the second question summed it up.
• I don't think so. [2]
• Cannot think of others.
• Important to see all the federal lands in the U.S.
• I can’t think of anything other than that three.
• Well I don't think so.
• Lots of things, but we always have one.
• Pass is the best.
• I can't think of any.
• Not to me. I don't think there's anything you could do to make it better.
Question #20: Can you tell me why not? [After saying No to, “Would your household be interested in the Pass if you received it for free?”]

Total responses = 90 (RDD and NPF combined)

Categories:

1. Rational Economic Factors

   a. Convenience [0] – Indicates that the convenience of owning, purchasing, using, or renewing the pass is important to the respondent.

   b. Distance, Travel, Visitation Cost [17 total (1 forced in)] – Indicates that the distance from applicable lands and/or travel and visitation cost is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- close
- distance to use
- far away
- miles
- no close
- no drive
- no feasible to travel the distance
- no federal lands
- no federal water areas
- no national parks
- no near
- no proximity
- not close
- parks in the area
- travel

Forced-in response:
- There aren’t that many federal lands around here.

   c. Number of Visits [10 total (4 forced in)] – Indicates that the number of times the respondent plans to visit applicable lands is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- no frequently
- rarely go to a park
- use

Forced-in responses:
- We don’t go that often.
• I don't go frequently enough.
• Don't get a chance to get to the federal lands.
• Don’t go much.

d. **Price** [5 total (2 forced in)] – Indicates that the price, cost, or monetary value of the pass (alone, in relation to entrance fees, or in relation to the household budget) is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• $25
• cost
• value

Forced in responses:
• I would rather purchase it.
• I think some money should go to the government, but $85 is a little steep.

e. **Quality of Lands**. [0] – Indicates that the quality of federal lands is important to the respondent.

f. **Scope of Pass** [3 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the scope of what and who the pass covers, including the features and benefits of the pass, is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• not convenient
• state
• state parks

g. **Vacation/Travel/Trip Planning** [21 total (3 forced in)] – Indicates that vacation, travel, and trip planning for the year is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• don’t go out
• no plan to travel
• no time
• no travel
• no travel with a baby
• no traveling
• no trips
• no visit
• our plans
• plan
• vacation
• won't be going
Forced in responses:
- If we were interested in that kind of travel we would be interested in buying one; we are not doing that kind of travel this year.
- It's limited where we go.
- I may not even go to a park this year.

2. Politics (Opinion) [1 total (1 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent wishes to express a political view (perhaps tangential to the survey question).

Terms (none)

Forced in response:
- Ever since the park department got involved, instead of taking care of the land, they refuse to take care of the land. There have been massive fires. They are public. Federal is paid for by people. They won't clear out the fire hazard.

3. Protests
   a. Gate Only [2 total (2 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent will pay upon entrance only, or believes that everybody should pay at the gate.

Terms (none)

Forced in responses:
- Because I'll be happy to pay if I need it.
- User-driven regardless.

b. No Market [0] – Indicates that the respondent rejects the premise that the suggested pass market can exist at all.

c. No System [3 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent believes that the pass/fee system should not exist.

Terms:
- free
- pay tax

d. No Value [29 total (4 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent would find no value in owning the pass.

Terms:
- no benefit
- no campers
- no interest
- no need
- no use
- no visit federal fee areas
• not applicable
• not worth
• wouldn’t be using

Forced-in responses:
• I just don’t.
• Don’t want to waste the government’s time.
• I don’t think we’ll be using anything like that, so I can’t see using one.
• We wouldn’t be going to any national parks anyway so we wouldn’t need the pass.

e. Survey [2 total (2 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent had a problem with the questionnaire.

Terms (none)

Forced-in responses:
• It's ridiculous that if there is a fee at parks to get the pass for free.
• If it's for free, because it sounds weird.

4. Stewardship

a. Allocation [1 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the allocation of pass revenue by the government is important to the respondent.

Term:
• money to be going

b. Support [3 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent finds it important to support federal lands.

Terms:
• support the lands
• support the federal land
• no supporting our federal lands

5. Other [1 total (1 forced in)] – Responses that were uncategorized using terms and do not fit into any other category.

Terms (none)

Forced-in response:
• I’m a naturalist and I have a lot of passes.
**Question #25:** Are there any other features that would make your household more likely to buy the new Pass?

Total responses = 593 (RDD and NPF combined)

Categories:

1. **Rational Economic Factors**
   
   a. **Convenience** [30 total (2 forced in)] – Indicates that the convenience of owning, purchasing, using, or renewing the pass is important to the respondent.

   Terms:
   - availability
   - convenience
   - ease
   - ease of purchase
   - easier
   - easier to get reservations
   - easy
   - easy-to-use
   - identification for access
   - Internet site
   - Internet sites for the parks
   - less problem
   - more convenient
   - more easier
   - online

   Forced-in responses:
   - I like buying it over the Internet, which is how we did it last time.
   - Available via Internet.

   b. **Distance, Travel, Visitation Cost** [45 total (8 forced in)] – Indicates that the distance from applicable lands and/or travel and visitation cost is important to the respondent.

   Terms:
   - able to use
   - access
   - accessibility
   - close
   - closer
   - closer-location
   - drive
• far away
• gas cost
• location
• location to federal lands
• near
• nearby
• no lands
• park proximity
• travel

Forced-in responses:
• If we moved somewhere closer.
• If more parks were in our proximity.
• Closer.
• What you can visit, a package including what sites to visit and what kind of lands are in proximity.
• It's just where I live, there's hardly anywhere you can go.
• If it would make a national park in Indiana.
• More closer.
• Having the parks good for more readily available.

c. **Number of Visits** [7 total (4 forced in; 1 forced out)] – Indicates that the number of times the respondent plans to visit applicable lands is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• how often
• likeliness to use
• more often

Forced in responses:

• If it were between $60 and $70 for the pass for the year because it is too unpredictable whether or not we will be able to visit very many federal lands.
• How many times the pass would be used.
• If used as at a federal land, Sandy Hook.
• Whether or not we would use it.

Forced-out response:
• Manage parks better, and give better feedback more often. People in the middle of U.S. need to plan to travel, and need better times for our vacation, but we are still interested in our parks. There isn’t much land in the interior.
d. **Price** [102 total (9 forced in)] – Indicates that the price, cost, or monetary value of the pass (alone, in relation to entrance fees, or in relation to the household budget) is important to the respondent.

Terms:
- $100
- $140
- $20
- $50
- $70
- $75
- $80
- affordability
- cheap
- cheaper
- cheaper than
- cost
- cost cheap
- cost of admissions
- cost-effective
- economic situation
- expensive
- get one free
- if it was free
- if they are free
- less in entrance fees
- less money
- lowness of the cost
- more cheap
- more economical
- saving
- value

Forced-in responses:
- Won’t spend any money on it.
- If it were between $60 and $70 for the pass for the year because it is too unpredictable whether or not we will be able to visit very many federal lands.
- Depends on the cost.
- If it stayed at the price it was; I like it when it stays at the price of everyone in the vehicle.
- If we had more money.
- If it were a savings.
- More money in the bank.
• As long as it ends up not saving money we would always buy one.
• Parks started charging admissions.

e. Quality of Lands. [16 total (3 forced in)] – Indicates that the quality of federal lands is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• attractions
• attractive
• bathroom facilities
• bathrooms
• clean
• facilities
• kid-friendly areas
• maintenance
• no amenities
• utilities

Forced-in responses:
• Don't allow the terrorists to go to the parks.
• If they could figure out a way to get rid of all of the tourist buses, too many buses and people.
• The federal land management policies at the time.

f. Scope of Pass [315 total (38 forced in)] – Indicates that the scope of what and who the pass covers, including the features and benefits of the pass, is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• 2 year
• 4 adults
• 5 adults
• 50% discounts on other fees
• able to scan
• access lane for people
• access to programs
• accessibility for national parks
• additional information
• adults in the car
• advance bookings
• airfare
• annual renewal
• applied to all
• automatic renewal
• boat renting
• booklet
• booklet listing areas
• brochure
• bumper sticker
• bus shuttle
• camp
• campgrounds
• camping
• camping fees
• camping options
• campsites
• campsites on federal lands
• choice
• combo deal
• concessions
• county parks
• coupons
• coupons on activities
• coupons with the purchase
• cover
• cover parking
• cover parking fees
• cover state parks
• coverage
• covered
• deals miles for trails
• dinner coupons
• discount
• discount for camping
• discount for campsites
• discount for the shopping areas
• discount on camping fees
• discount on entrance fees
• discount on lodges
• discount on lodging
• discount on state parks
• discount on the park
• discount on those federal properties
• discounted renewal
• discounts for camp sites
• discounts for concerts
• discounts for different services
• discounts of camping facilities
• discounts on activities
• discounts on gifts
• discounts on meals
• discounts on other fees
• discounts on products
• discounts on the literature
• drinks
• earlier reservations
• entrance to all federal lands
• events
• expiration date
• extended family
• family members
• family problem
• fees for boats
• find my card
• fish
• fishing and hunting license
• fishing fees
• fishing license
• five year pass
• flexibility
• fool-proof
• four adults
• gas
• gift
• gift shops
• grace period
• guide book
• historic sites
• historic sites in the local area
• hotel bill
• hotels
• hunting
• incentive
• incentive people purchase souvenirs
• incorporated state parks
• larger vehicles
• lifetime
• lifetime membership
- line
- longer
- loss protection feature
- loyalty program
- magnetic strip
- mailings
- map
- map of federal parks
- materials for national parks
- more adults
- more information
- more than two
- national list
- national parks entrance
- never expired
- newsletter
- night of camping
- no expiration date
- no extra cost
- no time limit
- northwest trailhead parking
- not convenient
- not replaceable
- number of adults
- number of other people
- occupants in the car
- offer a lifetime
- option to more
- options in the summer
- pamphlets
- pamphlets in the mail advertising
- parking
- parking benefits
- parking fees
- parking fees on federal lands
- parking sticker
- pay for extras
- pay for some conveniences
- people in my household
- people in the vehicle
- people per carload
- people travel
- perks
- preference
- preference to campsites
- preferential treatment
- priority
- priority in reservations
- priority on campgrounds
- problem more than one
- punch card to use
- quarterly brochures
- quarterly newsletter
- recreation activities
- renewable
- replace my card
- reservations
- reservations on national park lands
- restaurants in the area
- separate line for season
- separate lines
- six month pass
- souvenirs
- state
- state facilities
- state lands
- state options
- state park access
- state park fees
- state parks
- tax deductible
- tax deductible clause
- tent
- tent fees
- time frame
- time limit
- time period
- time to use
- tours
- tracking system
- trail fees
- trails for atvs
- trails for the kids
- transferability
- transferable
- two year
- type of priority
- use of motel
- used at all parks
- vacation packages
- variety of places
- vehicle
- verification of purchase
- visits per pass
- web renewal

Forced-in responses:
- If there was no limit on number of adults.
- If I buy it on the Internet where does the money go? Does it go to a park of my choice? Our current pass comes with a national parks owners' manual, which is quite helpful; I would recommend you keep this.
- Used for federal museums as well.
- To lesson the restriction what federal lands it could be used for.
- Making it so it was for where you went.
- International would be more likely to buy.
- If it paid for a carful of adults people instead of just 4.
- Good design- looks nice; photograph contest.
- Sell them at the post office.
- If it would help keep more public access open. If it would help keep areas open to the public. I keep seeing areas closed.
- If it could be used at state parks as well.
- Came with info about national parks and their programs.
- A two year pass is a good idea.
- What you can visit, a package including what sites to visit and what kind of lands are in proximity.
- Make it three years.
- Child cut-off age should be 18 and older.
- The lowness of the cost. When I purchased mine, I bought it over the Internet and they said it was good from the time I purchased it, but at Crater Lake they were upset because it hadn't been punched.
- Pretty pictures on it.
- If you could buy it only for two people instead of the family of four.
- Up the age for being an adult- 18 rather than 16! There will be 6 of us in one house hold!
- If it were transferable between family members it be of sufficient use.
- Like idea of buying then not active till you use it.
- Good picture on it.
• Ability to go at a time with fewer people and especially fewer children.
• If the pass were valid at the Virgin Islands National Park.
• If you could identify families traveling together in separate vehicles.
• The more places it was accepted.
• If they had a special one for senior citizens.
• Length of the pass.
• If I lost the pass I would like them to give me a new one without purchasing a new one.
• If it came with winning lotto tickets.
• Get a list of all the places you could go with the pass.
• Coordinated with winter passes as well.
• If you didn't have to have a card and the membership was on a database, that would be really helpful. Maybe they could set up a system.
• Do more than just entrance; offer it in more places.
• Free dinner!
• So maybe if there was a list of places that it's valid.
• I guess more detailed information as to what can be used at those locations.

g. Vacation/Travel/Trip Planning [28 total (7 forced in)] – Indicates that vacation, travel, and trip planning for the year is important to the respondent.

Terms:
• free time
• opportunity
• plan
• plan to travel
• planned
• planning
• to go somewhere
• travel to fee areas
• traveling
• trip
• vacation
• vacation time

Forced-in responses:
• Vary and depend on what we are doing.
• Depending on the probability of our going.
• If it was used in the state where we visited federal lands.
• Knowing whether or not we'd use it.
• Depends on the trip and where we are going.
• It would depend on our travel schedule.
• If time let me use it.
2. **Politics (Opinion)** [9 total (9 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent wishes to express a political view (perhaps tangential to the survey question).

Terms (none)

Forced-in responses:
- If it kept them from drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.
- Stop selling off our federal lands.
- If the national parks refused entrance to all terrain vehicles, snowmobiles, and anything that allows pollution.
- Quit spending money in Iran and Iraq.
- If it guaranteed that the federal government wouldn't drill in the Alaskan wildlife preserves.
- No oil derricks on federal lands.
- Not ok with using ATV, not ok with mining.
- Keep snowmobiles out of parks.
- A full commitment by the government to fully fund national parks.

3. **Protests**
   a. **Gate Only** [0] – Indicates that the respondent will pay upon entrance only, or believes that everybody should pay at the gate.
   
   b. **No Market** [0] – Indicates that the respondent rejects the premise that the suggested pass market can exist at all.
   
   c. **No System** [1 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent believes that the pass/fee system should not exist.

   Term:
   - **Tax**

   d. **No Value** [3 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent would find no value in owning the pass.

   Term:
   - **no use**

   e. **Survey** [0] – Indicates that the respondent had a problem with the questionnaire.

4. **Stewardship**
   a. **Allocation** [53 total (20 forced in)] – Indicates that the allocation of pass revenue by the government is important to the respondent.
Terms:
• actual matching funds with user
• designate funds
• dispersed
• matching funds
• money at the park
• money goes to
• money going
• money is spent
• money is used
• money to go
• money was going
• money was split
• money was used
• money went
• money would be spent
• money would go
• reallocation of funds
• share the money

Forced-in responses:
• As long as the money improved the parks.
• Money that goes into the system and not into payroll.
• I think the money should stay at the site where it was sold and not to the government and that would be 100 percent instead of 80 percent.
• If I buy it on the Internet where does the money go? Does it go to a park of my choice? Our current pass comes with a national parks owners’ manual, which is quite helpful, I would recommend you keep this.
• If it could target a percent of the fees for a specific park or recreation site.
• If the funds where distributed among all the parks not just where it was sold or used.
• Traveling....if I bought the pass over the Internet to designate what park the 80 percent from purchase went to.
• If it (money) was all given to federal lands.
• If I buy it on the Internet, I need to make sure the money still goes to the parks.
• All the money that goes into the passes should be shared between the parks.
• We would be willing to pay more if 90% of it stayed on-site.
• Ability to determine which parks it goes to.
• I want 100 percent of the money to be kept at the site it was sold instead of 80 percent.
• If the place we bought the pass used that money.
• Goes back to land.
• Probably if it was divided amongst all the areas instead of just the one you purchased it at.
• I want all the funds to go to a general fund where it could be divided to ensure that some places that are out of the way will still get funds and not get ignored. I don't want the other parks to go into disrepair.
• If they went towards specific programs in the park like the wolf program.
• Just want the money to go back to the federal lands.
• If it went to educate people like law enforcement because there has been cutbacks in budgets for rangers.

b. **Support** [27 total (0 forced in)] – Indicates that the respondent finds it important to support federal lands.

Terms:
• able to donate money
• animal protection
• better the lands
• conservation
• conservation efforts
• donations
• donations to the park
• environmental in nature
• funds to help
• help
• help in maintenance
• land preservation
• maintain federal lands
• maintain the wild forest
• maintenance of federal lands
• protected
• protecting
• protection of national parks
• protection of park
• saved the wildlife
• support the lands
• support the parks
• supporting
• supports

5. **Other** [16 total (17 forced in)] – Responses that were uncategorized using terms and do not fit into any other category.

Terms (none)

Forced-in responses:
• Good job...
• Don't know.
• Younger children.
• If the situation changed.
• Same applies as before.
• If we were younger.
• Uh, no, that's probably about it.
• If it took away the need to have the national parks pass.
• Retirement.
• Will the new pass be available? Since we already have the old one, we'll probably just get the new one because we've gotten it every year for the past 20 years.
• The current pass is pretty good. I don't know why you are changing it.
• Upgrade from the old pass.
• I would think you guys could do better if you did more commercials because a lot of people don't think about it because they don't see it on television.
• Credit card.
• Being aware of all of the federal lands that there are.
• More education about the pass, more advertisement.
Appendix H. Screened-Out Cases

Respondents = 1228 Screened-out from the Random Digit Dialing sample (RDD)
450 Screened-out from the National Parks Foundation sample (NPF)

Note: Screening questions within the survey, as indicated below, were used to identify households assumed not to be relevant to the market for the New Recreation Pass, which are the households analyzed in this appendix. The RDD sample (representing U.S. households with landline telephones) was pre-stratified by the 9 major geographic divisions defined by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Before screening, the 1799 responding RDD households were weighted to reflect Census distributions by geographic division, Hispanic origin, racial identification, and household income, as well as federal data on pass-holding. The NPF sample (drawn from an NPF list covering about 6 percent of households that purchased a National Parks Pass between April 2004 and March 2005) was also pre-stratified by geographic division. The 1974 responding NPF households were weighted to reflect the geographic distribution of the NPF population list.

The survey questions are presented here in order, but items asked only of screened-in cases are excluded. Unweighted frequency counts are provided in the tables below, along with percentages that reflect the weighting. Percentage calculations exclude screened-in cases plus a few screened-out cases (labeled as System missing) that terminated the interview before the last question. Except as shown, cases coded as Don’t know, No answer, etc. are also excluded from the percentage calculations. Percentages on mark-all-that-apply items may total to more than 100%.

Hello, I'm calling from the University of Wyoming, and I'm NOT selling anything. Your phone number was randomly chosen for a nation-wide opinion poll. My name is [first name]. I only need about 10 minutes to ask you some important questions about our national parks, national forests, and other public lands and waters.

S1. Would you be able to help me out with this?

[If No or call terminated, coded as refusal and called back for one or more refusal conversion attempts, unless irate]

S2. [If Yes]: Have I reached you at a private household in one of the 50 United States or the District of Columbia?

[If No, coded as ineligible, unless U.S. cell phone granting permission to proceed or to call back]

[If needed]: Private household means a residence where one or more individuals or families live. It could be a house, an apartment, or a mobile home, but not a business or government office where nobody lives.
S3. [If Yes]: I need to ask, what is your age?

[If Age < 18 or Don’t know or No answer, asked for an adult; if Age > 60, coded as screened out and skipped to #27]

Categorized below, from years of age reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91+</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>450</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of your answers are voluntary. The U.S. Office of Management and Budget has approved this research under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The reports prepared for this study will summarize findings across the sample and will not associate responses with a specific individual. The U.S. Departments of Agriculture and Interior will use these results to better serve the public.

[If needed]: No action may be taken against you for refusing to supply the information requested. No personal data will be recorded that will identify you. Your phone number will be separated from your answers, so the final data will be anonymous. We will not provide information that identifies you to anyone outside the study team, except as required by law. Participation in this survey is expected to average 10 minutes per household. U.S. Code 16-1a-7 authorizes collection of this information. The OMB approval number is 1024-0248, with an expiration date of February 28, 2009. You may direct comments on any aspect of this survey toll-free to the University of Wyoming at 1-800-956-0105.
S4. [If Age < 61] **Is any member of your immediate household age 61 or older?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out and skipped to #27]

[If needed]: We have to ask this question because the answer tells us which of the other questions apply to your household and which ones don't. Your household includes everyone who lives with you, whether you are related to them or not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>478</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S5. [If No or Don’t know]: **Does any member of your immediate household have a permanent physical disability?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out and skipped to #27]

[If needed]: Please include blindness as a physical disability. We have to ask this question because the answer tells us which of the other questions apply to your household and which ones don't. Your household includes everyone who lives with you, whether you are related to them or not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>609</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
[If No, Don’t know, or No answer] Next I need to list the different federal lands that I'll be asking about. There are hundreds of NATIONAL PARKS, including big ones like Grand Canyon, plus a lot of smaller ones. There are also thousands of NATIONAL FOREST AREAS run by the U.S Forest Service that are used for camping, skiing, and outdoor activities. And there are OTHER FEDERAL LANDS AND WATERS like National Seashores, Monuments, Historic Sites, National Wildlife Refuges, and Federal Dams.

S6. In the past two years, has anyone in your household visited any of the different kinds of federal lands I've just listed?

[If other than Yes, coded as screened out and skipped to #30]

[If needed:] Many people have a hard time knowing whether they visited federal lands or a state forest, state park, or county park. If you're not sure, just give me your best guess of visits to federal lands and waters only. These include national parks, national forests, national monuments, national historic sites, national wildlife refuges, and other federal recreation areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>727</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>747</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>NPF</strong></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Yes)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Screened out earlier)</td>
<td>338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For entrance to federal lands, people age 62 and over can currently buy a lifetime pass for $10. It's called the GOLDEN AGE pass. For people with a permanent disability, the same kind of pass is free. That one is called the GOLDEN ACCESS pass.

27. Before I told you about them just now, were you already aware of either the Golden Age or the Golden Access Pass?

[If needed]: These two passes will continue to be available, but their names may change. You can learn more about them by contacting the nearest office of the National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm not talking about the "Golden Eagle Passport" that we discussed earlier. Those are currently available for anyone to purchase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of one or both)</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware of either)</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don’t know on #S6)</td>
<td>477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, aware of one or both)</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, not aware of either)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don’t know on #S6)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28. **[If Yes]**: Does anyone in your household currently have a Golden Age or Golden Access Pass, for senior citizens or the disabled?

[If Yes, coded as screened out and skipped to #30]

[If needed]: The GOLDEN AGE PASSPORT is good for life at all federal lands, for those age 62 or older. It costs $10. The GOLDEN ACCESS PASSPORT is free, but only available to those with a permanent disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Yes, has one or both)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (No, household has neither)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD Total Valid</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Unaware on #27)</td>
<td>451</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Don’t know on #27)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (No answer on #27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD (No or Don’t know on #S6)</td>
<td>477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD System Missing</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD Total Missing</td>
<td>966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDD Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Yes, has one or both)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (No, household has neither)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF Total Valid</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Unaware on #27)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Don’t know on #27)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (No answer on #27)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF (No or Don’t know on #S6)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF System Missing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF Total Missing</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. [If other than Yes] **During the next 12 months, do you think that any member of your household will get a Golden Age or Golden Access Pass, for senior citizens or the disabled?**

[If Yes, coded as screened out]

[If needed]: The GOLDEN AGE PASSPORT is good for life at all national parks and national forests, for those age 62 or older. It costs $10. The GOLDEN ACCESS PASSPORT is free, but only available to those with a permanent disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, likely to get one or both)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to get either)</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #28)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don't know on #S6)</td>
<td>477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, likely to get one or both)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, household is not likely to get either)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maybe/Depends/Not sure)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes on #28)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No or Don't know on #S6)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30. I'll end with a few background questions about your household. Including yourself, how many people age 16 or older, currently live in your household?

[If needed]: Please count everyone age 16 or older who live in your house, apartment, mobile home, or other residence, whether you are related to them or not. People who live in the same building but in a different apartment should not be counted. Don't count anyone who lives somewhere else most of the time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>RDD</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>NPF</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Total Missing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
31. Next I need to ask about the residential phones in your household, not counting cell phones, business lines, or numbers that are only used for a computer or a fax. INCLUDING the phone number that we're talking on right now, how many different residential phone numbers ring into this household and can be answered by a person?

[If needed]: If you have extension phones that all ring on the same number, they count as just one phone. Don't count cell phones, numbers that are only used for business, or numbers that are only answered by a machine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>Cell only</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>Cell only</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
32. **What is the highest level of education you have completed?**

[If needed, read choices. If "college," PROBE for how much.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Education</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD (Up to 8th grade)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9th to 11th grade)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High school graduate or GED certificate)</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some college, no degree)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Degree from a technical school or community college)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(University degree - BA/BS)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some graduate school, no advanced degree)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Graduate degree - MA/MS/JD/MD/PhD, etc.)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Education</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPF (Up to 8th grade)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9th to 11th grade)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(High school graduate or GED certificate)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some college, no degree)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Degree from a technical school or community college)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(University degree - BA/BS)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Some graduate school, no advanced degree)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Graduate degree - MA/MS/JD/MD/PhD, etc.)</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
33. **Are you Hispanic or Latino/a?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, Not Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Yes, Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No, Not Hispanic or Latino/a)</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don’t know/Not sure)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
34. Which ONE OR MORE of the following races do you consider yourself to be?

[Read ALL choices, one at a time. Mark ALL that apply. PROBE if cut off: Any other group?]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
35. For my last question, I'm going to read a list of income ranges. Please stop me when you hear the one that describes your HOUSEHOLD'S total income before taxes in 2005.

[If needed]: If your household's income was exactly on the boundary between two categories, it's supposed to go in the lower one. For example, exactly $25,000 would go in the 10,000 to 25,000 category, but $25,001 would go in the 25,000 to 50,000 category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDD</td>
<td>Less than 10,000 dollars</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - to 25,000 dollars</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 - to 50,000</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 - to 75,000</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 - to 100,000</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000 to 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Over 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>Less than 10,000 dollars</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - to 25,000 dollars</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 - to 50,000</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 - to 75,000</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 - to 100,000</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000 to 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Over 150,000 dollars</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Don't know/Not sure)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(No answer/Refused)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. That's the end of the survey. Do you have any comments you'd like me to take down about recreation on federal lands?

[If needed]: No personal data will be recorded that will identify you. Your phone number will be separated from your answers, so the final data will be anonymous. U.S. Code 16-1a-7 authorizes collection of this information. The OMB approval number is 1024-0248, with an expiration date of February 28, 2009. You may direct comments on any aspect of this survey toll-free to the University of Wyoming at 1-800-956-0105.

Thanks very much for your help. Good-bye.

37. Respondent's gender; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Male)</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Female)</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Can't tell)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Male)</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Female)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Can't tell)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Missing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
38. Please rate the respondent's level of cooperation; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cooperative but not much interested)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately distracted or resentful)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly distracted, resentful or confused)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately attentive and thoughtful)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cooperative but not much interested)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Moderately distracted or resentful)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Highly distracted, resentful or confused)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
39. Language of interview; code without asking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Unweighted Percent</th>
<th>Weighted Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RDD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(English only)</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mainly English, some Spanish)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Both English and Spanish, about equally)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mainly Spanish, some English)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Spanish only)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(English only)</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mainly English, some Spanish)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Both English and Spanish, about equally)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Spanish only)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Valid</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Missing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>